Identification of *Vibrio splendidus* as a Member of the Planktonic Luminous Bacteria from the Persian Gulf and Kuwait Region with *luxA* Probes
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Hybridization probes specific for the *luxA* genes of four groups of luminous bacteria were used to screen luminous isolates obtained from the Persian Gulf, near Al Khiran, Kuwait. Nine of these isolates were identified as *Vibrio harveyi*, a commonly encountered planktonic isolate, while three others showed no hybridization to any of the four probes (*V. harveyi*, *Vibrio fischeri*, *Photobacterium phosphoreum*, or *Photobacterium leiognathi*) under high-stringency conditions. Polymerase chain reaction amplification was used to prepare a *luxA* probe against one of these isolates, K-1, and this probe was screened under high-stringency conditions against a collection of DNAs from luminous bacteria; it was found to hybridize specifically to the DNA of the species *Vibrio splendidus*. A probe prepared against the type strain of *V. splendidus* (ATCC 23369) was tested against the collection of luminous bacterial DNA preparations and against the Kuwait isolates and was found to hybridize only against the type strain and the three unidentified Kuwait isolates. Extensive taxonomic analysis by standard methods confirmed the identification of the 13 isolates.

The ecology of the luminous bacteria is complex (10, 11, 19); even a given species can exhibit a variety of life-styles and inhabit several niches, including planktonic, saprophytic, symbiotic, and parasitic niches. Neither the dynamics of the bacterial populations nor the importance of the different niches to the overall ecology of the luminous bacteria is understood, even though a great deal of information is available concerning the distribution and abundance of various luminous species. The taxonomic scheme of Reichelt and Baumann (25) and slight modifications of it (17) have been used widely to identify the luminous bacteria of four major groups: *Vibrio harveyi*, *Vibrio fischeri*, *Photobacterium phosphoreum*, and *Photobacterium leiognathi*. Using these methods, Ruby and Nealson (32) showed seasonal population variations in the species composition of planktonic luminous bacteria off the coast of southern California, and Shilo and Yetinson (33, 36) demonstrated similar variations for waters of the Mediterranean Sea and the Gulf of Elat. Ruby et al. (28) showed that different species were found at different depths in the Sargasso Sea, while other workers have identified luminous bacteria as saprophytes, gut symbionts, and light organ symbionts in a variety of environments (4–9, 13, 14, 18, 20–22, 24, 28–32).

The above-cited studies were all subject to similar methodological limitations, which result from the fact that some other luminous marine vibrios (*Vibrio orientalis*, *Vibrio splendidus*, and *Vibrio vulnificus*) in the *V. harveyi* group are sufficiently similar to *V. harveyi* that they cannot be distinguished from *V. harveyi* by the rapid taxonomic methods commonly used (17). Thus, many of the organisms identified by rapid taxonomic methods (17, 25) as *V. harveyi* may in fact be closely related *Vibrio* species and, if subtle interactions or variations occur among these closely related species within the *V. harveyi* group, they may have been missed.

One possible way to improve the situation would be to develop methods for the rapid identification of these species based on molecular techniques, such as the hybridization probe technique. Wimpee et al. (34) recently described such methods for distinguishing among the four major groups of luminous bacteria. They prepared hybridization probes directed against the *luxA* gene and used these probes to identify three major groups (*V. fischeri*, *P. phosphoreum*, and *P. leiognathi*) by high-stringency hybridization analysis. Interestingly, the *V. harveyi* probe reported by this group was unable to distinguish among three closely related vibrios, *V. harveyi*, *V. orientalis*, and *V. vulnificus* (34). Thus, while the ease and speed of analysis were improved, the ability to distinguish among closely related *Vibrio* species remained a problem. No other species-specific probes for luminous bacteria have been reported, although a *luxA* probe has been used to identify *lux*-like genes in nonluminous *Vibrio cholerae* strains (23).

In this report, we describe the use of *luxA* probes to identify bacteria isolated from the Gulf of Arabia. These studies resulted in the identification of *V. splendidus* biotype A or biovar 1 as a member of the planktonic luminous community and in the development of a new *luxA* probe specific for luminous *V. splendidus*.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Sampling site and collection.** Samples were collected in southern Kuwait, near the inlet from the Gulf of Arabia near the town of Al Khiran (Fig. 1). Sampling was done by hand with sterile tubes held with their openings facing into the tidal flow. All samples were taken as the tide was entering the lagoon or inlet. The general location from which each sample was taken is not specified, because all samples were planktonic and presumably represented nearshore gulf water samples.

Samples were plated onto nutrient seawater agar plates (3 ml of glycerol, 1 g of yeast extract, 3 g of peptone, and 15 g of agar per liter of 75% seawater) at a variety of dilutions.

---

* Corresponding author.
Luminous bacteria were identified visually, and colonies were picked with sterile toothpicks, purified to single colonies, and stored as agar slant cultures on nutrient seawater agar medium. For ensuring that no duplicate colonies were obtained, only one luminous isolate was picked from a given plate. Colonies were replated upon return to Wisconsin, checked for bioluminescence and, if luminous, stored for further analysis on nutrient seawater medium at -80°C.

**Phenotypic taxonomic analyses.** Taxonomic studies were done as described by Nealson (17), who modified the original technique of Reichelt and Baumann (25). In this method (referred to below as the short method), approximately 20 biochemical tests are performed, the kinetics of luciferase turnover are scored (Table 1) and, on the basis of these results, the organisms are placed into one of the four major groups. For more extensive taxonomic studies, we adapted the method of Yang et al. (35) to distinguish more closely related *V. harveyi*-like organisms. The diagnostic characters used are shown in Table 2. Control strains used were *V. harveyi* B392 (25), *V. fischeri* MJ-1 (31), *P. leiognathi* PL721 (27), *P. phosphoreum* NZ11D (29), and *V. splendidus* B397 (25). We had no control strain for *V. orientalis*, so data were taken from Yang et al. (35).

Luciferase enzyme turnover kinetics were determined for representative Kuwait strains. The methods used involved cell lysis and examination of a crude cell extract for luciferase activity (in comparison with those in control strains) as described by Nealson (17). Decanal and dodecanal were used separately in the assays, and luciferase kinetics were classified as either slow or fast, depending on the ratio of turnover rates for these two aldehydes.

DNA isolation, luxA amplification, radiolabelling, and filter hybridizations were done as described by Wimpee et al. (34). All hybridizations were done at 42°C, and posthybridization washes were done in 0.1× SSC (1× SSC is 0.15 M NaCl plus 0.015 M sodium citrate) at 65°C.

**RESULTS**

Figure 2 shows the results of screening of the DNA from the 13 Kuwait isolates with the luxA probe from *V. harveyi* B392. Nine of the isolates appeared to be in the *V. harveyi* group, while three (K-1, K-11, and K-12) were apparently different organisms. Similar screenings of these isolates were performed with luxA probes from *V. fischeri*, *P. leiognathi*, and *P. phosphoreum*, all yielding negative results; in no case were any positive hybridizations between the Kuwait isolates and probes from these species seen (data not shown).

FIG. 1. Map of Kuwait with an inset of the locally sampled area. The collection site at Al Khiran is indicated by the arrow.
With the short method of luminous bacterial taxonomy (17, 25), all of the Kuwait isolates could be placed in the *V. harveyi* group, as shown in Table 1. These results are typical of those obtained in many previous studies done in our laboratory and others, as mentioned above.

Given the apparent inconsistency between the results shown in Fig. 2 and Table 1, we prepared a hybridization probe against strain K-1 by using the primers and polymerase chain reaction amplification methods described in Wimpee et al. (34). When this probe was used to probe the entire collection of DNAs from the luminous bacteria, it hybridized strongly to DNA isolated from *V. splendidus* but showed little or no hybridization to DNA isolated from any other organism (Fig. 3), suggesting that K-1 might belong to the *V. splendidus* group. A luxA probe was also made against strain K-3, which was expected to be in the *V. harveyi* group; it hybridized to DNAs from nine of the Kuwait isolates as well as to *V. harveyi* DNA but not to DNA isolated from any other organism (Fig. 4).

With this knowledge in hand, we made a luxA probe by using the DNA from *V. splendidus* (ATCC 33369), and this probe was tested against the Kuwait isolates and other organisms in the collection. As shown in Fig. 5, the *V. splendidus* probe hybridized to three Kuwait isolates as well as to *V. splendidus* but to no other organisms, indicating a rather species-specific response; these results are all consistent with K-1, K-11, and K-12 being members of the *V. splendidus* taxonomic group.

**DISCUSSION**

Other than in one recent report by Makemson et al. (16), which identified *V. harveyi* as the predominant species (18 of 18 isolates) in waters near Bahrain, the Gulf of Arabia has not been investigated with regard to luminous bacterial populations or distribution. Lapota et al. (13) identified *V. harveyi* as a surface bacterium in the Arabian Sea, near the mouth of the Gulf of Arabia. Further east, in the Indo-Pacific area, *V. harveyi*, *P. leiognathi*, and *V. fischeri* have been found (5, 6, 8, 9, 27). Even more eastward, Yang et al. (35)...

---

**TABLE 1. Taxonomic features of Kuwait luminous isolates**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>Growth at: 4°C</th>
<th>Growth at: 35°C</th>
<th>Production of:</th>
<th>Man-nilot</th>
<th>Pro- line</th>
<th>Lac- tate</th>
<th>Pyru- vate</th>
<th>Ace- tate</th>
<th>Prop- ionate</th>
<th>Hep- tanate</th>
<th>Ty- rosine</th>
<th>PHB</th>
<th>Gas of:</th>
<th>Luci- ferase ki- netics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>V. harveyi</em></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>V. fischeri</em></td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. leiognathi</em></td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. phosphoreum</em></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>V. orientalis</em></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>V. splendidus</em></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-2 to K-10</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-1, K-11, and K-12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The tests were adapted from those originally described by Reichelt and Baumann (25). Parentheses indicate that a trait showed a small degree of variability. PHB, poly-p-hydroxybutyric acid. Strains were those listed in Materials and Methods. Data for *V. orientalis* were taken from Yang et al. (35). K-2 to K-10 are Kuwait isolates in the *V. harveyi* group; K-1, K-11, and K-12 are Kuwait isolates in the *V. splendidus* group.

**TABLE 2. Additional taxonomic features of Kuwait luminous isolates**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>Growth at: 40°C</th>
<th>Production of: Arginine dehydrogenase</th>
<th>Presence of:</th>
<th>Growth on:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>V. harveyi</em></td>
<td>(+)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>V. fischeri</em></td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. leiognathi</em></td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. phosphoreum</em></td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>V. orientalis</em></td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>V. splendidus</em></td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-2 to K-10</td>
<td>(−)</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-1, K-11, and K-12</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FIG. 2. Hybridization of a *V. harveyi* luxA probe to DNA from various luminous species, including 12 Kuwait isolates (K-1 through K-12). DNA from nonluminous *S. putrefaciens* was included on the filter as a negative control. Five micrograms of DNA from each sample was loaded onto a slot blot apparatus (Bethesda Research Laboratories, Inc.), bound to a Nytran filter (Schleicher & Schuell, Inc.), and probed with a polymerase chain reaction-amplified, 32P-labelled luxA probe from *V. harveyi* as described previously (34). The filter was washed at 65°C in 0.1× SSC-0.1% sodium dodecyl sulfate and autoradiographed overnight on Kodak X-Omat AR film. *X. luminescens* = *Xenorhabdus luminescens*.

FIG. 3. Hybridization of a K-1 luxA probe to a collection of DNAs from various luminous species. DNA from nonluminous *S. putrefaciens* was included on the filter as a negative control. Methods are described in the legend to Fig. 2.

FIG. 4. Hybridization of a K-3 luxA probe to DNAs from various luminous species, including 12 Kuwait isolates (K-1 through K-12). DNA from nonluminous *S. putrefaciens* was included on the filter as a negative control. Methods are described in the legend to Fig. 2.

isolated *P. phosphoreum*, *P. leiognathi*, *V. harveyi*, *V. orientalis*, and *V. splendidus* biotype I from waters off the coast of China. To the west, Shilo and Yetinson (33, 36) reported *V. harveyi*, *V. fischeri*, and *P. leiognathi* in waters of the Gulf of Elat, the Suez Canal, and the Mediterranean
Sea. Given the deep waters in the immediate area, it is likely that the cold-water species *P. phosphoreum* is also present (19, 28), although no reports of this species have appeared.

There are two biotypes or biovars of *V. splendidus*, and all luminous strains are found in the biovar 1 group. Such luminous *V. splendidus* strains have been isolated from temperate seawater, including the North Sea (NCMB-1), the China Sea (35), and waters off the coast of Massachusetts (strains B378 to B380) (26). The last group of isolates were originally identified as *V. harveyi* (25) and cannot be distinguished from *V. harveyi* by the short method of taxonomy (17). The properties of *V. splendidus* biovar 1 strains that distinguish them (by 3 to 10 traits) from other vibrios (or their biovars) are curved rods; positive reaction for arginine dihydrolase; luminescence; utilization of D-galactose, cellobiose, D-glucuronate, α-ketoglutarate, and L-serine; negative reaction for lateral flagella on solid medium; acetoin and/or diacetyl production; growth at 40°C; and utilization of L-arabinose, β-hydroxybutyrate, D-sorbitol, γ-aminobutyrate, and putrescine (1-5). Kc-1, Kc-11, and Kc-12 are closely related but distinct phylogenetically.

The identification of *V. splendidus* as a member of the planktonic population in the waters of the Gulf of Arabia deserves some discussion. In the one previous report of luminous bacterial isolates from the area of the Gulf of Arabia, even though all of the isolates were identified as *V. harveyi*, it is possible that they were closely related *Vibrio* species. No taxonomic data were presented, but it was stated that several taxonomic tests were performed, including those in the API 20E (Analytab Products, Plainview, N.Y.) identification system, to establish the identity of the isolates. It is not clear that these methods would distinguish between *V. harveyi* and *V. splendidus*, but the placement of the isolates in the *V. harveyi* group seems unequivocal. When the recommended short method for taxonomic identification was used (Table 1), we also concluded that all of our isolates were *V. harveyi*. This is the same method used by most workers for the ecological and taxonomic identification of luminescent bacteria. Only when an apparent discrepancy arose with the *luxA* probe and the extensive taxonomic method was used did *V. splendidus* emerge as a separate and identifiable species. Given the time required and the expense of such techniques, it is unlikely that the ecology of these closely related species would ever be resolved unless new methods were available. It is thus of some interest, in terms of the ecology of the luminous bacteria, that a combination of *luxA* probes is capable of unambiguously distinguishing between these closely related species.

The results presented here make a strong case for the use of molecular probes for taxonomic and ecological studies. In a few days, it is possible to obtain reliable identification of the luminous bacteria that can be cultured from a given area, identification that has more resolution than taxonomic methods that take weeks and resolution equal to that of methods that may take months. It seems prudent to continue the development and testing of probes for the identification of all of the major marine species, including some that are not yet separable by probe methods, such as *V. harveyi* and *V. orientalis* (34, 35), as well as physiologically distinct luminous species, such as *Shewanella hansenii* (12, 15). Such methods should be of great value in the presence of temporal and spatial variations, for which standard taxonomic approaches may be prohibitive because of the labor-intensive techniques involved.
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