Analyses of Spatial Distributions of Sulfate-Reducing Bacteria and Their Activity in Aerobic Wastewater Biofilms
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The vertical distribution of sulfate-reducing bacteria (SRB) in aerobic wastewater biofilms grown on rotating disk reactors was investigated by fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) with 16S rRNA-targeted oligonucleotide probes. To correlate the vertical distribution of SRB populations with their activity, the microprofiles of $O_2$, $H_2S$, $NO_3^-$, $NO_2^-$, $NH_4^+$, and $pH$ were measured with microelectrodes. In addition, a cross-evaluation of the FISH and microelectrode analyses was performed by comparing them with culture-based approaches and biogeochemical measurements. In situ hybridization revealed that a relatively high abundance of the probe SRB385-stained cells (approximately $10^7$ to $10^8$ cells per cm$^2$ of biofilm) were evenly distributed throughout the biofilm, even in the oxic surface. The probe SRB660-stained Desulfobulbus spp. were found to be numerically important members of SRB populations (approximately $10^8$ to $10^9$ cells per cm$^2$). The result of microelectrode measurements showed that a high sulfate-reducing activity was found in a narrow anaerobic zone located about 150 to 300 µm below the biofilm surface and above which an intensive sulfide oxidation zone was found. The biogeochemical measurements showed that elemental sulfur ($S_0$) was an important intermediate of the sulfide oxidation in such thin wastewater biofilms (approximately 1,500 µm), which accounted for about 75% of the total $S$ pool in the biofilm. The contribution of an internal Fe-sulfur cycle to the overall sulfur cycle in aerobic wastewater biofilms was insignificant (less than 1%) due to the relatively high sulfate reduction rate.

Wastewater biofilms are very complex multispecies biofilms, displaying considerable heterogeneity with respect to both the microorganisms present and their physicochemical microenvironments. Moreover, multiple electron donors and electron acceptors are present in the wastewaters. Therefore, successive vertical zonations of predominant respiratory processes occurring simultaneously in close proximity have been found in aerobic wastewater biofilms with a typical thickness of only a few millimeters (10, 22, 40, 42). In these studies, sulfate reduction was found in the deeper anaerobic biofilm strata, even though the bulk liquid was oxygenated. Accordingly, reoxidation of the produced sulfide with oxygen and/or nitrate was found in a stratum close to the sulfate reduction zone, depending on the oxygen and nitrate penetration depths.

A major drawback of sulfate reduction in wastewater treatments is the production of toxic $H_2S$, which is also a possible precursor of odorants and significantly enhances microbially mediated corrosion of treatment facilities (23, 24, 31, 37). Furthermore, sulfate reduction accounts for up to 50% of the mineralization of organic matter in aerobic wastewater treatment systems (22). Once sulfate reduction occurs in biofilms, internal sulfide reoxidation is expected to account for a substantial part of oxygen consumption (approximately up to 70%) (22, 32, 42). Therefore, the in situ detection of populations of sulfate-reducing bacteria (SRB) and their activity in wastewater biofilms is of great practical and scientific relevance. However, such studies have been hindered due to lack of analytical tools and the complexity of the internal sulfur cycle in aerobic biofilms. Since biomass level of sulfide or sulfate flux across a biofilm-liquid interface cannot describe sulfur transformations within the biofilm, the sulfur cycle in wastewater biofilm systems is not well known presently.

Therefore, we must explore analytical tools to overcome this problem. Microelectrode measurements are the most reliable way of studying several metabolic processes with high spatial and temporal resolution and have been used for studying nitrogen cycles (11, 14, 36, 43, 44) and sulfur cycles (22, 40, 42) in various environmental samples. One advantage of the use of microelectrodes is their ability to detect in situ microbial activities with minimal disturbance. Furthermore, the recent development of the fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) technique with oligonucleotide probes has been widely used to study microbial community structures in microbial flocs (44, 47, 48) and biofilms (3, 36, 40, 43). FISH has been successfully combined with microelectrode measurements (36, 40, 43, 44). However, so far, studies relating in situ spatial distribution of SRB populations to their activity in wastewater biofilms are scarce.

In the present study, we combined three techniques to determine the vertical distribution of SRB populations, substrate profiles, and distributions of sulfur pools (i.e., $S_0$, $FeS$, and $FeS_2$) within aerobic wastewater biofilms. Firstly, the vertical distributions of SRB populations were investigated by FISH with the previously published phylogenetic probes in combination with confocal scanning laser microscopy (CSLM). This was done by counting positively probe-stained cells in vertical transects across biofilm sections. Secondly, the spatial distributions of in situ activities of sulfate reduction and sulfide oxidation were measured by means of several microelectrodes. The resulting picture was cross-evaluated with reference to one-dimensional vertical distributions of most-probable-number (MPN) counts of SRB populations and potential sulfate reduction rates (SRRs) and sulfide oxidation rates (SORs) in the biofilm, which were measured by slicing the biofilm parallel to the substratum without any pretreatment by the Microslicer (model DTK-1000; Dosaka EM Co., Ltd., Kyoto, Japan). Fi-
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nally, a complementary analysis of sulfur compound (i.e., S0, FeS, and FeS2) distributions was performed to evaluate the importance and contribution of an internal iron-sulfur cycle in the overall sulfur cycle. The combination of these three techniques provides more comprehensive information on a complex sulfur cycle occurring in the aerobic wastewater biofilms.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Biofilm samples. Aerobic mixed-population biofilms were grown in fully submerged rotating disk reactors (RDR) consisting of 10 polymethyl-methacrylate disks (1.5 cm in diameter and 1 cm thick) attached to 60-mm-diameter stainless steel shafts. Each disk was independently lowered into a 15-litre reactor containing 10-litre, continuously stirred, semi-continuous culture. The reactor volume was 5,600 cm3, and the total biofilm area was 4,020 cm2. The reactors were maintained in aerated conditions with continuous aeration and mixing. The slightly modified Postgate medium B (37) containing sodium propionate (500 mg of C liter−1) was used as the carbon source. The medium was adjusted to pH 7.05 and pK 2 10 and then aerated with a gas mixture of 95% air and 5% CO2. Each reactor was inoculated with 15 ml of S. deleyianus (DSM 16604 T) grown in a medium containing 1.6 mM SO4 2−, the aerobic and anaerobic H2S oxidation activities were very high in this study, so that an increase in the SO4 2− concentration could be measured with minimum errors.

Measurement of reduced sulfur compounds. Elemental sulfur (S0), AVS (H2S and FeS2), and chromate-reducible sulfur (CRS, FeS2) in biofilms were determined by the method described originally by Fossing and Jorgensen (18) and modified by Nielsen et al. (31). The biofilm samples were immediately fixed in 1% ZnAC solution, sliced into 50- to 100-μm-thick sections parallel to the substrate surface, transferred to 100 ml of the anaerobic modified Postgate medium B (the same as the MPN count) in a serum vial (130 ml). The vials were sparged with N2 gas for at least 5 min and subsequently sealed with butyl rubber stoppers and aluminum seals. The vials were incubated on a rotary shaker at 20°C in the dark. A culture without addition of carbon source was used as a control. At regular intervals, subsamples were withdrawn with a sterilized syringe and immediately added to 1% ZnAC solution for acid-volatile sulfide (AVS; H2S and FeS2) analysis. The AVS was measured colorimetrically by the methylene blue method (7). The AVS accumulation rates during the initial 48-h incubation were used to calculate the potential SRs and SORs.

The potential aerobic sulfur oxidation rate (ASOR; indicated as SO4 2− production rate) was determined by using a growth medium containing (millimolar concentrations in distilled water) NaHCO3 (3.0), KH2PO4 (0.9), MgSO4 7H2O (1.6), K2HPO4 (1.0), NH4Cl (5.7), Na2S (1.6), Na2S2O3 7H2O (1.6), Na2HPO4 (300), sodium propionate (600), NH4Cl (600), Na2HPO4 (570), MgCl2 6H2O (84), CaCl2 (200), and EDTA 2Na (270). All measurements were performed in a water chamber containing 1.8 liters of the synthetic medium at 20°C. Each microelectrode was separately mounted on a motor-driven microelectrode manipulator (model ACV-104 HP, Chuo Precision Industrial Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) for electrode assisted sampling inside a Fucus vesiculosus sample.

Microelectrodes. The samples were incubated in the growth medium containing (millimolar concentrations in distilled water) NaHCO3 (3.0), KH2PO4 (0.9), MgSO4 7H2O (1.6), K2HPO4 (1.0), NH4Cl (5.7), Na2S (1.6), Na2S2O3 7H2O (1.6), Na2HPO4 (300), sodium propionate (600), NH4Cl (600), Na2HPO4 (570), MgCl2 6H2O (84), CaCl2 (200), and EDTA 2Na (270). All measurements were performed in a water chamber containing 1.8 liters of the synthetic medium at 20°C. Each microelectrode was separately mounted on a motor-driven microelectrode manipulator (model ACV-104 HP, Chuo Precision Industrial Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) for electrode assisted sampling inside a Fucus vesiculosus sample.

Microelectrodes. The samples were incubated in the growth medium containing (millimolar concentrations in distilled water) NaHCO3 (3.0), KH2PO4 (0.9), MgSO4 7H2O (1.6), K2HPO4 (1.0), NH4Cl (5.7), Na2S (1.6), Na2S2O3 7H2O (1.6), Na2HPO4 (300), sodium propionate (600), NH4Cl (600), Na2HPO4 (570), MgCl2 6H2O (84), CaCl2 (200), and EDTA 2Na (270). All measurements were performed in a water chamber containing 1.8 liters of the synthetic medium at 20°C. Each microelectrode was separately mounted on a motor-driven microelectrode manipulator (model ACV-104 HP, Chuo Precision Industrial Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) for electrode assisted sampling inside a Fucus vesiculosus sample.
chloride [pH 7.2], 0.01% sodium dodecyl sulfate; formamide concentrations are shown in Table 1) with 1 μl of probe solution at 46°C for 2 to 3 h in an equilibrated sealed moisture chamber. The final probe concentration was approximately 5 ng μl−1. Subsequently, a stringent washing step was performed at 48°C for 20 min in 50 ml of prewarmed washing solution (NaCl concentration is shown in Table 1; 20 mM Tris hydrochloride [pH 7.2], 0.01% sodium dodecyl sulfate). The stringency of the washing step was adjusted by lowering the sodium chloride concentration to achieve the appropriate specificity. The slides were then rinsed briefly with ddH2O, allowed to air dry, and mounted in antifading solution (Slow Fade Light; Molecular Probes, Eugene, Ore.).

**Microscopy.** An LSM 510 CSLM (Carl Zeiss) equipped with an argon laser (488 nm) and a HeNe laser (543 nm) was used to examine the biofilm samples. Zeiss filter sets 09 and 15 and (488 nm) and a HeNe laser (543 nm) was used to examine the biofilm specimens. Processed images were printed out by using the software package Adobe Photoshop 3.01 (Adobe Systems Incorporated, Mountain View, Calif.).

**Vertical distribution of SRB.** To quantify the vertical distributions of SRB populations from FISH images, we directly counted positively probe-stained cells with 488- and 543-nm lasers. By doing this, only TRITC-labeled probe-stained cells were observed, and other debris and mineral grains appeared yellowish due to dual excitation. In this way, the probe-stained cells could easily be distinguished from other materials. All image combining, processing, and analysis were performed with the standard software package provided by Zeiss. Processed images were printed out by using the software package Adobe Photoshop 3.01 (Adobe Systems Incorporated, Mountain View, Calif.).

**Results**

**General biofilm reactor performance.** Typical water quality in influent and effluent of the reactor after reaching the steady-state condition is shown in Table 2. The steady state was achieved after about 40 days. Relatively large standard deviations are attributed to fluctuations in the influent water quality. The average DO concentration in the bulk water was low (about 40 ± 30 μM) because of no aeration in the bulk water. Nitrification activity was not observed. Effluent SO42− and NH4+ concentrations were not statistically different from those of the influent, indicating that sulfur transformation in the reactor could not be seen from mass balance on SO42−. Consumption of nitrate indicated the occurrence of denitrification or reduction of nitrate to ammonium by SRB to a certain extent.

**Biofilm architecture.** The biofilm reactor had been at steady state for more than 1 month. Biofilm sloughing did not occur during this period. Figure 1 shows a composite cross-section (20-μm-thick) image of a 60-day-old wastewater biofilm (biofilm thickness, approximately 1,100 μm). It is clear that the wastewater biofilms studied have a complex heterogeneous structure consisting of discrete biomass (microbial aggregates) and interstitial voids, which connect the bulk water to the bottom part of the biofilm.

**In situ detection of SRB.** Immediately after the microelectrode measurements (the results are shown below), a series of vertical sections of the biofilm were subjected to in situ hybridization. Firstly, four group-specific probes were used to specify possible numerically predominant species of SRB populations in the biofilm. Only a few positive cells were found when

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Probe</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>Sequence of probe (5′-3′)</th>
<th>Target site</th>
<th>FA (%)</th>
<th>NaCy (mM)</th>
<th>Reference(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EUB338</td>
<td>Domain Bacteria</td>
<td>GCTGCCCTCCGTTAGGAGT</td>
<td>338–355</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.166</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRB385</td>
<td>SRB of the delta Proteobacteria plus several gram-positive bacteria (e.g., Clostridium spp.)</td>
<td>GGGCGTGGCTGTCAGGG</td>
<td>385–402</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>3, 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRB385Db</td>
<td>Family Desulfovibacteraceae (except for Desulfovibulbuss spp.) plus some non-sulfate reducing bacteria (e.g., Mycobacterium sps, Pseudomonas acetylentexis)</td>
<td>CGGCGTGGCTGTCAGGG</td>
<td>385–402</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRB607</td>
<td>Desulfovibrio spp. plus members of the genera Geobacter, Desulfitobacter, Desulfolobus, Desulfoferax, Desulfomicrobium, and Pobacter</td>
<td>TACGGATTTCACCTCT</td>
<td>687–702</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.386</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRB660</td>
<td>Desulfolobus spp.</td>
<td>GAATTCGCTTCCCTCTCTG</td>
<td>630–679</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRB129</td>
<td>Desulfobacterium spp.</td>
<td>TGCGCGGACCTACATTCAA</td>
<td>221–240</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.386</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRB221</td>
<td>Desulfothermus spp.</td>
<td>CAGGCGTTAAGGCGAGATT</td>
<td>129–146</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.166</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a 16S rRNA position according to Escherichia coli numbering.

b Formamide concentration in the hybridization buffer.

c Sodium chloride concentration in the washing buffer.

**Table 1.** A list of 16S rRNA-targeted oligonucleotide probes used in this study

**Table 2.** Average influent and effluent water characteristics during an experimental period

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Influent</th>
<th>Effluent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SO4^{2−}</td>
<td>290 (±60)</td>
<td>330 (±80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO3^{−}</td>
<td>347 (±46)</td>
<td>13 (±10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH4^{+}</td>
<td>677 (±220)</td>
<td>693 (±193)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOC</td>
<td>1,560 (±530)</td>
<td>1,250 (±510)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Fe</td>
<td>54.5 (±11.5)</td>
<td>26.0 (±1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissolved Fe</td>
<td>8.0 (±2.0)</td>
<td>10.1 (±3.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DO</td>
<td>40 (±30)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temp (°C)</td>
<td>13.7 (±5.2)</td>
<td>6.9 (±0.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Data were collected after the steady-state condition was achieved. Values in parentheses are standard deviations. Except for temperature and pH, values are shown in micromoles liter−1.
SRB129, SRB687, and SRB221 probes were used with any of the biofilm samples, and their fluorescence intensities were very low. An abundance of SRB660 probe-stained cells was found at all depths, and their fluorescence signals were strong. Based on these findings, Desulfobulbus spp. could be a numerically important member of SRB populations in this biofilm.

Figure 2A shows a composite cross-section DIC image to display the entire biofilm structure. The vertical biofilm sections revealed that the fluorescent signals derived from SRB660 probe-stained cells were found at all depths in all states from single scattered cells (Fig. 2B) to clustered cells (Fig. 2C). More clustered cells were found in the deeper part of the biofilm than in the surface biofilm. The SRB385 probe-stained cells formed rather irregular and relatively small clusters, consisting of up to a few hundred cells (Fig. 2D to G). Some of these cells display a lemon shape, and a few cells were linked together, which seem to be typical features of Desulfobulbus spp. (49).

**Vertical distribution of SRB population.** Figure 3A presents the vertical distributions of SRB385 and SRB660 probe-stained cells in the biofilm. The SRB385 probe-stained cells were present in high numbers (approximately $10 \times 10^9$ $\pm$ 1.6 $\times$ $10^9$ cells cm$^{-3}$) and evenly distributed throughout the biofilm, even in the oxic zone. The vertical distribution of the SRB660 probe-stained cells also revealed that there was no significant difference between the average cell counts in the oxic zones and those in the anoxic zones of the biofilms, approximately $10^9$ cells cm$^{-3}$. They accounted for about 6 to 23% of the SRB385 probe-stained cells. In addition, three slices of the samples at depths of approximately 200 and 900 $\mu$m hybridized with the SRB385Db probe. The numbers of SRB385Db probe-stained cells were approximately $0.7 \times 10^9$ cells cm$^{-3}$ at depths of 200 and 900 $\mu$m, respectively, and were comparable with the numbers of SRB660 probe-stained cells.

The surface fractions of SRB probe-stained cell area to total biomass area were determined (Fig. 3B) and compared with the result of the FISH direct counts. The surface fraction of the SRB probe-stained cell area was in the range of 1 to 11% with a peak at 400 to 600 $\mu$m from the surface, which was most likely due to accumulation of S$^0$ (see Fig. 5A).

**Vertical distributions of MPN counts and potential activity.** To verify the high abundance of SRB in the upper part of the biofilm detected by in situ hybridization, the vertical distributions of potential SRR, MPN counts of cultivable SRB populations, ASOR, and ANSOR were simultaneously determined (Fig. 4). Figure 4A shows that the SRR in the oxic layer was approximately 1.1 $\mu$mol cm$^{-3}$ h$^{-1}$ and was as high as the value at the lowest depth. However, the MPN counts decreased exponentially with depth, and the cell counts at the oxic surface layer (approximately $2 \times 10^7$ MPN cm$^{-3}$) were 100 times higher than the cell counts found at the deeper part of the biofilm ($2 \times 10^5$ MPN cm$^{-3}$).

The vertical distributions of potential ASOR and ANSOR showed that the ASOR was higher in the oxic surface stratum and that the ANSOR increased with the biofilm depth (Fig. 4C). The ASOR and ANSOR were 2 and 1 orders of magnitude higher than the SRR, respectively.

**Distribution of reduced inorganic sulfur compounds in the biofilm.** Figure 5A represents the spatial distribution of AVS, CRS, and S$^0$ in a 1,200-$\mu$m-thick biofilm (a 65-day-old biofilm). Although AVS was not detectable in the surface and in the bottom of the biofilm, about 14 $\mu$mol of S (cm$^3$ of AVS)$^{-1}$ found at about 250 $\mu$m from the surface, at which the active H$_2$S production was detected by microelectrode measurements (see Fig. 6). Elemental sulfur (S$^0$) seemed to be the most abundant sulfur pool at all depths of the biofilm. The concentration of S$^0$ at about 150 $\mu$m from the surface was the highest (approximately 30 $\mu$mol of S cm$^{-3}$) and gradually decreased toward the bottom. The CRS concentration was below 10 $\mu$mol of S cm$^{-3}$ throughout the biofilm, constituting a relatively small fraction of the total sulfur pools. Since elemental sulfur was never detected in the influent, S$^0$ accumulation was certainly due to internal reoxidation of the produced H$_2$S.

Figure 5B presents the profiles of total Fe and Mn concentrations in the same biofilm. The total Mn concentration was low (0.05 to 0.1 $\mu$mol cm$^{-3}$) throughout the biofilm. The total Fe concentration was in the range of 4.5 to 10.0 $\mu$mol cm$^{-3}$ and was relatively constant throughout the biofilm.

**Microelectrode measurements.** Typical steady-state concentration profiles of O$_2$, H$_2$S, NO$_3^-$, NO$_2^-$, and pH in a biofilm...
incubated in the medium containing 70 μM DO, 270 μM NO₃⁻, 100 μM NO₂⁻, 300 μM SO₄²⁻, and 600 μM Na-propionate are shown in Fig. 6. The concentrations of O₂, NH₄⁺, SO₄²⁻, and NO₃⁻ were in a range similar to those in the reactor bulk concentrations. Oxygen penetrated only about 100 μm from the surface in a biofilm approximately 1,000 μm thick, whereas NO₃⁻ penetrated further down, to 300 μm. Sulfide was produced in a narrow zone 150 to 300 μm below the surface at a maximum specific rate of 21 μmol of H₂S cm⁻³ h⁻¹. Below the sulfate reduction zone, a constant H₂S concentration (approximately 60 μM) was observed, indicating no net sulfide production. A possible explanation could be a carbon limitation caused by an overall depletion of carbon source in the medium during the more-than-10-h measurement and a high level of competition for the carbon source with denitrifying bacteria in the presence of NO₃⁻. This was indirectly supported by the fact that sulfide production increased with increasing propionate concentrations (data not shown). A narrow sulfide oxidation zone (50 to 150 μm from the surface) was found just above the sulfate reduction zone with a maximum specific rate of 20 μmol of H₂S cm⁻³ h⁻¹, giving a total H₂S oxidation rate of 0.59 μmol of NO₃⁻ cm⁻² h⁻¹. Since the NO₃⁻ profile indicates that NO₃⁻ was produced in the anoxic biofilm stratum, the production of NO₂⁻ at a rate of 0.16 μmol of NO₂⁻ cm⁻² h⁻¹ was due to the result of nitrate reduction. The NO₂⁻ profiles were measured only to a depth of 150 μm, because below that sulfide induced signal drift.

**DISCUSSION**

**Vertical distributions of SRB determined by in situ hybridization.** Aerobic wastewater biofilms displayed considerable structural heterogeneity (Fig. 1). In situ spatial organization of SRB within the biofilm was successfully visualized by FISH in combination with CSLM. We found that *Desulfobulbus* spp. could be numerically important species and were consistently present in high numbers (approximately 10⁸ to 10¹⁰ cells cm⁻³) throughout the biofilm, even in the oxic surface. They accounted for about 6 to 23% of the number of SRB385 probe-stained cells (approximately 10⁹ to 10¹⁰ cells cm⁻³). The relatively even distribution of SRB populations throughout the biofilm might indicate that the biofilm was grown under relatively dynamic conditions. The number of SRB obtained from the FISH analysis in this study was about 1 order of magnitude higher than the numbers of SRB in other wastewater biofilm systems (40, 42); accordingly, the SRR was higher with this factor. This is partly because the DO concentration in this study was lower than that in the other systems.
Although we have used stringent hybridization conditions and have manually counted only strongly stained cells (Fig. 2B to G), excluding autofluorescence derived from refractive detrital matters and mineral grains by using simultaneous excitation of 488- and 543-nm lasers, an exact quantitative analysis is hampered by several factors. The distinction between the autofluorescence and the true-positive cell signals was sometimes difficult to make when cells were associated with clusters.

FIG. 3. Vertical distributions of SRB populations in the biofilm determined as SRB cell density (A) and surface fraction of SRB385 probe-stained cell area to the total biomass area (B). Average cell densities were determined from FISH direct cell counts along vertical transects through the biofilm after hybridization with TRITC-labeled SRB385 probe and SRB660 probe (specific for Desulfobulbus spp.). Error bars indicate the standard deviations of measurements. The biofilm surface is at a depth of 0 μm.

FIG. 4. Vertical distributions of potential SRRs (A), MPN counts of SRB (B), and ASORs and ANSORs (C) in the biofilm. The biofilm surface is at a depth of 0 μm.
and refractive detrital matters. Thus, the cell numbers and surface fractions of SRB populations determined by FISH tend to be overestimated. For example, the higher surface fraction detected at 400 to 600 μm (Fig. 3B) was thought to be overestimated to a certain degree due to the higher concentration of elemental sulfur as shown in Fig. 5A. Furthermore, some of the oligonucleotide probes, i.e., SRB385 and SRB687, are not as specific as originally described. It is now known that the SRB385 probe is specific for sulfate reducers of the delta Proteobacteria and several gram-positive bacteria (e.g., Clostridium spp.) (3, 39). The SRB660 probe is presently known to be specific for only Desulfobulbus spp., and therefore the vertical profile of the probe SRB660-stained Desulfobulbus spp. is more reliable.

These potential experimental errors, however, do not negate the general trend of the FISH analyses. The vertical distribution of the potential SRR showed that the relatively high sulfate-reducing activity was found even in the surface biofilm, corresponding to the in situ hybridization results. This clearly suggests that a relatively high number of SRB were, indeed, present in the oxic surface zone and that their activity was sustained.

During the last few years, evidence that the anaerobic SRB are to some extent O₂ tolerant (16, 28) or are even able to oxidize reduced sulfur compounds to sulfate with O₂ or NO₃⁻ as the electron acceptor (9, 16, 49) could help to explain the higher abundance of SRB in the oxic surface biofilm. The anaerobic oxidation of SO²⁻ to sulfate with oxidized metals as the electron acceptor by some SRB species and SO²⁻ disproportionation in the absence of an electron acceptor by Desulfobulbus propionicus could also be a possible explanation (27). On the other hand, it is likely that the SRB present in the surface of the biofilm originated from the waste-water instead of being developed in the biofilm. Attachment of SRB cells from the wastewater to the biofilm surface is a very important process determining the SRB community structure in the biofilm. Since we did not analyze the microbial composition of the influent wastewater in this study, detailed mechanisms of development of SRB populations in the biofilm are not clear at present.

Similar observations of the higher SRR and SRB cell density in oxic environments have been reported previously in the literature (5, 21, 28). Teske et al. (45) and Santegoeds et al. (42) have found that Desulfobulbus and Desulfovibrio species were also the main SRB members in the aerobic layer of a stratified fjord and in an aerobic wastewater biofilm, respectively, underlining their ability to survive in the presence of oxygen.

**Vertical distributions of MPN counts of SRB and their activity.** With FISH analyses, we found 10⁹ to 10¹⁰ SRB385 probe-stained cells per cm³ of biofilm (including pore [void] volumes), numbers which were about 3 to 4 orders of magnitude higher than the numbers of the MPN counts. The cultivation-based enumeration of SRB by MPN apparently used a medium with propionate as sole carbon source. Most sulfate reducers such as Desulfovibrio, Desulfobacter, and Desulfobacterium spp. and so on were not able to grow in this medium. It is thus most likely that the MPN counts reflect only propionate-utilizing SRB species (i.e., Desulfobulbus spp.), which may have led to a severe underestimation of the MPN counts. The cultivation-based enumeration of SRB by MPN apparently used a medium with propionate as sole carbon source. Most sulfate reducers such as Desulfovibrio, Desulfobacter, and Desulfobacterium spp. and so on were not able to grow in this medium. It is thus most likely that the MPN counts reflect only propionate-utilizing SRB species (i.e., Desulfobulbus spp.), which may have led to a severe underestimation of the MPN counts. The results of the MPN counts were also several orders of magnitude (10² to 10⁴) lower than Desulfobulbus counts by FISH probing. Furthermore, the MPN counts decreased exponentially with depth, and the cell counts at the surface were 100 times higher than the cell counts at the base of the biofilm (Fig. 4B). This is quite different from the results of the FISH counts and the potential SRRs, which are relatively constant throughout the biofilm. This discrepancy could be explained by the fact that more Desulfobulbus bacteria were present in the form of densely packed clusters consisting of up to a few hundred cells in the deeper part of the biofilm than in the surface biofilm (Fig. 2), and thus, dispersion of clustered cells was not sufficiently done in the MPN counts.

Considering the total cell density of 10¹⁰ to 10¹¹ cells per cm³...
of a similar biofilm (34), the relative percentage of SRB cells is on the order of 1 to 10%. This order is in the range of the surface fraction of SRB385 probe-stained cells obtained in this study (Fig. 3B). To evaluate the SRB enumeration efficiency of the FISH counts, the specific SRRs were calculated. The specific SRRs in this biofilm were on the order of $10^{-15}$ mol of SO$_4^{2-}$ cell$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$. This rate is in the range of the previously reported specific SRRs of pure cultures on H$_2$, lactate, or pyruvate: $2 \times 10^{-16}$ to $5 \times 10^{-14}$ mol of SO$_4^{2-}$ cell$^{-1}$ day$^{-1}$ (19).

The measurement of potential SRRs in the batch experiment showed lower rates (0.3 to 1.1 pmol of H$_2$S cm$^{-3}$ h$^{-1}$) than the rates calculated from microprofile data (in the range of 3 to 21 pmol of H$_2$S cm$^{-3}$ h$^{-1}$) (Fig. 6). This difference can be explained by deterioration of sulfate reduction activity during the microslicing and homogenization processes and by cycling use of the produced H$_2$S and SO$_4^{2-}$. The measurement of potential SRRs used the same medium as the MPN counts with propionate as sole carbon source, and the concentration of propionate was very high compared with the actual concentrations. Thus, this may have led to a severe underestimation.

The SRRs calculated from the H$_2$S microprofile were prone to relatively large errors, which limited an exact quantitative comparison of the in situ activity. First, the measured concentration profiles presented in Fig. 6 are not profiles that actually occurred under growth conditions in the biofilm reactor, because, for example, the reactor hydrodynamics were different. Flow velocities above the biofilm in the microelectrode measurements were in the range of 2 to 3 cm s$^{-1}$, which is lower than a peripheral speed of ca. 14 cm s$^{-1}$ when the disk rotational speed of the RDR is 14 rpm. Thus, the thickness of the diffusion boundary layer is expected to be thinner under the actual growth conditions, which increases substrate fluxes into the biofilm and consequently affects rates and locations of successive respiratory processes. Second, the wastewater biofilms displayed considerable structural heterogeneity, as shown in Fig. 1. However, the influence of the biofilm heterogeneity on diffusion coefficients was not taken into account when the specific reaction rates were calculated, and thus constant diffusion coefficients were used throughout the biofilm. Third, the S$^2$- sensors used in this study are sensitive to oxygen, so that some overlaps of the O$_2$ and H$_2$S profiles occur. Thus, the H$_2$S profile in the zone where O$_2$ and H$_2$S profiles coexist may not be reliable.

The average in situ SRR determined by the microelectrode measurement was 13.0 ± 6.6 pmol of H$_2$S cm$^{-3}$ h$^{-1}$, which is lower than the maximum specific SRRs without substrate limitation reported in previous studies of an anaerobic SRB biofilm (approximately 56 to 93 pmol of H$_2$S cm$^{-3}$ h$^{-1}$ at 20°C) (30) and of a pure-cultured *Desulfovibrio desulfuricans* biofilm (approximately 484 pmol of SO$_4^{2-}$ cm$^{-3}$ h$^{-1}$ at 35°C) (35). However, the rate is higher than the rates reported in previous microsensor studies of other wastewater biofilm systems (0.3 to 1.6 pmol of H$_2$S cm$^{-3}$ h$^{-1}$) (22, 40, 42) and of marine sediments (approximately 0.1 to 4 nmol of H$_2$S cm$^{-3}$ h$^{-1}$) (19, 21). The higher SRRs in this study than in the other biofilm systems were due primarily to the higher abundance of SRB populations and the lower DO concentration in the bulk water.

**Oxygen consumption.** An approximate budget of the oxygen consumption was estimated from the vertical distributions of the specific consumption rates of O$_2$, H$_2$S, and NO$_3^-$ calculated from the microprofiles (Fig. 6B). The H$_2$S profile over-
lapped with the O₂ and NO₃⁻ profiles, indicating that the produced H₂S was aerobically and anaerobically oxidized in the biofilm. Sulfide denitrifiers, e.g., *Thiobacillus denitrificans*, preferentially utilize O₂ over NO₃⁻ as electron acceptor in the presence of O₂ and NO₃⁻. Therefore, we assumed that NO₃⁻ was utilized by sulfide denitrifiers after O₂ was completely depleted in the zone where the H₂S profile overlaps with the O₂ and NO₃⁻ profiles. We also assumed that the main product of both aerobic and anaerobic H₂S oxidation is SO₄²⁻. Taking into account the fact that oxidation of 1 mol of H₂S to SO₄²⁻ requires 2 mol of O₂ for aerobic oxidation and 4 mol of NO₃⁻ for anaerobic oxidation (i.e., 4NO₃⁻ + H₂S → 4NO₂⁻ + SO₄²⁻ + 2H⁺), the fraction of O₂ consumption for H₂S oxidation was determined throughout each measurement step and integrated throughout the reaction zone (Fig. 6B). As a result, a large fraction (up to 76%) of total O₂ consumption was due to the reoxidation of H₂S. Thus, sulfate reduction is as important as aerobic respiration in this biofilm. Based on the total H₂S consumption rate (ca. 0.20 μmol of H₂S cm⁻² h⁻¹) determined from the H₂S profile (at the point of the steepest gradient) and the integrated H₂S oxidation rate with NO₃⁻ (ca. 0.11 μmol of H₂S cm⁻² h⁻¹) determined from the specific consumption rates of O₂, NO₃⁻, and H₂S, approximately 55% of the sulfide produced was anaerobically reoxidized to SO₄²⁻. However, if more-reduced sulfur compounds such as S⁰ are formed as the product, the H₂S reoxidation becomes less important. Thus, it should be noted that the calculations indicate the upper limits of SRB contribution.

Santegoeds et al. (42) have reported that H₂S reoxidation accounted for up to 70% of total oxygen consumption in aerobic biofilms in the absence of NO₃⁻. Lower potential contributions (10 to 50%) of H₂S reoxidation were found for gravity sewer biofilms (32), for an aerobic trickling filter biofilm (22), and for wastewater biofilms on rotating biological contactors (25).

**Sulfide oxidation.** To investigate the potential sulfide oxidative pathways, average turnover times of O₂, NO₃⁻, and H₂S in the H₂S-oxidizing zones were calculated as the ratio of the average concentration in the H₂S oxidation zone to the average reaction rate (both determined from microprofiles) as described by Kuhl and Jorgensen (22). These turnover times were extremely short (less than a minute) compared with possible spontaneous chemical reaction of O₂ and H₂S. The timescale of the O₂-H₂S reaction at wastewater temperature has been reported to be in the range of minutes to several hours (6, 17). Thus, the observed aerobic and anaerobic oxidation of H₂S was mediated mainly by microbial reactions and instantaneous reaction with metal ions. However, the latter reaction is less important (see below). Accordingly, SRRs in biofilms can be reliably measured in situ only by microelectrodes. It should be noted that the measured ASOR and ANSOR were about 1 to 2 orders of magnitude higher than the SRRs (Fig. 4C). Therefore, sulfate reduction was probably the rate-limiting step in the series of sulfur transformations in the biofilm.

**Sulfur pools in biofilms.** So far, measurements of inorganic reduced sulfur compounds (i.e., sulfur pools) in wastewater biofilm systems are scarce. Nielsen et al. (31) have reported that the maximum total sulfur pool in an alternating oxic and anoxic biofilm system attached on the metal coupon was 157 μmol of S cm⁻³, which consisted mainly of AVS (FeS) and CRS (FeS₂). Compared with this figure, the total S pool in the biofilm in the present study was rather small (approximately 23 μmol of S cm⁻³). However, it is important to note that elemental sulfur (S⁰) was an important intermediate of the sulfide reoxidation in such thin wastewater biofilms, which accounted for about 75% of the total S pool. S⁰ could be produced by both geochemical and biological H₂S oxidation processes. We speculate that the dominance of S⁰ at the surface biofilm (Fig. 5A) resulted from the high SRR followed by the intensive microbial sulfide reoxidation. The importance of the dominance of S⁰ in the biofilm is that S⁰ disproportionation is thermodynamically as favorable and important a process as sulfide production. High concentrations of S⁰ were found in the zone where the SRR was high according to the microprofiles (Fig. 6). This may suggest that a part of sulfide production is not due to sulfate reduction by SRB, S⁰ is also very corrosive to wastewater treatment facilities and could be reduced to H₂S and/or oxidized to SO₄²⁻ by some SRB and other species. The reliability of the spatial distribution of AVS, CRS, and S⁰ in the biofilm was evaluated because of such small sample volumes and losses from exposure of the samples to oxygen. We measured concentrations of AVS, CRS, and S⁰ in an entire biofilm and in the same biofilm samples apportioned into three layers by the Microslicer. Then the total amount of AVS, CRS, and S⁰ in the entire intact biofilm was compared with the sum of the concentrations of each biofilm section. The sums of AVS, CRS, and S⁰ concentrations of the sectioned biofilm samples were 68, 114, and 75%, respectively. Thus, the concentration profiles of AVS, CRS, and S⁰ in the biofilm (Fig. 5A) should be read with this factor being taken into account.

**Contribution of an internal Fe-sulfur cycle in the overall sulfur cycle.** The H₂S profile showed that H₂S diffused up to the very surface of the biofilm, indicating a relatively high in situ SRR (Fig. 6A). A comparison of the high SRRs and the slow accumulation of total reduced sulfur compounds in the biofilm indicated that intensive reoxidation of H₂S must have taken place. The average in situ SRR determined by the microelectrode measurement (Fig. 6) was approximately 13.0 ± 6.6 μmol of H₂S cm⁻³ h⁻¹. The mean accumulation rate of total reduced sulfur compounds in the biofilm was approximately 0.021 to 0.031 μmol of S cm⁻³ h⁻¹ (33). Thus, only up to 0.3% of the produced H₂S was retained as FeS, FeS₂, and S⁰, which must be regarded as an electron sink of aerobic biofilms. The remaining 99.7% was reoxidized to sulfate in the oxic and/or anoxic zone, indicating that the contribution of an internal Fe-sulfur cycle in the overall sulfur cycle is insignificant. Therefore, the role of AVS can be regarded as an important electron carrier from the deeper anoxic sulfate reduction zone to the oxic-anoxic interface. The degree of reoxidation of the H₂S produced in marine sediments was about 80 to 95% (20, 21), indicating that oxidized iron minerals (i.e., the Fe-S cycle) play a more important role than they do in wastewater biofilm systems. This can be explained by a shorter diffusion distance and a much higher SRR, which is due to the higher influx of organic matter and the higher abundance of SRB populations in wastewater biofilm systems.

**Concluding remarks.** The results of the combined study of in situ hybridization with the specific phylogenetic probes and microelectrode measurements provided a more detailed picture of the abundance, the spatial distribution, and the activity of SRB populations in the aerobic wastewater biofilm. In addition, a cross-evaluation of the FISH and microelectrode data was performed by comparing them with culture-based approaches and biogeochemical measurements. In situ hybridization revealed that a relatively high abundance (approximately 10⁹ to 10¹⁰ cells per cm³) of SRB was present throughout the biofilms, even in the oxic surface layer. Probe SRB660-stained *Desulfobulbus* was found to be a numerically important member of SRB populations (approximately 10⁶ to 10⁷ cells per cm³). The biogeochemical measurements showed that elemental sulfur (S⁰) was an important intermediate of the sulfide reoxidation in thin wastewater biofilms, which accounted for
75% of the total S pool. The contribution of an internal Fe-sulfur cycle to the overall sulfur cycle in aerobic wastewater biofilms was insignificant.
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