Morphological and Compositional Changes in a Planktonic Bacterial Community in Response to Enhanced Protozoan Grazing
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We analyzed changes in bacterioplankton morphology and composition during enhanced protozoan grazing by image analysis and fluorescent in situ hybridization with group-specific rRNA-targeted oligonucleotide probes. Enclosure experiments were conducted in a small, fishless freshwater pond which was dominated by the cladoceran Daphnia magna. The removal of metazooplankton enhanced protozoan grazing pressure and triggered a microbial succession from fast-growing small bacteria to larger grazing-resistant morphotypes. These were mainly different types of filamentous bacteria which correlated in biomass with the population development of heterotrophic nanoflagellates (HNF). Small bacterial rods and cocci, which showed increased proportion after removal of Daphnia and doubling times of 6 to 11 h, belonged nearly exclusively to the beta subdivision of the class Proteobacteria and the Cytophaga-Flavobacterium cluster. The majority of this newly produced bacterial biomass was rapidly consumed by HNF. In contrast, the proportion of bacteria belonging to the gamma and alpha subdivisions of the Proteobacteria increased throughout the experiment. The alpha subdivision consisted mainly of rods that were 3 to 6 μm in length, which probably exceeded the size range of bacteria edible by protozoa. Initially, these organisms accounted for less than 1% of total bacteria, but after 72 h they became the predominant group of the bacterial assemblage. Other types of grazing-resistant, filamentous bacteria were also found within the beta subdivision of Proteobacteria and the Cytophaga-Flavobacterium cluster. We conclude that the predation regimen is a major structuring force for the bacterial community composition in this system. Protozoan grazing resulted in shifts of the morphological as well as the taxonomic composition of the bacterial assemblage. Grazing-resistant filamentous bacteria can develop within different phylogenetic groups of bacteria, and formerly underrepresented taxa might become a dominant group when protozoan predation is the major selective pressure.

Planktonic bacteria are regulated by the availability of inorganic and organic nutrients (“bottom-up control”), by bacterivorous protists (“top-down control”), and by viral lysis. In addition, cladocerans, especially Daphnia spp., can replace protozoans as the major bacterial consumer in freshwater lakes (16, 28). An important issue in aquatic microbial ecology is elucidating the relative importance of resource limitation, grazing, and viral mortality of bacterioplankton communities. Most field studies approached these questions with methods which describe the average bacterial community response in terms such as abundance, biomass, production, and mortality rates. These studies resulted in good quantitative measurements of bacterial growth and loss rates and in convincing estimates of the limiting factors. However, they provided little information as to how the specific type of control results in qualitative changes of natural bacterial assemblages. This was largely due to methodological constraints and was also due to the main focus being on carbon and nutrient fluxes in most of these studies.

There is evidence that grazing is one of the major forces shaping the bacterial community structure (10). Predation balances bacterial production and therefore should be considered an important selective pressure, especially in more productive systems (20). So far, the grazing impact has been mainly studied with respect to the size structures of bacterial communities.
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Predator-prey interactions between bacteria and protozoans are known to affect the bacterial size structure in two ways: first, size-selective grazing, i.e., higher rates of encounter of and feeding on large bacteria (5, 7, 8), which results in a shift towards smaller cell sizes, and second, the development of bacteria which are too large to be ingested by protists, which results in the occurrence of grazing-resistant complex morphologies (9). The shift towards very small and very large bacteria, which both experience reduced grazing mortality, has been observed to occur in natural planktonic communities during increased protozoan grazing (10, 32).

Direct and indirect evidence implies that different mechanisms are involved when grazing-resistant bacteria appear in natural communities in response to enhanced protozoan grazing (reviewed in reference 20). However, the most obvious type of resistance, one that is accessible to a microscopic analysis, is manifested by bacterial cells or clusters of cells, such as filamentous, chain-forming bacteria or bacterial aggregates, which surpass small protozoans in size. Filamentous bacteria are widespread, at least in freshwater and coastal marine plankton, and it has been demonstrated that their occurrence is correlated with population maxima of protozoan grazers, especially heterotrophic nanoflagellates (HNF) (11, 14, 22, 32). The high phenotypic plasticity of many bacteria and the large diversity of natural bacterial assemblages favor a rapid response towards predation as a selective pressure (20). In experimental laboratory systems both potential mechanisms, i.e., taxonomic changes resulting in less-vulnerable species and the development of resistant phenotypes, have been demon-
stratified (9, 12, 31, 35, 37). In natural bacterial communities both mechanisms might occur simultaneously during the development of bacterial assemblages containing a high proportion of grazing-resistant cells. Molecular techniques for analyzing the bacterial community structure might reveal the relative degrees of importance of phenotypic and taxonomic changes in response to different predation regimens. These techniques also allow monitoring of changes in structure and function of bacterial assemblages during enhanced grazing pressure.

The goal of the present study was to analyze changes in microbial and eukaryotic planktonic bacteria and ciliates community with a high proportion of grazing-resistant cells. Molecular techniques for analyzing the bacterial community structure might reveal the relative degrees of importance of phenotypic and taxonomic changes in response to different predation regimens. These techniques also allow monitoring of changes in structure and function of bacterial assemblages during enhanced grazing pressure.

For the examination of the microbial succession as revealed in the DAPI preparations, we focused mainly on three operationally defined groups: (i) freely dispersed, single-cell bacteria (rods and cocci) which resemble the “normal” type of planktonic bacteria and which we considered to be edible by protozoa; (ii) filamentous bacteria (inedible by protozoa), which we defined as elongated bacteria (>5 μm in length), including such different types as straight filaments without visible septae, dividing cells which did not separate, and bacterial chains; and (iii) HNF, colorless flagellates, mainly in the 3- to 5-μm size range.

The situation at the start of the experiment was characterized by small numbers of protozoans (<1,000 HNF ml^-1 and <100 ciliates liter^-1), and the majority of the bacterial biomass consisted of freely dispersed small cells (approximately 5 × 10^6 cells ml^-1; mean volume of 0.07 μm^3). Besides normal-sized planktonic bacteria (0.4 to 1 μm in maximal dimensions), a large number of extremely small and faintly fluorescent particles were present; we assumed these to be viruses or bacterial remains released by grazers and did not enumerate them as bacteria (see Fig. 5). The development of bacteria, HNF, and...
bacterial filaments differed markedly between the treatments with and without zooplankton during the 6 days of incubation. A very pronounced microbial succession occurred in the −DAPH bottles after the zooplankton was removed (Fig. 1). After a lag phase of 10 h, the concentration of rod-shaped bacteria increased strongly for about 20 h and the increase nearly doubled the bacterial concentration. The subsequent decline in the concentration of bacteria was paralleled by an exponential increase of the HNF concentration. HNF concentrations increased from the beginning of the experiment to maximum development, observed after 55 h: mean abundance increased from $2.1 \times 10^2$ to $3.2 \times 10^3$ ml$^{-1}$, and mean length increased from 7.8 μm to 11.8 μm. Also, the subsequent decline and the second increase at the end of the experiment closely matched the population development of HNF. In contrast to this succession, the microbial community in the bottles with zooplankton (+ DAPH) stayed relatively constant during the incubation. The concentration of bacteria fluctuated irregularly in the range from $3 \times 10^2$ to $6 \times 10^3$ ml$^{-1}$, and the concentrations of filaments and HNF increased slightly only in the second half of the experiment. The major portion of the metazooplankton in the bottles, especially the daphnids, were alive at the end of the experiment.

**In situ hybridization and bacterial community composition.**

In situ hybridizations with the probe EUB338 for *Bacteria* and the group-specific probes ALF1b, BET42a, GAM42a, and CF319 were performed for most sampling dates in order to cover the main sequences of the microbial succession in the −DAPH bottles. In addition, we tested other probes (BONE23a and HGC69a) for selected time points only. There were no picococci or other orange or red fluorescent particles in the samples, which facilitated the enumeration of Cy3-labeled hybridized bacterial cells. Bacteria on the filters used for hybridization were evenly distributed, with distribution comparable to that of normal DAPI preparations. To estimate the variability related to the hybridization procedure, we performed six parallel hybridizations from one filter (− DAPH, after 32 h) with the EUB probe. The coefficient of variation (CV; percent standard deviation [SD] of the mean) for EUB-positive cells was 4%, the CV for total bacteria was 10%, and hybridized cells accounted for 77 to 83% of the DAPI counts (CV was 3.5%). The greater variability of DAPI counts was probably due to the continuous size spectrum of DAPI-positive particles (see Fig. 5) and the use of a subjective threshold for counting DAPI-stained particles above a certain size as bacteria.

Hybridization efficiency, defined as the proportion of total bacteria which were detected with the EUB probe, varied during the course of the experiment between 35 and 85%, and higher values and less variability in the −DAPH bottles (mean efficiency, 74% ± 9%) than in the + DAPH bottles (mean efficiency, 59% ± 15%) were noted (Fig. 2). These numbers are restricted in that the exact number of total bacteria depended on the subjective threshold for counting DAPI-stained particles as bacteria. In general, only the smallest bacteria had no signal for hybridization with the EUB probe. At later periods in the experiment some filamentous chains which did not hybridize with the EUB probe also appeared in the − DAPH bottles. The proportion of bacteria which could be affiliated with the four group-specific probes for major phyla within the domain *Bacteria* was also higher in the − DAPH than in + DAPH bottles, where a major portion of EUB-positive cells remained unaffiliated with one of the specific groups (Fig. 2). This group, referred to as OTHERS in Fig. 2, comprised between 1 and 61% of the total bacteria, with mean values of 18% for the − DAPH bottles and 36% for the + DAPH bottles. An obvious decrease in the proportion of bacteria which hybridized with EUB but with none of the other probes occurred in − DAPH bottles throughout the course of the experiment and in + DAPH bottles towards the end of the experiment (Fig. 2). The major bacterial groups contributing to the count of bacteria detectable by rRNA probes were members of the beta subdivision of *Proteobacteria* and of the *Cytotrigon-flavovacterium* cluster. For − DAPH bottles members of the alpha subdivision of *Proteobacteria* also contributed sig-
significantly to the total count of bacteria detectable during the second half of the experiment (Fig. 2). The population development of EUB-positive bacteria and of the four different bacterial subgroups is shown in Fig. 3. There was good correspondence between the three replicate treatments of $D^2$DAPH and $D^1$DAPH. The mean CV of all hybridizations was 26% in $D^2$DAPH bottles and 33% in $D^1$DAPH bottles; the values of CV for the different bacterial groups ranged from 22 to 29% in the former and from 23 to 44% in the latter. Concurrent with the morphological changes which were revealed in DAPI preparations, there was a bacterial community shift in $D^2$DAPH bottles, whereas cell numbers and overall composition stayed relatively constant in $D^1$DAPH bottles (Fig. 3). Numbers of EUB-positive cells reflect the development of total counts and show, in $D^2$DAPH bottles, the strong increase and subsequent decline to initial levels. The major portion of the rapid increase in the count of bacteria after the removal of zooplankton was comprised of cells belonging to the beta subdivision of Proteobacteria and to the Cytophaga-Flavobacterium cluster. Within the former group, the majority of the bacteria (75% ± 15%) at the peak observed after 22 h belonged to the beta 1 subgroup. Most cells that hybridized with BET42a and CF319a were freely suspended rods and cocci <1.5 μm in size. These cells declined in numbers during the increase of the count of HNF. Different dynamics were observed for the alpha and gamma subdivisions of Proteobacteria. Both groups continuously increased in numbers during the course of the experiment, irrespective of protozoan development. The alpha subdivision became a predominant group of the bacterial assemblage, with abundance higher by one order of magnitude than that of the gamma subdivision. The only significant change of bacterial community composition in $D^1$DAPH bottles was an increase in the number of cells that hybridized with probe CF319a during the end of the experiment. We did not detect positive signals with the probe for gram-positive bacteria (HGC69a) when we tested at several points in time during the bacterial succession in $D^2$DAPH bottles.

FIG. 2. Development of the relative compositions of the bacterial assemblages in $D^2$DAPH bottles (A) and $D^1$DAPH bottles (B). The total length of each bar represents the fraction of the count of DAPI-stained cells which was detectable with the probe EUB338. Values are means for three replicate treatments.

FIG. 3. Development of different bacterial groups in the treatments with (open symbols) and without (closed symbols) Daphnia as determined by in situ hybridization with probes specific for Bacteria (EUB338), the beta subdivision of the class Proteobacteria (BET42a), the Cytophaga-Flavobacterium cluster (CF319a), the alpha subdivision of the class Proteobacteria (ALF1b), and the gamma subdivision of the class Proteobacteria (GAM42a). Values are means for three replicate treatments, and vertical bars show SDs. Note the different scales of the y axes.
Growth rates of bacteria and protists. The removal of metazooplankton from \(-D\)aph bottles relieved the microorganisms from grazing pressure, and the subsequent increase, first of the count of bacteria and later on the count of HNF, could be used to calculate net growth rates (19). This was done for all bacteria and for the different groups enumerated by hybridization. HNF developed with a delay of 1 day, and the growth rate was calculated for the period of their exponential increase. The values for the periods with the maximal increases for the different organisms are shown in Table 1. The highest growth rate, characterized by a doubling time of about 6 h, was achieved by bacteria that hybridized with CF319a. The other bacterial subgroups had fairly similar growth rates, characterized by doubling times of 11 to 13 h, which were comparable to the calculated growth rate of all bacterioplankton as calculated from the DAPI counts. The lower growth rates for EUB338-positive cells, with doubling times of around 20 h, might be explained by the fact that this group also included a substantial fraction of cells, which probably grew more slowly, not covered by the four group-specific probes.

### Table 1. Maximum growth rates of bacterioplankton, HNF, and different bacterial groups in the treatments without metazooplankton (\(-D\)aph)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>Growth rate (h(^{-1})) (\pm) SD</th>
<th>Interval (h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HNF</td>
<td>0.053 ± 0.021</td>
<td>32–55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacterioplankton</td>
<td>0.046 ± 0.008</td>
<td>10–22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EUB338-hybridized</td>
<td>0.037 ± 0.009</td>
<td>0–33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BET42a-hybridized</td>
<td>0.056 ± 0.015</td>
<td>0–22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CF319a-hybridized</td>
<td>0.113 ± 0.007</td>
<td>0–22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALF1b-hybridized</td>
<td>0.059 ± 0.006</td>
<td>0–72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAM42a-hybridized</td>
<td>0.055 ± 0.018</td>
<td>0–72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Mean ± SD for three replicate treatments is shown. Rates were calculated for intervals with exponential increase.

**Growth rates of bacteria and protists.** The removal of metazooplankton from \(-D\)aph bottles relieved the microorganisms from grazing pressure, and the subsequent increase, first of the count of bacteria and later on the count of HNF, could be used to calculate net growth rates (19). This was done for all bacteria and for the different groups enumerated by hybridization. HNF developed with a delay of 1 day, and the growth rate was calculated for the period of their exponential increase. The values for the periods with the maximal increases for the different bacterial groups are shown in Table 1. The highest growth rate, characterized by a doubling time of about 6 h, was achieved by bacteria that hybridized with CF319a. The other bacterial subgroups had fairly similar growth rates, characterized by doubling times of 11 to 13 h, which were comparable to the calculated growth rate of all bacterioplankton as calculated from the DAPI counts. The lower growth rates for EUB338-positive cells, with doubling times of around 20 h, might be explained by the fact that this group also included a substantial fraction of cells, which probably grew more slowly, not covered by the four group-specific probes.

**Morphological structures of the different bacterial groups.** The shift in morphology of the bacterial assemblage (as visible in DAPI preparations) could be related to the shift in community composition (deduced from FISH results). For several samples taken on dates important in the succession, we analyzed the size structure of hybridized cells with image analysis and counted and separately sized filamentous bacteria within the different subgroups. The changes within the size structure of the freely dispersed single bacterial cells are shown for selected dates in Fig. 4. At the start of the experiment (\(-D\)aph, 0 h) the majority of the bacterial biomass was in the cell length classes of 0.8 to 1.6 \(\mu\)m and mainly comprised of bacteria of the beta subdivision and, to a lesser extent, bacteria of the *Cytophaga-Flavobacterium* cluster. This remained virtu-
ally unchanged for 22 h after zooplankton removal, but the bacterial biomass was approximately three times greater (−DAPH, 22 h). After protozoan grazing had reduced the bacterial abundance to the initial level, the size distribution and phylogenetic composition was significantly changed (−DAPH, 72 h). A large proportion of the biovolume was comprised of cells 2 to 5 μm in length, and the majority of these larger bacteria hybridized with probe ALF1b. In treatments with Daphnia, the bacterial size distribution did not change after 72 h but the proportion of cells that hybridized with CF319a was larger (+ DAPH, 72 h).

Different types of filamentous bacteria were visible in + DAPH and − DAPH bottles, but only in the latter treatment did they develop in larger numbers. According to the morphology visible in epifluorescence microscopy, we distinguished five different types of filaments (Table 2), to which we assigned all cells with a length of >5 μm. The filament types 1, 2, and 4 were found only within one bacterial subgroup, whereas types 3 and 5 occurred, with relatively similar morphology, among several bacterial subgroups. Examples of the most important filament morphologies are shown in the photomicrographs presented in Fig. 5. We analyzed the filament biovolume and group affiliation in more detail at 72 h, which was shortly after the maximum development of filaments. Filamentous bacteria of the different subgroups were sized based on Cy3 fluorescence, as this was generally much more visible than DAPI fluorescence (Fig. 5).

After 72 h, the total bacterial biomasses were approximately the same in − DAPH and + DAPH bottles but the proportions of filamentous and nonfilamentous bacteria differed strongly (Fig. 6A). In − DAPH bottles the filamentous biovolume was 54%, whereas in + DAPH bottles it was 11%, of the nonfilamentous biovolume. Highly diverse filament types, belonging to different phylogenetic groups of bacteria, were present in − DAPH bottles (Fig. 6B). Bacteria belonging to the alpha subdivision of Proteobacteria were the major contributors to total filament biovolume. They nearly exclusively showed one type of filament: long rods, many in the dividing stages, 5 to 10 μm in length (Fig. 5A). They looked similar to and are probably a continuation of the long rods, ranging from 2 to 5 μm in length, which are included in the size distribution presented in Fig. 4. Bacteria belonging to the beta subdivision of Proteobacteria also substantially contributed to the total biovolume of filaments and expressed a high diversity of filament types. S-shaped and curved cells were the most conspicuous (Fig. 5B), but long threads (Fig. 5C) and chains of small rods also occurred within this subdivision. Bacteria of the Cytophaga-Flavobacterium cluster also contributed to filamentous forms, mainly as chains of rods with a total length of up to 100 μm (Fig. 5D). Filaments within the gamma subdivision of Proteobacteria were extremely rare, comprising less than 1% of total cells in this subdivision, and therefore not included in this analysis. Another significant group of filamentous bacteria, forming chains of various lengths but with a consistently similar cell morphology, did not show a signal indicating hybridization with the probe EUB338 (Fig. 6).

### Discussion

Our enclosure experiment clearly demonstrated that benthic protozoans not only affect the overall bacterial abundance but also can strongly change the morphological and phylogenetic composition of a planktonic bacterial community. Intense grazing pressure in the treatments without metazooplankton, exerted mainly by HNF, promoted the development of grazing-resistant bacterial filaments and elongated cell forms. These developed in different phylogenetic groups, but only one of them, the alpha subdivision of Proteobacteria, became a dominant part of the bacterial assemblage.

Field observations in a variety of lakes revealed that grazing-resistant bacterial morphotypes, such as filaments and aggregates, frequently constitute an important portion of the bacterial biomass, especially during periods of intense protozoan grazing (11, 22, 39). If a large proportion of planktonic bacterial biomass is constituted of grazing-resistant bacteria, this has important ecological consequences; for example, a decrease in trophic transfer efficiency and a reduction in nutrient regeneration might lower the overall system productivity (20). However, besides the fact that the appearance of these resistant morphotypes is related to certain food web constellations, we know very little about the underlying regulating mechanisms, ecology, and taxonomic affiliation of these bacteria.

Meso- and microcosm studies with intact natural communities and defined and controlled manipulations are a compromise between purely descriptive field observations and laboratory studies conducted under very reduced conditions. Situations in which large filter-feeding zooplankton predominate in the plankton community offer an interesting and suitable food web constellation in which to analyze grazing-mediated changes in the bacterial community structure. This is especially the case when Daphnia species predominate because they achieve a high total biomass and very high community filtration rates (24) which reduce all protists to very low levels (16). We assume that bacterial communities in Daphnia-predominated systems express few adaptations towards protozoan grazing. Therefore, the development of grazing resistance and the impact of protozoan grazing on bacterial community composition can be followed and analyzed after elimination of zooplankton. In previous experiments (17) it became obvious that the removal of Daphnia spp. in such situations initiates a heterotrophic microbial succession with the following sequence: (i) increase of the number of freely dispersed single bacterial cells, (ii) increase of the number of nanoflagellates and decline of that of bacteria, and (iii) development of grazing-resistant bacteria and later of larger protozoans. Grazing-resistant bacteria which develop in the third phase are not necessarily filamentous forms but can also be aggregates (18), other complex morphologies, or bacteria with unknown escape mechanisms.

### Table 2. Morphological diversity of filamentous bacteria as revealed by fluorescent oligonucleotide probing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Filament type</th>
<th>General filament morphology</th>
<th>Length (μm)</th>
<th>Probe(s)</th>
<th>Example</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Long rods; dividing stages</td>
<td>5–10</td>
<td>ALF1b</td>
<td>Fig. 5A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Strongly curved and S-shaped filaments with pointed ends</td>
<td>7–25</td>
<td>BET42a</td>
<td>Fig. 5B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Long linear threads; septae not visible</td>
<td>5–55</td>
<td>BET42a, CF319a</td>
<td>Fig. 5B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Slender, slightly curved filaments with pointed ends; septae not visible</td>
<td>5–35</td>
<td>BET42a</td>
<td>Fig. 5C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Small to medium-sized rods, arranged in chains</td>
<td>5–100</td>
<td>CF319a, BET42a; EUB338-negative</td>
<td>Fig. 5D</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FIG. 5. Epifluorescence photomicrographs of filamentous bacteria in DAPH bottles after 72 h. The same microscopic fields are shown with UV excitation to visualize DAPI staining (left) and with Cy3 excitation to visualize probe-conferred fluorescence (right); the probes were ALF1b (A), BET42a (B and C), and CF319a (D). The bar in panel D corresponds to 10 μm and applies to all panels.
This conceptual outline of the microbial succession is similar to the one generally observed after substrate enrichment in decomposition studies (20) and was also evident in the present experiment. We have to restrict our analysis of the dynamics of protozoan-resistant bacteria and those that are edible by protozoa to morphological criteria because we still do not possess the appropriate methods to quantify other potential mechanisms of grazing resistance in bacteria (20). In our microcosm experiment, we defined bacteria that are >5 μm in length as filaments and as inedible for the majority of protozoans. This approximation is suggested by the size of the flagellates, which are the principal bacterivores and mostly 5 μm in diameter. According to this definition, nearly 40% of the total bacterial biomass was protozoan grazing resistant after 72 h in -DAPH bottles, in contrast to about 10% of that in +DAPH bottles (Fig. 6). This value is probably an underestimation for -DAPH bottles, as particles within the size range of 2 to 5 μm are already ingested at substantially reduced rates by HNF compared to bacteria 1 μm in size (22a). This is also indicated by the shift in size distribution of nonfilamentous bacteria, mainly members of the alpha subdivision of Proteobacteria, towards larger cell sizes in -DAPH bottles (Fig. 4). Simek et al. (37) estimated from in situ hybridizations of bacteria inside protozoan food vacuoles that a cell length of about 3 μm was the maximum ingestible particle size for the nanoflagellate Bodo saltans. In an oligomesotrophic lake the abundance of bacterial cells that were >2.4 μm correlated strongly with the abundance of HNF, therefore suggesting the existence of a size refuge at this cell length (32). A comparable bacterial size limit for the nanoflagellates might have been the case in our experiment. We have to be aware that there is some controversy regarding the exact size estimations of bacteria derived from staining with different fluorochromes (40) and that DAPI staining may underestimate bacterial size. For larger rods and filaments, cell length measurements yielded 20 to 30% higher values from Cy3 fluorescence than from DAPI fluorescence of the same cells, but no such differences were apparent for small cells (32a). It has been shown in laboratory experiments that actively growing bacteria, which generally have an increased cell length or are at a dividing stage, are subject to higher rates of feeding by nanoflagellates and ciliates (8, 34), presumably due to higher rates of encounter with the grazers (36). However, increased grazing mortality probably changes to grazing resistance with small changes in cell elongation. This was probably the reason for the strong increase in the number of large rods of the alpha subdivision of Proteobacteria, which became

**FIG. 6.** (A) Biovolume distribution between freely dispersed rods and cocci <5 μm in length and filaments >5 μm in length after 72 h in + DAPH and - DAPH bottles. Means for three replicate treatments (mean CV was 20%) are shown. (B) Distribution of biovolume after 72 h among different filamentous morphotypes in -DAPH bottles for the different bacterial groups as revealed by in situ hybridization. Filament types 1 through 5 are as characterized in Table 2. EUB338-negative refers to filaments which did not hybridize with the probe EUB338.
a dominant group in the bacterial community due to the protozoan impact.

The development of a bacterial community with a high proportion of grazing-resistant forms was not unexpected. In enclosure experiments designed similarly to ours (17), nearly 90% of the bacterial biomass consisted of grazing-resistant bacterial morphotypes 96 h after removal of zooplankton. Filamentous bacteria in eutrophic lakes can achieve a high biomass during protozoan maxima that is comparable to the peaks observed in our experiment (22, 39). In addition to the data acquired in previous fractionation experiments, in which the bacterial community shift was monitored only from the morphological aspect as revealed in DAPI preparations (19, 23), we obtained a better insight into this succession by using group-specific oligonucleotide probes. Information on the composition of freshwater bacterioplankton is still very limited. However, recent studies using 16S rRNA sequences (15, 27) or FISH (1, 6, 30, 42) indicate that the beta subdivision of *Proteobacteria* seems to be globally distributed and abundant in freshwater environments. The next most abundant phylotypic groups identified in these studies were members of the Cytophaga-Flavobacterium cluster and of the alpha subdivision of the class Proteobacteria. This general view of the phylotypic composition of freshwater bacterial communities is also confirmed in the present study. Bacteria that hybridized with probes BET42a and CF319a represented a substantial portion of the bacterial community in this pond.

The dynamics of both groups closely resembled that of total bacterioplankton, and their abundance increased strongly after removal of zooplankton and declined to below the initial levels after development of HNF (Fig. 3). Interestingly, cells that hybridized with probe ALF1b did not follow this pattern but seemed to be rather unaffected by the large flagellate population, and their number continued to increase throughout the experiment. The large rods and cells at dividing stages, which made up the major portion of the biovolume in this bacterial subgroup (Fig. 4 and Fig. 5A) had probably just reached the cell length which protected them against ingestion by nanoflagellates. This represents an example in which grazing-resistant morphotypes, belonging to an initially minor bacterial taxon, become a dominant part of the bacterial assemblage due to high grazing pressure.

Principally two different mechanisms can be involved in the formation of grazing-resistant bacteria. First, predation-resistant morphotypes can appear due to phenotypic alterations of normal morphotypes, as shown for isolated strains (12, 35). This might be triggered by chemical release by the predators (release of kairomones), which is a widespread mechanism in planktonic food webs (reviewed in reference 25) but has not yet been shown for bacterium-protozoan interactions. Cell elongation can also be indirectly mediated by predators due to an increase in specific growth rate due to grazing (12). Second, changed selection conditions, i.e., towards higher predation pressure and less substrate competition, might increase the abundance of previously uncommon taxa with permanently low grazer vulnerability. The second mechanism would result, without any phenotypic plasticity, in a shift in the overall bacterial community composition. However, a combination of both mechanisms is possible, i.e., an increase in abundance of a phenotypically altered species, thereby changing the community composition as well (12, 13). Different mechanisms for the development of grazing resistance are likely to occur simultaneously in natural complex bacterial communities. In our experiment, the continuous size range from small to large elongated rods, the high net growth rates, and the many dividing stages of the bacteria that hybridized with probe ALF1b suggest that a mechanism similar to that demonstrated for several bacterial isolates (12, 13) might have been active: the reduction of bacterial abundance by grazers increases the substrate flow per cell and increases the cell-specific growth rate. This in turn results in larger, more-elongated cell forms and thus shifts the size distribution into the size range of grazing-resistant bacteria. However, we need more specific probes to follow the population dynamics of individual species within the whole community in order to reveal the exact underlying mechanisms.

Grazing-resistant morphotypes in our enclosure experiment were not restricted to the alpha subdivision of *Proteobacteria*, although this was quantitatively the most important group. Different types of filamentous bacteria, belonging to the beta subdivision of *Proteobacteria*, the Cytophaga-Flavobacterium cluster, and an as yet unaffiliated member of the bacterioplankton (which is EUB338 negative), increased in abundance and accounted for a significant fraction of bacterial biomass after the protozoan population maxima occurred (Fig. 6). Filament formation seems to be a phylogenetically widespread mechanism for resisting protozoan predation. In activated sludge, an environment with extremely high protozoan grazing pressure, there can be found many filamentous bacterial species which belong to all the bacterial subclasses which were quantified in this study with the group-specific probes (41). Besides complex bacterial morphologies, growth in detritus aggregates occurred in DAPH bottles and the abundance of these aggregates increased during the experiment. However, as attached bacteria were estimated to comprise not more than 10% of total bacterial biomass, we did not include these in our analysis. It was obvious, however, that bacteria from all subgroups detected by the group-specific probes were present in these aggregates (data not shown), which probably represent a temporary predation refuge (4, 18).

The application of group-specific rRNA-targeted oligonucleotides is a relatively rapid and easy way to obtain an idea of the overall bacterial community composition and of the major shifts which occur due to changes in biotic or abiotic conditions. However, with respect to our analysis of the predator-mediated bacterial succession in the microcosm experiment, we have to be aware of the methodological limitations. Group-specific probes can reveal only shifts within and not changes within the groups tested for. Phylogenetic groups, such as the alpha, beta, and gamma subdivisions of the class *Proteobacteria* and the Cytophaga-Flavobacterium cluster, include bacteria with very diverse morphologies and physiologies. The other restriction of FISH is the fact that in some planktonic habitats a high percentage of total bacteria do not hybridize with oligonucleotide probes (6, 23). This was not a major problem here as the hybridization efficiency was generally high. It was more problematic for the analysis of bacterial community changes that a rather large proportion of EUB338-positive cells could not be assigned by one of the four group-specific probes (Fig. 2). There are insufficient data to judge whether this is a general phenomenon in freshwater bacterioplankton. In a high mountain lake this proportion was also large (20 to 60%) (1), whereas it was generally small in a study on lake snow particles (42). Although sequencing of amplified and cloned 16S rRNA-encoding genes from bacterioplankton revealed that members of the phyla *Proteobacteria* and *Cytophaga-Flavobacterium-Bacteroides* are major phylogenetic groups in freshwater plankton (3, 15), some other bacterial clusters for which no oligonucleotide probes have been designed yet, such as *Actinomycetales* and *Verrucomicrobiales*, and low G+C content gram-positive bacteria were found (15). Another possible reason for the low detectability with the group-specific probes is that some of them, such as CF319a, do not
hybridize with all known species within that group (26). Therefore, for these types of ecological studies there is a continued need for more oligonucleotide probes that are specific on various phylogenetic levels.

An important question which cannot be answered at the moment is whether, besides the filaments, bacteria with different predation resistance strategies developed. Even during peak abundance of protists, a substantial portion of bacteria in the edible size range remained in the bottles in the experiments; for example, the abundance of members of the gamma subdivision of Proteobacteria increased continuously although they consisted nearly exclusively of small rods. This may be due to other, nonmorphological, resistance mechanisms as suggested before (20) or, alternatively, to high growth rates of these bacteria which compensate for grazing losses (31). Our knowledge of the composition and seasonal succession of freshwater bacterioplankton is still very limited, and the same is true for our knowledge of the properties of bacteria which influence their susceptibility to predators. However, with the help of the rapidly evolving methodology for analyzing the bacterial community composition, we can expect many new insights into how grazers impact the phenotypic appearance and population dynamics of natural bacterial assemblages.
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