Removal of Dibenzofuran, Dibenzo-p-Dioxin, and 2-Chlorodibenzo-p-Dioxin from Soils Inoculated with *Sphingomonas* sp. Strain RW1
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Diaryl ether compounds include several chemicals (e.g., 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin) that are recognized as toxic pollutants and that persist in soils, in part, by sorbing onto organic matter (7, 20) and, to a lesser extent, onto mineral surfaces (12). Aerobic bacteria that degrade dibenzo-p-dioxin (DD), dibenzofuran (DF), carboxydiaphenyl ether, and some halogenated derivatives of these chemicals have been isolated (9, 11, 16, 17, 26, 32, 33). Researchers have primarily focused their studies on the activities of these bacteria in liquid culture (10, 18, 19). In one case, degradation of DD and DF in soil microcosms to which *Sphingomonas* sp. strain RW1 was introduced was observed (25). To determine whether these and similar bacteria have potential for use in situ bioremediation, data concerning the fate and activity of the bacteria in soils are needed in conjunction with data on the bioavailability of target chemicals (14). These factors were addressed in this study by using soil microcosms and *Sphingomonas* sp. strain RW1, a bacterium that mineralizes DD and DF as growth substrates and transforms certain mono- and dichlorinated analogs of these chemicals as cometabolic reactions (32).

**Bacterial growth conditions.** Strain RW1 (no. 6014; German Collection of Microorganisms and Cell Cultures, Braunschweig, Germany) was tagged with a Tn5 suicide donor system, pNMHH20, that encodes genes for resistance to kanamycin and for catechol-2,3-dioxygenase (24). This enzyme converts catechol to muconic acid semialdehyde, a product having a bright yellow color, and does so at a higher rate than the catechol-2,3-dioxygenase present in strain RW1. Strain RW1 was routinely grown in the dark (200 rpm, 30°C) by using M9 minimal medium (23) supplemented with trace elements (31) and DF (1 g/liter) as the sole substrate. Solid medium contained agar (15 g/liter) with benzoate (5 mM) as the sole substrate plus kanamycin (50 μg/ml).

**Soils.** A pale brown, sandy Zimmerman soil (B21 horizon, 18 to 38 cm below surface) was obtained from a previously cultivated field in the Cedar Creek Natural History Area, Minn. A dark soil (A horizon) was obtained from Fort Snelling State Park, St. Paul, Minn. Soils were sieved (2-mm mesh) and stored at 4°C. A quartz sand (Jordan) was obtained from the Minnesota Frac Sand Co., Jordan, Minn. (Table 1). The sand was sieved to obtain a fraction with a particle size distribution of 0.15 to 0.6 mm. Cedar Creek soil was blended with Jordan sand and Fort Snelling soil to obtain various contents of organic matter, ranging from 0 to 5.5% total organic carbon (TOC). Mixtures were air dried, and water was added to a level equal to 60% field capacity.

**Soil microcosm studies.** Microcosms consisted of either serum bottles (100 ml) plus soil (20 g [dry weight] of soil) or vials (4 ml) plus soil (2 g [dry weight] of soil). DD, 2-chlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (2-CDD) (99% purity; Chemservice, West Chester, Pa.), and DF (Sigma, St. Louis, Mo.) were added to individual microcosms from methanolic stocks 24 h prior to inoculation with strain RW1; strain RW1 does not use methanol as substrate. Bacteria were grown to late log phase, collected by centrifugation (5,000 × g, 20 min), washed twice in saline (0.85% NaCl), and added to the microcosms during mixing. The microcosms were covered with Parafilm and incubated (21°C) in the dark. Densities of strain RW1 and concentrations of DD, DF, and 2-CDD were determined periodically by sacrificing individual microcosms. Data are reported as averages of triplicate determinations.

Bacteria were extracted from soil in an extraction solution (1 ml/g [dry weight] of soil [21]) by agitation on a wrist action shaker (30 min). Extracts were serially diluted in saline; aliquots were then spread on solid medium and incubated (30°C, 7 days). Resultant colonies were sprayed with a solution of catechol (100 mM) to identify strain RW1. The detection limit was 10⁶ CFU/g (dry weight) of soil; the efficiency of recovery was 87%.

DD, DF, and 2-CDD were extracted from soil by addition of acetonitrile (2% H₃PO₄, 1 ml/g [dry weight] of soil) and agitation (60 min). Particles were settled out (10 min), and the supernatant was passed through a PTFE membrane filter (0.2 μm). Aliquots were analyzed by high-pressure liquid chromatography with a Waters LC Module I equipped with a reversed-phase Waters Nova-Pak phenyl column (3.9 by 150 mm; particle size, 4 μm) and a Waters 996 photodiode array detector (λ₉₀–320 nm). An isotropic solvent of acidified, distilled water (0.1% H₃PO₄) and acetonitrile (50:50) was used. Injection was by automatic sampler; volumes ranged from 10 to 200 μl. Peaks and concentrations were identified by comparison to known standards. Recoveries of DF, DD, and 2-CDD ranged from 89 to 100%; detection limits were 50 ppb. As appropriate,
cations of chemicals were fit to either a pseudo-first-order rate model, \( dp/dt = -k_1P \), or a second-order rate model, \( dp/dt = -k_2BP \), where \( P \) is the concentration of substrate, \( B \) is the concentration of biomass, \( t \) is time, \( k_1 \) is the pseudo-first-order rate constant, and \( k_2 \) is the second-order rate constant.

**Survival of RW1.** Densities of strain RW1 (4 \( \times \) 10\(^6\) CFU/g [dry weight] of soil) decreased exponentially in soils without substrate amendment at a rate (0.156 ± 0.007 day\(^{-1}\)) corresponding to a half-life of 4.4 days. In the presence of DF (10 ppm), the decrease in density was less rapid, at a rate (0.093 ± 0.034 day\(^{-1}\)) corresponding to a half-life of 7.5 days. The same rate was observed for several initial densities of the bacterium (4 \( \times \) 10\(^5\), 4 \( \times \) 10\(^6\), and 4 \( \times \) 10\(^7\) CFU/g [dry weight] of soil). In contrast, the presence of DD (10 ppm) did not affect the survival of strain RW1; the density of the bacterium decreased at a rate of 0.148 ± 0.004 day\(^{-1}\), which is similar to that observed in soils without substrate amendment. Densities of strain RW1 decreased dramatically in soils containing 2-CDD (10 ppm), at a rate (0.782 ± 0.045 day\(^{-1}\)) corresponding to a half-life of 0.9 days (data not shown).

**Degradation of diaryl ether compounds.** DF was removed from soils containing strain RW1 (Fig. 1a). The extent of removal was dependent on the initial density of the bacterium; a density of 4 \( \times \) 10\(^7\) CFU/g (dry weight) of soil resulted in complete removal of DF (<50 ppb) in 7 days. At lower densities, removal of DF was incomplete after 28 days of incubation. DD was also removed by strain RW1 (Fig. 1b); however, relatively higher densities of cells were required. At the highest density tested (10\(^7\) CFU/g [dry weight] of soil), 90% of DD was degraded within 24 h; after 3 days, the concentration of DD was below the detection limit (50 ppb). In comparison to DD, 2-CDD was somewhat more persistent in soil (Fig. 1c).

Removal of 2-CDD by strain RW1 was tested further with soils having varying levels of organic matter; concentrations of 2-CDD were monitored over time (data not shown) and used to calculate rates of degradation (Table 2) with the pseudo-first-order rate model. Rates decreased when comparatively more soil organic matter (SOM) was present; half-life values ranged from 5.8 to 26.3 h. The influence of organic matter became more apparent when rates of degradation for 2-CDD were plotted against SOM (Fig. 2a) and TOC (Fig. 2b). Strong correlations that clearly demonstrated the negative impact of increasing amounts of organic matter on removal rates for 2-CDD were observed.

Data in Fig. 2b were used to obtain densities of strain RW1 that would be required to degrade 10, 50, 90, and 99% of 2-CDD in soils containing various concentrations of organic matter (Fig. 3). Removal rates for 2-CDD were estimated with the correlation in Fig. 2b and the estimated rates divided by cell density (10\(^6\) CFU/g [dry weight] of soil) to obtain specific transformation rates (\( k_2 \)) per cell. The second-order rate model was then used to calculate cell densities for each percentage of TOC. This exercise indicated that as the TOC content of soil increased, relatively more biomass was required to achieve the same extent of removal of 2-CDD. For example, it was necessary to add approximately three times more biomass to soil containing 4% TOC than to soil containing 0% TOC to effect removal of 90% 2-CDD.

This study demonstrates three points with respect to the potential use of diaryl ether-degrading bacteria for in situ bioremediation. (i) Bacteria with catabolic pathways for diaryl ethers, as represented by strain RW1, can survive and degrade diaryl ether compounds in soil. (ii) Diaryl ethers can exist as bioavailable chemicals in soils, at least under the conditions

**TABLE 1. Components of soils and sand**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Cedar Creek soil</th>
<th>Fort Snelling soil</th>
<th>Jordan sand</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sand</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>&gt;99.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clay</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silt</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOM</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOC</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 2. Pseudo-first-order rate coefficients and half-life values for 2-CDD in soils containing RW1 (10\(^6\) CFU/g [dry weight] of soil) and various amounts of SOM**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SOM (% [dry wt])</th>
<th>( k ) (day(^{-1})) (^{a})</th>
<th>Half-life (h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>2.88 (0.74)</td>
<td>5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.22 (0.13)</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.41 (0.41)</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2.05 (0.14)</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>1.37 (0.14)</td>
<td>12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>1.18 (0.13)</td>
<td>14.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1.04 (0.28)</td>
<td>16.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0.63 (0.09)</td>
<td>26.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a}\) Values in parentheses are standard deviations.
used in this study. (iii) Soils having similar densities of diaryl ether-degrading microorganisms, but relatively higher levels of TOC, may exhibit relatively lower rates of degradation of these diaryl ether compounds.

It was interesting that the three diaryl ether compounds used in this study exerted different influences on the survival of strain RW1. Although degradation of DF prolonged the persistence of strain RW1, an increase in bacterial density was not observed, possibly due to a lack of essential nutrients. This suggestion was supported by the observation that nutrient supplementation increased the density of a second diaryl ether-degrading bacterium that was added to Cedar Creek soil (15). In contrast, degradation of DD did not prolong the persistence of strain RW1. This may be related to a difference in utilization rates for DF and DD by strain RW1, as was noted in a similar study (25). In pure culture, the turnover rate for DD (280 μmol h⁻¹ g of protein⁻¹) is relatively slower than the turnover rate for DF (562 μmol h⁻¹ g of protein⁻¹) (data from reference 32) and may not be sufficient to prolong survival of the microorganism.

The survival of strain RW1 was adversely affected by the presence of 2-CDD, suggesting that 2-CDD and/or its metabolites were toxic to the bacterium. Dioxins are typically neither toxic nor inhibitory to microorganisms and have no observable effect on soil respiration (5), microbial activity, and diversity used in this study. (ii) Soils having similar densities of diaryl ether-degrading microorganisms, but relatively higher levels of TOC, may exhibit relatively lower rates of degradation of these diaryl ether compounds.
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FIG. 2. Correlations between pseudo-first-order rates of degradation of 2-CDD by RW1 with SOM (a) and TOC (b). Error bars indicate standard errors obtained by curve fitting.


