Horizontal Heterogeneity of Denitrifying Bacterial Communities in Marine Sediments by Terminal Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism Analysis
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Although it is widely believed that horizontal patchiness exists in microbial sediment communities, determining the extent of variability or the particular members of the bacterial community which account for the observed differences among sites at various scales has not been routinely demonstrated. In this study, horizontal heterogeneity was examined in time and space for denitrifying bacteria in continental shelf sediments off Tuckerton, N.J., at the Rutgers University Long-Term Ecosystem Observatory (LEO-15). Characterization of the denitrifying community was done using PCR amplification of the nitrous oxide reductase (nosZ) gene combined with terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis. Spatial scales from centimeters to kilometers were examined, while temporal variation was assayed over the course of 1995 to 1996. Sorensen’s indices (pairwise similarity values) were calculated to permit comparison between samples. The similarities of benthic denitrifiers ranged from 0.80 to 0.85 for centimeter scale comparisons, from 0.52 to 0.79 for meter level comparisons, and from 0.23 to 0.53 for kilometer scale comparisons. Sorensen’s indices for temporal comparisons varied from 0.12 to 0.74. A cluster analysis of the similarity values indicated that the composition of the denitrifier assemblages varied most significantly at the kilometer scale and between seasons at individual stations. Specific nosZ genes were identified which varied at centimeter, meter, or kilometer scales and may be associated with variability in meio- or macrofaunal abundance (centimeter scale), bottom topography (meter scale), or sediment characteristics (kilometer scale).

Measurements of spatial and temporal heterogeneity in microbial communities are of considerable interest to microbial ecologists. Knowledge of the spatial patchiness of bacteria is important for determining the appropriate sampling scales and for addressing basic ecological questions such as which factors may control microbial communities (e.g., top-down control by predators). For instance, marine microbial abundance in seawater is fairly uniform at ca. 10⁹ cells/liter (2, 7). However, when small volumes of water are analyzed (<1 ml), high-density clusters can be revealed (6, 10) and nanoscale patches of bacteria have been induced by the addition of organic substrates (11). So routine marine sampling, which generally involves sample sizes greater than 1 liter, homogenizes bacterial populations, destroying any spatial patchiness information (6).

As another example, several studies have shown the process of soil denitrification exhibits both temporal and spatial heterogeneity (8, 15, 16), with one study reporting that 25 to 85% of denitrification occurs in microsites comprising <1% of the soil mass (15). Given that the denitrification process itself exhibits temporal and spatial patchiness, it seems likely that the microbes responsible for the process are also nonrandomly distributed.

In this study, we monitored the temporal and spatial heterogeneity of denitrifying bacteria in a continental shelf environment using the nitrous oxide reductase (nosZ) gene (18, 19). The study was conducted at a Long-Term Ecosystem Observatory (LEO-15) centered on a sand ridge in 15 m of water offshore from the Rutgers University Marine Field Station (22). In order to rapidly characterize the nosZ target genes from the samples, we used terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism (T-RFLP) analysis (1). T-RFLP analysis is a powerful tool that has been used to compare microbial community structure and diversity in a variety of different laboratory and natural settings (4, 9, 12, 17, 21). Our analysis demonstrated variability in denitrifier community structure on horizontal scales ranging from centimeters to kilometers. Additionally, changes at single sites resulting from seasonal differences were observed. This information is critical for understanding the microbial dynamics of denitrifying bacteria in the marine environment and may help elucidate how the oceanic microbial ecosystem is structured and maintained.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Environmental site. Continental shelf sediment samples were collected on 6 November 1995 (11/6/95), 12/5/95, 5/15/96, 6/25/96, 8/9/96, and 10/13/96 from a long-term ecosystem observatory site (LEO-15) described in detail elsewhere (22). Four stations (stations 9, 32, C, and C-2) were occupied over this time period at the LEO-15 site. Latitude and longitude for the LEO-15 stations are as follows (see Fig. 3): 74°15.73′ W, 39°27.68′ N (station 9), 74°15.23′ W, 39°27.85′ N (station C), 74°12.88′ W, 39°23.08′ N (station C-2), and 74°14.50′ W, 39°29.38′ N (station 32).

Some general characteristics of the LEO-15 stations are as follows. Station 9 contains medium to coarse sand, with a mean sediment grain size of 1.0 phi unit, and is usually populated by Spisula solidissima (surfclams). [Phi units are calculated as follows: ϕ = −log₂(S); where S is the grain size in millimeters.] Furthermore, station 9 consists of approximately 99.5% sand, with 0.5% silt-clay (20). Station C contains medium to fine sand, with a mean sediment grain size of 1.8 phi units. Sediments at stations 32 and C-2 are predominantly very fine sands, with a mean grain size of 3.7 phi units (5). S. solidissima is rare at these locations; however, polychetes are found in abundance (20). Carbon and nitrogen analyses were performed by the Rutgers University Stable Isotope Facility on an ANCA–GSL elemental analyzer coupled to a Europa Scientific 20/20 isotope mass
spectrometer. This analysis indicated that C/N ratios varied from 6 to 28.7 for the different sediments (Fig. 1).

**Sample collection.** For the 11/6/95, 12/5/95, 5/15/96, and 6/25/96 sampling dates, divers retrieved duplicate surficial sediment cores with a sterile, trimmed 3-ml syringe. The top 2-cm portion of the sediment cores with a sterile, trimmed 3-ml syringe. For the 8/9/96 and 10/13/96 sampling dates, divers retrieved duplicate surficial sediment samples (top 0 to 3 cm) by scraping sterile 50-m polypropylene centrifuge tubes (Phenix Research Products, Hayward, Calif.) across the sediment surface. The bottom water temperature at the time of collection was recorded for most of the samples (Fig. 1). Despite differences in collection methods, all of the samples used in these studies represent the upper 0 to 3 cm of sediments at the various stations and were stored frozen at −20°C until analysis.

**Fingerprinting by T-RFLP analysis.** Total genomic DNA was extracted from approximately 100 mg (wet weight) of sediment, as described previously (18). The Nos661F (5'-CGGCTGGGGGCTGACCAA; labeled at the 5' end with 6-carboxyfluorescein [6-FAM; Perkin-Elmer]) and Nos1773R (5' end with 6-carboxyfluorescein [6-FAM; Perkin-Elmer]) primers were used to amplify various stations and were stored frozen at −20°C for 6 h.

**The digested DNA was precipitated with a 0.1 volume of 3 M sodium acetate and 2.0 volumes 95% ethanol, followed by spinning at 16,000 × g in an Eppendorf microcentrifuge for 15 min. The DNA pellet was washed with 70% ethanol, dried, and resuspended in a mixture of 12 μl deionized formamide and 0.5 μl DNA fragment length internal standard (TAMRA 500; Perkin-Elmer).**

**Pairwise similarity calculations and cluster analysis.** The fluorescent moiety on the end of the digested PCR product was detected using the PE/ABI GeneScan Software which displays the various T-RFLPs as a series of peaks. The presence or absence of the T-RFLP peaks allows for two samples to be compared using Sorenson's index: $C_s = 2N_{ab}/(N_a + N_b)$, where $N_a$ is the number of shared peaks between two samples, and $N_a$ and $N_b$ are the number of peaks for samples A and B, respectively (13, 14). Phenograms of denitrifier similarity indices were constructed using unweighted-pair-group mean-average (UPGMA) analysis. All indices and clusters were calculated using the COMbinatorial Polythetical Agglomerative Hierarchical clustering package (COMP Ah96; http://www.csumb.edu/edgwebp.htm).

## RESULTS

In this study, T-RFLP technology was used to characterize the target nosZ genes from the samples. In order to accomplish this, it was necessary to select restriction enzyme(s) capable of resolving as many nosZ target genes as possible. We found that

**Heterogeneity of nosZ genes on different spatial scales.** Variability in denitrifier assemblages was measured at LEO-15 over the three horizontal spatial scales indicated in Fig. 3. Centimeter scale variability in the nosZ gene was examined between
two subsamples from three cores collected on 11/6/95, 5/15/96, and 6/25/96 at station 32. Meter scale variability was investigated at station C2 on 10/13/96 using four surficial sediment samples (top 0 to 3 cm) taken a few meters apart, and all possible pairwise comparisons were made. The kilometer scale variability of denitrifying bacterial populations was assessed on two occasions, on 5/15/96 and 6/25/96, for stations 9, C, and 32.

The individual Cs values for the centimeter scale study were 0.82, 0.80, and 0.85 (Fig. 4). The meter scale values ranged between 0.52 and 0.79, exhibiting greater variability than the centimeter scale values. Finally, the kilometer scale comparisons approach the lower end of the range for variability at the meter level, i.e., the similarity values ranged from 0.23 to 0.53. In addition, similarity values were highest for comparisons made between stations 32 and C (Cs = 0.52 to 0.53), while the lowest values were calculated for comparisons between station 9 and either station 32 or station C (e.g., Cs(station 9 versus station 32 [5/96]) = 0.23).

Temporal variability was examined at all LEO-15 stations for 12 months. The Cs value for all temporal assays ranged between 0.12 and 0.74, which nearly encompasses the range of values seen in the spatial study. In particular, the spread in the temporal values is twice as large as that seen for the meter or kilometer scale comparisons. Station C showed significant variation between sampling dates, but there was no systematic pattern of change between May 1996 (5/96) and 8/96. Station 32 showed a more distinct pattern, with the greatest differences occurring between 11/95 and 5/96 (Cs = 0.49), while the 5/96 and 6/96 samples displayed much greater similarity (Cs = 0.69). The most dramatic seasonal difference occurred at station 9, where the similarity between the 12/95 and 5/96 samples was only 0.12, while between 5/96 and 6/96 the Cs value was 0.74.

Cluster analysis of similarity values. The COMPAH96 software program was used to perform cluster analysis of the similarity values generated in this study. A phenogram of the output of this analysis was reconstructed using an UPGMA algorithm (Fig. 4). The cluster diagram depicts the very close grouping of the individual centimeter scale comparisons. In addition, the meter level samples are grouped together with
samples C2-4, C2-5, and C2-6, forming a tight cluster, and C2-2, splitting off separately. The kilometer scale samples for the 6/25/96 sampling date are indicated by a star. As Fig. 4 shows, these kilometer level samples are grouped at a very low similarity level (~0.40).

The cluster diagram also illustrates some of the temporal impact on denitrifying bacteria at the various LEO-15 stations. In general, samples tend to cluster according to the time of year. For example, the 11/6/95 station 32 and 10/13/96 station C samples cluster together, as do the station 32 and C samples from 5/15/96 and 6/25/96. The most dramatic temporal changes are seen between the late fall and the 5/15/96 samples at stations 9 and 32.

DISCUSSION

This study demonstrated that the composition of denitrifier assemblages at the various LEO-15 stations change both spatially and temporally, with the greatest dissimilarity occurring over the kilometer and seasonal scales. Our results are consistent with those of other reports. For example, phenotypic variation of 6% in phenanthrene-degrading bacterial populations in intertidal sediments has been noted over spatial scales of centimeters, while temporal effects accounted for 21% of the variation (3). Additionally, a study in the coastal waters of Antarctica on the variability of Archaeal assemblages (14) also yielded a summer-winter comparison ($C_s = 0.29$), very similar to the fall-late spring results obtained at LEO-15, where $C_s$(station 9) = 0.12 and $C_s$(station 32) = 0.49.

Our analysis of T-RFLP number (denitrifier diversity) and the environmental parameters of C/N ratio or bottom water temperature was inconclusive ($0.01 \leq r^2 \leq 0.1$). This suggests that it is not a simple matter of a single global regulator controlling all denitrifier populations at this site but is most likely a myriad of regulators affecting individual members of the denitrifying community. Although the variability we describe in denitrifier communities can provide clues as to when and where sampling should occur in the coastal ocean, there is another aspect to the data that is equally important. In particular, the T-RFLP technique can lead directly to testable hypotheses about the ecology of particular denitrifiers in the continental shelf sediment environment.

For example, a testable hypothesis would be that some of the denitrifiers demonstrating variability at the centimeter scale are associated with abiotic or biotic processes which also vary on the centimeter scale at the LEO-15 site. Specifically, the denitrifiers that differed between subsamples of the station 32 samples (e.g., terminal restriction fragments [T-RFs] 112, 154, and 184; station 32, 11/95, A [Table 2]) may be explained by the presence or absence of Ampharetid worm tubes at the site.
Figure 4 also illustrates the temporal similarity seen in denitrifiers between the fall and spring samples taken at stations 9 and 32 at LEO-15. Temperature variations between the sampling dates were approximately 5°C and may be responsible for the variation in denitrifiers at these sites and times. For instance, the unique denitrifiers present at station 9 during 12/95 with HinPI T-RFs of 122, 124, 131, or 133 (station 9, 12/95 [Table 3]) may only be found in samples with lower temperatures.

The analysis of meter scale variation at station C (10/13/96) provides an interesting example of the possible effects of microsites on the indigenous denitrifying bacteria. Of the four meter level samples examined, three clustered at the 0.75 similarity level or higher. However, C2-2 only grouped with these samples at the 0.52 similarity level. This could indicate that even though the spacing between all four samples was roughly identical, sample C2-2 encompassed some unique feature. The seafloor at station C2 is characterized by sand ridges and troughs, which vary on the scale of meters (5). It is possible that the three similar samples were taken across the crest of a ridge, while the fourth was taken in a trough (or vice versa). The key point is that while station C is a medium to fine sandy environment, troughs adjacent to sand ridges are often characterized by high concentrations of organic “fluff” (5, 20) which could alter the species composition of the denitrifiers present. Therefore, a testable hypothesis to explain meter scale variation in denitrifiers is that denitrifier species vary across a sand ridge, from trough to crest. For example, denitrifiers with T-RFs of 94, 108, or 206 (columns C2-4 and C2-5 in Table 3) might only occur on the crest or troughs of sand ridges.

The kilometer level comparisons also produced results that may reveal the importance of environmental factors on denitrifying bacteria. For the two sampling dates for which kilometer scale comparisons were made (5/15/96 and 6/25/96), comparisons between stations C and 32 displayed much higher variability.
similarities values than did comparisons between either stations 9 and 32 or stations 9 and C (Fig. 4). In addition, Table 3 demonstrates that stations 9 and C both contained unique T-RFs for the June samples. Diver observations indicated clear benthic community differences in the three stations for the 5/15/96 sampling date (data not shown). Worm tubes and an organic fluff layer were present at station 32, moon snails and megaripple topography were observed at station 9, and many surfclams were found in the sediments at station C. A testable hypothesis to explain kilometer level variability in denitrifiers is that there are specific suites of denitrifiers associated with the various environments present at LEO-15. For example, denitrifiers with T-RFs of 44 would only be located at station 9.

Finally, Fig. 5 shows a frequency distribution of the T-RFs generated in this study. It is apparent from this diagram that one denitrifier was present in all 16 samples examined and that a dozen were present in 10 or more samples. Several of these abundant T-RFs align with known nosZ genes (Table 1), while other common T-RFs (e.g., T-RF of 97 bp) are not currently in the nosZ database. This indicates that there is still much work to be done in order to characterize the denitrifying bacteria at LEO-15. The majority of T-RFs are present in low numbers (i.e., ≤4 samples). This could indicate that there is a core suite of denitrifiers common to the shelf environment, with ancillary species appearing and disappearing over space and time. However, T-RFLP analyses will tend to underestimate the species diversity of a microbial community since species present in low abundance may be below the detection limit or may share a common terminal restriction site, thereby yielding peaks of an identical size.

In conclusion, denitrifying bacteria exhibit seasonal and spatial variability at the LEO-15 site. Seasonal changes and kilometer scale variations seem to have the greatest effect on denitrifying assemblages, while the smallest differences are observed at the centimeter spatial scale. Although significant temporal variability in denitrifier communities is seen at LEO-15, care must be taken to avoid spatial confounding. It is clear from the data that non-negligible variations occur in as little as a few centimeters, therefore sampling over time must occur at the same location. Otherwise, it may be impossible to fully separate effects of time versus simple variation in sampling location. For example, the variability between the 5/15/96 and 6/25/96 samples does fall within that observed for meter level comparisons, so changes in denitrifying bacteria at these stations cannot unambiguously be ascribed to temporal effects. With care, the T-RFLP methodology has proven to be a capable tool that can be used to address fundamental questions in microbial ecology.
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