Growth and Arginine Metabolism of the Wine Lactic Acid Bacteria \textit{Lactobacillus buchneri} and \textit{Oenococcus oeni} at Different pH Values and Arginine Concentrations
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During malolactic fermentation (MLF) in grape must and wine, heterofermentative lactic acid bacteria may degrade arginine, leading to the formation of ammonia and citrulline, among other substances. This is of concern because ammonia increases the pH and thus the risk of growth by spoilage bacteria, and citrulline is a precursor to the formation of carcinogenic ethyl carbamate (EC). Arginine metabolism and growth of \textit{Lactobacillus buchneri} CUC-3 and \textit{Oenococcus oeni} strains MCW and Lo111 in wine were investigated. In contrast to \textit{L. buchneri} CUC-3, both oenococci required a higher minimum pH for arginine degradation, and arginine utilization was delayed relative to the degradation of malic acid, the main aim of MLF. This allows the control of pH increase and citrulline formation from arginine metabolism by carrying out MLF with pure oenococcal cultures and inhibiting cell metabolism after malic acid depletion. MLF by arginine-degrading lactobacilli should be discouraged because arginine degradation may lead to the enhanced formation of acids from sugar degradation. A linear relationship was found between arginine degradation and citrulline excretion rates. From this data, strain-specific arginine-to-citrulline conversion ratios were calculated that ranged between 2.2 and 3.9% (wt/wt), and these ratios can be used to estimate the contribution of citrulline to the EC precursor pool from a given amount of initial arginine. Increasing arginine concentrations led to higher rates of growth of \textit{L. buchneri} CUC-3 but did not increase the growth yield of either oenococcus. These results suggest the use of non-arginine-degrading oenococci for inducing MLF.

The term MLF refers to the microbial conversion of L-malic acid to L-lactic acid in grape musts or wine by MLB. MLB are wine LAB belonging to three genera and include homo- and heterofermentative lactobacilli, homofermentative pediococci, and the heterofermentative species \textit{Oenococcus oeni} (formerly \textit{Leuconostoc oenos}) (15). MLB may be due to MLB naturally present in wine, but nowadays MLF is often induced with commercial starter cultures. Its main effects are to reduce the acidity of wines by converting dicarboxylic malic acid to monocarboxylic lactic acid and the modification of flavor properties (8). \textit{O. oeni} is the preferred species for carrying out MLF, whereas most lactobacilli and pediococci are considered undesirable or spoilage bacteria because of flavor depreciation (6).

Besides malic acid, some heterofermentative MLB degrade arginine, which is quantitatively one of the most important amino acids in grape musts and wines (25, 27). Complete degradation of arginine by MLB occurs via the ADI pathway, leading to the production of ammonia, ornithine, ATP, and CO\textsubscript{2} (14). During the degradation of arginine, some citrulline is excreted (13). Arginine degradation by MLB has several enological implications (Fig. 1): the production of ammonia increases the pH and the risk of growth of spoilage microorganisms; formation of ATP may give arginine-positive MLB, including spoilage MLB, an ecologic advantage; and the excretion of citrulline is toxicologically of concern, since citrulline is a precursor in the formation of carcinogenic EC (urethane) in wine (31).

In a recent study of major commercial MLB, it was shown that all strains tested degraded arginine and excreted citrulline (19). Investigations with resting cells in a wine buffer revealed a linear relationship between arginine degradation and citrulline excretion rates (20). Further study of this relationship and the kinetics of arginine degradation in wines is of paramount importance for the control of citrulline formation by MLB.

Over the last few years, many MLB have been isolated and prepared commercially for the successful induction of MLF in wine. This requires good growth under the harsh conditions found in wine and effective malic acid degradation. While successful MLF may still remain difficult to achieve in some wine types and further optimizations are needed, it is now necessary to concentrate on key metabolic aspects and organoleptic effects of MLB.

This paper reports new findings of arginine metabolism of MLB in wine and its implications. Two commercial strains of \textit{O. oeni} and one strain of \textit{Lactobacillus buchneri} were investigated in time course studies of wine at several pH values and arginine concentrations. The effect of the strains on arginine and citrulline concentrations and the relationship to malic acid degradation was investigated, as well as the effect of arginine on the growth of MLB.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Abbreviations.** MLB, malolactic bacteria; MLF, malolactic fermentation; LAB, lactic acid bacteria; ADI, arginine deiminase; EC, ethyl carbamate; OD\textsubscript{730}, optical density at 730 nm; OTC, ornithine transcarbamylase; \textit{Y}_{\text{max}}, maximum growth yield.
Microorganisms. The MLB used were from the Wine Microbiology Laboratory at the University of California, Davis, and the AMB were isolated from a Californian wine undergoing MLF (22) and has been used previously as an arginine-degrading model organism (13, 19, 20). O. oeni strains MCW and Lo111 are commercially available from Lallemand, Inc., Montreal, Canada; strain Lo111 is part of the two-strain product Bitec D1. L. buchneri CUC-3 was originally isolated from a Californian wine undergoing MLF (22) and has been used previously as an arginine-degrading model organism (13, 19, 20). O. oeni strains MCW and Lo111 are commercially available from Lallemand, Inc., Montreal, Canada; strain Lo111 is part of the two-strain product Bitec D1.

FIG. 1. ADI pathway for arginine degradation by LAB and its enological significance.

Kinetics of arginine degradation at different pH values. Figure 2 shows the time course of arginine and malic acid degradation and citrulline formation by L. buchneri CUC-3 at several pH values. Whereas degradation of malic acid was only partially achieved at all pH values, arginine was rapidly depleted at pH 3.9 and 3.6 and degraded to 50% at pH 3.3. Degradation of arginine and excretion of citrulline concurred with the increase in biomass, and citrulline was partially reutilized at the end of arginine degradation.

In contrast, O. oeni MCW depleted malic acid at all pH values tested (Fig. 3). Arginine was fully consumed only at pH 3.9 after 19 days and degraded to 80% at pH 3.6. At all pH values, malic acid degradation was completed before significant degradation of arginine and excretion of citrulline occurred. At pH 3.3, where malic acid degradation was delayed, arginine was not degraded at all. Similar results were obtained with O. oeni strain Lo111, which depleted arginine at pH 3.9 within 25 days, but degraded only 20% at pH 3.6 and none at pH 3.3. As for strain MCW, malic acid degradation by Lo111 was finished well ahead of arginine degradation and citrulline excretion. In contrast to L. buchneri strain CUC-3, citrulline was not reutilized by either oenococcus.

Arginine degradation at different arginine concentrations. Figure 4 shows arginine and sugar utilization and citrulline formation by L. buchneri CUC-3 at several initial arginine concentrations. Higher initial arginine concentrations led to more rapid growth with the result of faster arginine degradation. This resulted in 1.5 g of arginine liter\(^{-1}\) being degraded in...
ARGinine DEGRADATION BY MALOlastic bacteria in wine

Effect of arginine concentrations on wine pH and growth. Table 1 shows the pH values after malic acid depletion (oenococci only) and at the end of incubations for all MLB at several arginine concentrations. Because of the ability of L. buchneri CUC-3 to degrade arginine effectively, the fermentation of this strain led to higher pH values at higher arginine concentrations. However, with the exception of the fermentations at 1.5 g of arginine liter\(^{-1}\), the final pH values achieved by strain CUC-3 were lower than those attained at the end of the incubation time by strains MCW and Lo111.

For L. buchneri CUC-3, higher initial arginine concentrations led to increased growth and faster degradation of fructose and glucose (Fig. 4). The extent and duration of growth were determined by the arginine available, since growth ceased after arginine depletion even though fermentable hexoses were still present. In contrast, high initial arginine concentrations did not increase growth and degradation of glucose and fructose by O. oeni MCW. Instead, growth inhibition was observed at the highest arginine concentration, 1.5 g liter\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 5). The same was found for O. oeni Lo111, where sugar degradation was similarly uniform and growth was inhibited at 1 and 1.5 g of initial arginine liter\(^{-1}\). The maximum growth yield data of all MLB are summarized in Fig. 6. A biphasic growth pattern was observed for both O. oeni strains MCW and Lo111, where growth continued for several days at a lower rate after depletion of malic acid. This is shown for strain MCW in Fig. 5.

DISCUSSION

Two major precursors for the formation of carcinogenic EC in wine are urea (9) and citrulline (13, 31). Both are products of microbial arginine degradation. Urea is formed by yeast arginase, and citrulline is an intermediate in the ADI pathway of heterofermentative MLB. Since alcoholic fermentation by yeast traditionally is carried out before MLF, control of EC formation has been mainly by the reduction of arginine levels in musts and the selection of low-urea-producing yeast or yeast that reutilizes most of the produced urea (Ethyl carbamate

---

TABLE 1. Wine pH values at the end of incubation of L. buchneri CUC-3 (49 days) and O. oeni strains MCW (49 days) and Lo111 (37 days) at several initial arginine concentrations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>pH values at initial arginine concn (g liter(^{-1})) of:</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0.5</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>1.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CUC-3</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.61</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.84</td>
<td>3.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCW (3.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(3.8)</td>
<td>(3.8)</td>
<td>(3.8)</td>
<td>(3.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lo111 (3.81)</td>
<td></td>
<td>(3.81)</td>
<td>(3.8)</td>
<td>(3.8)</td>
<td>(3.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(a\) The wine pH prior to MLF was 3.6.

\(b\) Values in parentheses show pH values during incubation on completion of malic acid depletion (for strain MCW, after 7.3 days; for Lo111, after 6.9 days).

\(\pm 0.002 \times \) arginine degradation rate (where the standard error is given in parentheses, the correlation coefficient [r] is 0.91, and the number of samples [n] is 41). The slope of this function constitutes an arginine-to-citrulline conversion ratio (wt/wt) with a value of 2.3\% ± 0.2\%.

Likewise, arginine-to-citrulline conversion ratios were calculated from pooled data for both oenococci and were 3.8\% ± 0.1\% (r = 0.96; n = 78) for strain MCW and 3.9\% ± 0.2\% (r = 0.96; n = 45) for strain Lo111.

FIG. 3. Time course of arginine and malic acid degradation and citrulline formation by O. oeni MCW in wine with 0.5 g of initial arginine liter\(^{-1}\) at several initial pH values. Arginine and OD\(_{750}\), solid symbols; citrulline and malic acid, open symbols. Initial pH values: ■, 3.3; ●, 3.6; ▲, 3.9.

FIG. 4. Time course of arginine and sugar utilization and citrulline formation by L. buchneri CUC-3 in wine at initial pH 3.6 and several initial arginine concentrations. Arginine and OD\(_{750}\), solid symbols; citrulline and combined glucose and fructose, open symbols. Initial arginine concentrations: ■, 0 g liter\(^{-1}\); ●, 0.5 g liter\(^{-1}\); ▲, 1 g liter\(^{-1}\); ▼, 1.5 g liter\(^{-1}\).

FIG. 5. Time course of arginine and malic acid degradation and citrulline formation by O. oeni MCW in wine with 0.5 g of initial arginine liter\(^{-1}\) at several initial pH values. Arginine and OD\(_{750}\), solid symbols; citrulline and malic acid, open symbols. Initial pH values: ■, 3.3; ●, 3.6; ▲, 3.9.
preventative action manual, Department of Viticulture and Enology, Cooperative Extension, University of California; http://vm.cfsan.fda.gov/~frf/ecintro.html). This is understandable, since most arginine is degraded during alcoholic fermentation. However, some wines have been reported to have arginine levels as high as 2 to 5 g liter$^{-1}$ after alcoholic fermentation (4, 10, 27). In a recent long-term study of the formation of EC in table wines, it was found that 20 mg of citrulline liter$^{-1}$ would react to yield 30 µg of EC liter$^{-1}$ after 3 years of storage at 15°C, and at this temperature citrulline equaled the EC formation potential of urea (R. Morenzoni, personal communication). Canada has a legal EC limit of 30 µg liter$^{-1}$ (5), and in the United States there is a voluntary limit of 15 µg liter$^{-1}$ (3); Urethane in alcoholic beverages under investigation, U.S. Food and Drug Administration [http://vm.cfsan.fda.gov/~frf/fc0293ur.html]). Statistical data for United States table wines show that a general EC limit of 15 µg liter$^{-1}$ on wines is feasible (http://vm.cfsan.fda.gov/~frf/fc0293ur.html), and this would be reasonable from a toxicological point of view, as well (24). Considering these values, addition of citrulline to the EC precursor pool by arginine-degrading MLB may lead to exceeding existing or future voluntary or legal EC limits.

In this study, the arginine metabolism of two commercial oenococcal strains and one lactobacillus strain was investigated under laboratory winemaking conditions. All strains were able to degrade arginine in wine and to excrete considerable amounts of citrulline, underpinning the need to control arginine degradation by wine MLB. However, differences were found in the minimum pH necessary for degradation of arginine and the kinetics of its degradation. Liu et al. showed that arginine was degraded by oenococci in a synthetic wine at pH 4 but not pH 3.2 (12). In this study, oenococci were able to degrade arginine at pH 3.9 and partially at pH 3.6, but no degradation occurred at pH 3.3. In contrast, L. buchneri CUC-3 degraded arginine at all pH values tested. In addition to the higher minimum pH required, arginine degradation by oenococci was delayed in comparison to malic acid degradation. In practice, this allows the winemaker to avoid arginine degradation by carefully monitoring malic acid degradation and removing cells or inhibiting cell activity after malolactic conversion in the wine by oenococci. This might be desirable from a sensory point of view, too, since the concentrations of diacetyl, an important flavor compound produced by MLB, have been reported to be highest at the end of malolactic conversion (21).

As in previous studies with resting cells (20), a linear proportionality was found between arginine degradation and citrulline excretion rates in wine. Arginine-to-citrulline conversion ratios were calculated that ranged between 2.2 and 3.9% (wt/wt). These ratios are important, since they allow estimation of the potential addition to the EC precursor pool by citrulline from a given amount of initial arginine. Additionally, ratios could be used for the comparative assessment of the strain-specific risk of citrulline excretion. The results are interesting from a metabolic viewpoint, too. The excretion of citrulline suggests that the citrulline-degrading OTC (Fig. 1) is a limiting step in the ADI pathway, and it was previously shown that citrulline accumulates intracellularly during arginine degradation (19). The accumulation and excretion of citrulline may be attributable to the fact that the degradation of citrulline by OTC is thermodynamically unfavorable (23) or to the inhibition of OTC through ATP formed by carbamoyl kinase (29), the last enzyme of the ADI pathway.

Although L. buchneri CUC-3 effectively degraded arginine, it led only to a moderate pH increase because arginine degradation favored acid formation from sugar degradation (Fig. 4). Additionally, strain CUC-3 was able to reutilize some of the excreted citrulline, whereas both oenococci were not. Nonetheless, strain CUC-3 would not be the preferred MLB to induce MLF, since sugar degradation by heterofermentative LAB leads to the formation of variable amounts of acetic acid which can render a wine unacceptable from a sensory viewpoint or can exceed legal limits for acetic acid. Moreover, malic acid was not degraded efficiently by strain CUC-3. This further verifies the preference for the use of oenococci for MLF.
Oenococci degraded sugars only marginally, and both the degradation of arginine (Fig. 5) and the resulting pH rise (Table 1) could have been avoided by stopping further microbial activity after complete malolactic conversion.

Liu studied the effect of arginine on growth of MLB in a defined medium at wine pH and reported that arginine degradation increased growth of two lactobacilli but not the oenococcus examined (11). In this study, we confirmed this for the wine environment. Only L. buchneri CUC-3 was able to increase Y_{max} values at higher initial arginine concentrations, suggesting effective energy coupling from arginine degradation to growth. Both oenococci were not able to increase Y_{max} under these conditions. Manca de Nadra et al. (16) found growth inhibition of a L. buchneri strain by high concentrations of arginine (>5 g liter^{-1}), and Liu observed prolonged lag phases for two lactobacilli under similar conditions (11). We found growth inhibition of oenococci in wine already existing at lower arginine concentrations, but no inhibition was observed for L. buchneri CUC-3. It has been suggested for Streptococcus lactis (now Lactococcus lactis subsp. lactis) that high arginine concentrations reduce growth by inhibiting uptake of other amino acids by a common amino acid carrier (28), and a similar mechanism could be valid for oenococci. Although growth inhibition by high arginine concentrations is not likely to be important because of the rarity of this occurring in wine, the inability of oenococci to use arginine to increase growth in wine is epistemologically significant. That is, non-arginine-degrading oenococci could be used for inducing MLB without the risk of being overgrown by arginine-positive strains.

Growth of L. buchneri CUC-3 was clearly driven by the presence of arginine in wine, but growth of both oenococci correlated with malic acid degradation. Significant arginine concentrations were left in oenococcal cultures entering stationary phase (Fig. 5), and therefore low arginine degradation rates were more likely a result of growth cessation than a reason for it. However, arginine degradation by oenococci may be beneficial in maintaining some cell growth for a limited time, since a biphasic growth pattern was observed for both strains. Malolactic conversion has been reported to contribute to the acid tolerance of MLB at the low pH values present in wine (30). Our data suggest that whereas oenococci increase their acid tolerance by the degradation of malic acid, L. buchneri CUC-3 achieves this more efficiently by degrading arginine, as has been shown for several LAB of nonwine origin (17).

From the results presented in this paper, we can conclude that it is possible to reduce the risk of formation of citrulline by MLB in wines with high residual arginine concentrations by carrying out MLB with pure oenococcal cultures and precise determination of complete malolactic conversion, followed by inhibition of bacterial activity. In the long term, non-arginine-degrading O. oeni should be used for induction of MLB. Further work will focus on the influence of different wine constituents on the degradation of arginine by MLB to further understand and control arginine metabolism.
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