Effect of Fermented Feed on the Microbial Population of the Gastrointestinal Tracts of Pigs
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An in vivo experiment was performed with pigs to study the inhibitory effect of fermented feed on the bacterial population of the gastrointestinal tract. Results demonstrated a significant positive correlation between pH and lactobacilli in the stomach contents of pigs in dry feed as well as in the stomach contents of pigs fed fermented feed. Furthermore, a significant positive correlation between the pH and the numbers of bacteria in the family Enterobacteriaceae in the contents of the stomach of pigs fed dry feed was found. In the stomach contents of pigs fed fermented feed, a significant negative correlation was found between the concentration of the undissociated form of lactic acid and the numbers of Enterobacteriaceae. The numbers of Enterobacteriaceae in the contents of the stomach, ileum, cecum, colon, and rectum of pigs fed fermented feed were significantly lower compared with the contents of the stomach, ileum, cecum, colon, and rectum of pigs fed dry feed. The numbers of total lactobacilli in the ileum contents of pigs fed fermented feed and in the ileum contents of one pig group fed fermented feed compared with the contents of pigs fed dry feed. However, the influence of lactobacilli on numbers of Enterobacteriaceae could not be demonstrated. It was concluded that fermented feed influences the bacterial ecology of the gastrointestinal tract and reduces the levels of Enterobacteriaceae in the different parts of the gastrointestinal tract.

Nowadays, there is growing attention on fermented pig feed because it might improve growth performance (8) and might influence the bacterial ecology of the gastrointestinal tract (GIT), in particular members of the family Enterobacteriaceae, including Salmonella spp. (32). At the farm level, one can divide the influence of fermented feed on Salmonella spp. in two different mechanisms, as was observed by Urlings et al. (32): the effect of fermented feed itself on Salmonella spp. and the influence of fermented feed on bacterial ecology of the GIT of the pig.

Fermented feed contains high concentrations of lactic acid, several volatile fatty acids (VFA; acetic acid, butyric acid, and propionic acid), and large numbers of lactobacilli and has a low pH. These four parameters can have, alone or combined, an effect on bacterial ecology of the GIT; lactic acid and VFA are also produced by the indigenous microflora in the GIT (3). Lactic acid and VFA are believed to play a role in reducing the numbers of Enterobacteriaceae, including Salmonella spp. (23, 35). Only the undissociated form of lactic acid and VFA can have a bactericidal or bacteriostatic effect (26). The exact concentrations of these undissociated acids and the possible effect on bacterial groups in the content of the stomach and other sites of intestinal tract in pigs fed fermented feed are not known. Fermented feed may reduce the pH in the entire GIT (5, 13, 24), thereby enhancing the effect of VFA on Enterobacteriaceae and probably on Salmonella spp.

Another hypothesis for Salmonella reduction is that lactobacilli ingested with fermented feed compete with (potential) pathogenic bacteria in the GIT by strengthening colonization resistance (21). However, the effect of feed-associated lactobacilli on GIT bacterial ecology remains unknown. Very little research has been performed in tracking feed-associated lactobacilli in the GIT of the pig and their possible influence on the bacterial ecology of the GIT. Another mechanism proposed to explain Salmonella reduction is the enhancement of digestibility of nutrients in the small intestine by feed fermentation, resulting in fewer substrates for microbial growth in the lower part of the intestinal tract (7, 19).

To study the different mechanisms discussed above, an experiment was carried out in which pigs were fed Lactobacillus plantarum-fermented feed and challenged with Salmonella spp. The metabolic activity of the microflora was investigated by the comparison of VFA concentrations in the GIT. The concentrations of undissociated VFA were calculated using the Henderson-Hasselbach equation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Pigs, housing, and experimental groups. Conventionally raised piglets (10 weeks old, crossbred Landrace × Yorkshire) were obtained from a Dutch farm. The farm was Salmonella free, based on repeated testing (five times) of the herd by both serological and bacteriological techniques. The pigs were adapted to their environment for 18 days before the experiment. To avoid cross-contamination, the experimental groups were housed in separate units made of 1.5-m-high and 10-cm-thick concrete walls. The absence of Salmonella spp. in the units was checked by using swabs as described by Van den Elzen and Sniijders (33).

Two groups (12 pigs per group) were fed dry pig feed, and two groups (12 pigs per group) were fed fermented pig feed. Two pigs in each group (seeder pigs) were orally challenged with one of the Salmonella isolates. The groups were as follows: group 1: dry feed, Salmonella enterica serovar Goldcoast (serovar Goldcoast); group 2: fermented feed, serovar Goldcoast; group 3: dry feed, Salmonella...
Serovar Typhimurium DT12 was isolated from a pig mesenteric lymph node (kindly provided by D. L. Bagesen, Danish Veterinary Laboratory, Copenhagen, Denmark), and serovar Goldcoast was isolated from a pig slaughterhouse (kindly provided by M. Swanenburg, ID-Lelystad, Lelystad, The Netherlands).

**Challenge experiment.** The *Salmonella* strains were grown separately in 10 ml of brain heart infusion broth (Oxoid CM 225). After incubation (24 h, 37°C), the culture was spun down (10 min at 2,000 × g), the supernatant was discarded, and the pellet was resuspended in 10 ml of sterile 0.9% saline. Two pigs (seeder pigs) from each group were separated from their pen for 10 min for the inoculation. The seeder pigs were fed from each group received approximately 5.0 × 10⁶ CFU of the respective strain in 25 of dry feed. Twenty hours before inoculation, all pigs were allowed to have water but no feed. Within 10 min after inoculation, the seeder pigs were replaced in their pens, and all pigs had access again to feed.

**Sampling of gastrointestinal contents.** At 56 days after *Salmonella* inoculation, all animals in each group were euthanized with Euthetas (Apharmo, Arnhem, The Netherlands), and necropsy was performed by a pathologist. The intestinal tract was separated into three segments: the stomach, small intestine, and large intestine. The stomach was tied off at the esophageal and pyloric sphincters. The small intestine was tied off at the ileocecal junction. Contents of stomach, ileum (incision of 10 cm for the ileocecal junction), cecum, colon (incision of 10 cm after the ileocecal junction), and rectum were collected in sterile stomacher bags (Labsystem model 400).

**Feed.** The pigs received a basic formulated pelleted feed. The feed contained 200 g of barley, 282 g of corn, 200 g of wheat, 105 g of soy expeller, 55 g of sugar beet, 40 g of sugar cane molasses, 8 g of animal fat, 75 g of potato protein, 12.5 g of calcium phosphate, 7.5 g of calcium carbonate, 5 g of salt, and 10 g of vitamin-mineral mix per kg. The vitamin-mineral mix contains vitamins A (9,000 IE), D₃ (1,800 IE), and E (40 mg); riboflavin (5 mg); niacinamide (30 mg); pantothenic acid (12 mg); choline chloride (350 mg); vitamins B₁₂ (40 μg), K (3 mg), and C (50 mg); folic acid (1 mg); and biotin (0.1 mg) (per gram of feed). Mineral mix contained CaSO₄·2H₂O (2.5 mg), ZnSO₄·H₂O (20 mg), Na₂SO₄·H₂O (0.2 mg), KJ (0.5 mg), FeSO₄·H₂O (400 mg), and MnO₂ (70 mg) (per gram of feed). Protein content was 17.2%. Moderate grinding was used. Neither antibiotic growth promoters nor copper was added. The pigs were fed ad libitum. Drinking water was given ad libitum, was not chlorinated, and did not contain any additives.

**Feed fermentation.** The feed described above was used for fermentation. In a sterile 20-liter fermentor (Applicon Dependable Instruments, Schiedam, The Netherlands) 1 part feed was mixed with 2 parts sterile water (30 min at 600 rpm and 20°C). The mixing speed was lowered to 300 rpm to avoid foaming, and an *L. plantarum* starter culture was inoculated. The *L. plantarum* culture was grown separately in 10 ml of sterile 20-liter fermentor (Applicon Dependable Instruments, Schiedam, The Netherlands) and mixed with a stomacher (Seward stomacher 400) for 2 min. A 10-fold dilution (1 ml into 9 ml of BPW) was prepared until 10⁻¹. The BPW dilutions were used for counts of *L. plantarum*, *Enterobacteriaceae*, total lactobacilli, and *Salmonella* spp. as described by Norikatsu et al. (22), and five colonies were selected randomly from the plates and after growth in deMan-Rogosa-Sharpe broth (Merck 1.10661) and confirmed as the specific bacterial group by API-50CHL (bioMérieux, Marcyl’Etoile, France). Numbers of CFU are expressed as log CFU per gram. For *Salmonella* semiquantitative counts, the BPW dilutions were inoculated for 20 to 24 h at 37°C. From each dilution of BPW, 0.1 ml was transferred into 10 ml of Rappaport-Vassiliadis (RV) bouillon (Oxoid CM669). After incubation of the RV (20 to 24 h at 41.5°C), selective isolation was continued by plating out on brilliant green agar (BGA; Oxoid CM329) and *Salmonella* colonies were confirmed by biochemical and serological techniques (1).

**VFA analysis and pH measurement of GIT contents.** Approximately 1 g of GIT content was resuspended in 4 ml of Milli-Q water (Millipore Ultra System, Etten-heur, The Netherlands). The pH of the samples was directly measured with a pH meter. After measurement, the samples were immediately frozen (−20°C) until analysis. VFA and lactate analysis was performed by HPLC as described by van der Wielen et al. (34) with some modifications for GIT contents of the pig. After thawing, the samples were mixed on a Vortex mixer and centrifuged (2,000 × g for 10 min), and 600 μl of supernatant was mixed with a 100 μl of 100 mM HCl–50 mM crotonic acid as an internal standard (crotionic acid from Autos Organics, Fairfield, N.J.).

**Concentrations of undissociated lactic acid and VFA were calculated using the Henderson-Hasselbalch equation** (pH = pKₐ + log [A⁻]/[HA], where [A⁻] represents the concentration of dissociated acids and [HA] represent the concentration of undissociated acids), pHs, total concentration of each VFA and lactic acid, and the pKₐ values of acetic acid (4.75), butyric acid (4.81), propionic acid (4.87), and lactic acid (3.84) (2).

**Data analyses.** Correlations between bacterial counts, pH, concentration of total and undissociated VFA, and concentration of total and undissociated lactic acid in contents of stomach, ileum, cecum, colon, and rectum were calculated and analyzed statistically with Pearson's correlation. General linear model statistics were used to compare the groups, with the gastrointestinal tract as a within factor and feed as a between factor. The data from the two seeder pigs were excluded from the Pearson's correlation and statistical procedures. Pearson's correlation and statistical procedures were calculated with the use of SPSS 7.5 software.

**RESULTS**

**Feed.** The physical, chemical, and bacteriological results of the dry and fermented feeds are presented in Table 1. The most important differences between the dry feed and the fermented feed can be found for *L. plantarum* counts, lactic acid, acetic acid concentrations, and pH.

**Numbers of total lactobacilli and *L. plantarum* in GIT contents.** The numbers of total lactobacilli and *L. plantarum* in the GIT contents are shown in Fig. 1. In general, the total numbers of lactobacilli in the stomach contents (9.0 log CFU g⁻¹) of the fermented-feed groups were significantly higher (feed effect, P < 0.01) than in the dry feed group, and the level remained the same up to the rectum. In the dry feed groups, the lactobacillus numbers were increased in ileum content and cecum.
ed-feed group, serovar Typhimurium was recovered from contents of cecum and colon from one seeder pig.

**Numbers of Enterobacteriaceae in GIT contents.** In Fig. 2, the numbers of Enterobacteriaceae in the contents of the GIT are shown. In general, in all groups the contents of the distal parts of the GIT showed higher numbers of Enterobacteriaceae (GIT effect, \(P < 0.01\)). The numbers of Enterobacteriaceae in contents of stomach, ileum, cecum, colon, and rectum were significantly lower in the fermented-feed groups (feed effect, \(P < 0.01\)) compared with the GIT contents of pigs fed dry feed.

**pH in GIT contents.** The pH in stomach contents in both fermented-feed groups was significantly lower (feed effect, \(P < 0.01\)) (Table 2) compared with the pH in the stomach contents of the dry-feed groups. In the contents of ileum, cecum, and colon, no significant differences were found, except in the content of the rectum; the pH of the rectum content was significantly higher in the fermented-feed groups compared with the pH of the rectum content of the dry-feed groups (feed effect, \(P < 0.01\)).

**Lactate and VFA concentrations in the GIT.** In both fermented-feed groups, the concentration of lactate in the stomach contents was significantly higher (mean, 127 \(\mu\)mol g\(^{-1}\)) compared with the lactate concentration in stomach contents of the dry-feed groups (mean, 8 \(\mu\)mol g\(^{-1}\)) (feed effect, \(P < 0.01\)). The concentration of lactate decreased along the GIT. In cecum, colon, and rectum contents, lactate was present in very low concentrations (3.7, 2.1, and under the detection limit of 0.8 \(\mu\)mol g\(^{-1}\), respectively).

In the stomach contents of the fermented-feed groups, the mean acetate concentration was approximately 10 \(\mu\)mol g\(^{-1}\) while in the dry-feed groups the acetate concentration was approximately 2 \(\mu\)mol g\(^{-1}\). In the cecum, the concentration of acetate was approximately 80 \(\mu\)mol g\(^{-1}\) in all groups (\(P > 0.05\)). Beyond the cecum, the concentrations decreased in all groups to approximately 70 \(\mu\)mol g\(^{-1}\) (\(P > 0.05\)).

Butyrate and propionate were detected in cecum (14 and 38 \(\mu\)mol g\(^{-1}\)), colon (14 and 35 \(\mu\)mol g\(^{-1}\)), and rectum contents (14 and 32 \(\mu\)mol g\(^{-1}\)) in all groups. The concentrations were not significantly different between the feed groups (\(P > 0.05\)).

**Undissociated lactate concentrations and undissociated VFA concentrations.** The concentration of the undissociated form of lactate was significantly higher in stomach contents of the fermented-feed groups than in the stomach contents of pigs fed dry feed (feed effect, \(P < 0.01\)) (Table 2). Also, the concentration of the undissociated form of acetate was found to be significantly elevated in the stomach of fermented-feed group 2 compared with the dry-feed group 1 (feed effect, \(P < 0.01\)). In the other fermented-feed group, the undissociated acetate concentration in the stomach content was not significantly different from that in the dry-feed group. In the ileum, cecum, colon, and rectum contents, undissociated lactate was below the calculated concentration of 10\(^{-4}\) \(\mu\)mol g\(^{-1}\). The undissociated VFA in the different contents of the GIT was not significantly different between the groups. The concentrations of VFA varied in the GIT.

**Correlation analyses.** The correlations between the concentration of lactate, acetate, propionate, or butyrate and bacterial numbers and their significance were calculated. Only in the content of the stomach were significant correlations detected between pH and numbers of Enterobacteriaceae in the dry-feed
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groups and between undissociated lactic acid concentration and numbers of Enterobacteriaceae in the fermented-feed groups. Also between pH and lactobacillus numbers and between lactobacillus numbers and total lactic acid concentration were significant correlations determined (Table 3).

**DISCUSSION**

The proposed influence of lactic acid and VFA on the reduction of Enterobacteriaceae and Salmonella spp. is related to the undissociated form of the lactic acid and VFA (26). The undissociated acid is believed to be responsible for the reduction in Enterobacteriaceae because it can freely cross the bacterial membrane, while the dissociated form cannot.

Inside the bacterial cell, the acid dissociates and intracellular pH will drop. As a result, enzymatic processes will stop, and the proton motive force will collapse. In addition, the anion itself may damage the cell as well. These actions can result in cellular death (26). Several studies have shown that effects on the reduction in Enterobacteriaceae, coliforms, and E. coli numbers were related to VFA concentrations, but correlations were not determined (6, 13, 15, 16, 28). In this study, a correlation with Salmonella spp. was not determinable, since Salmonella spp. were detected only in some contents of two seeder pigs of the

**TABLE 2. pH and undissociated concentrations of lactate, acetate, butyrate, and propionate in gastrointestinal contents from pigs fed normal and fermented feed**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contents</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Feed</th>
<th>Mean pH (SD)</th>
<th>Mean concn ( b ) (( \mu )mol/g) (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Lactate</td>
<td>Acetate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stomach</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>4.6 (0.9)*</td>
<td>0.9 (0.2)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Fermented</td>
<td>3.7 (0.2)</td>
<td>63.4 (13.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>4.2 (0.6)*</td>
<td>2.8 (3.5)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Fermented</td>
<td>3.3 (0.4)</td>
<td>91.8 (42.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ileum</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>7.3 (0.5)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Fermented</td>
<td>7.0 (0.5)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>7.2 (0.5)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Fermented</td>
<td>7.3 (0.5)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cecum</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>6.5 (0.3)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Fermented</td>
<td>6.2 (0.4)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>6.2 (0.3)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Fermented</td>
<td>6.5 (0.4)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colon</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>6.2 (0.4)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Fermented</td>
<td>5.9 (0.5)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>5.6 (0.2)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Fermented</td>
<td>5.6 (0.2)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rectum</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>6.7 (0.2)*</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Fermented</td>
<td>7.0 (0.3)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>6.5 (0.2)*</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Fermented</td>
<td>6.9 (0.3)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( a \) Data are expressed as means for 10 replicates. Significance: *, \( P < 0.05 \); **, \( P < 0.01 \).

\( b \) 0, below calculated concentration of \( 10^{-4} \) \( \mu \)mol g\(^{-1}\); <, under detection limit of 0.8 \( \mu \)mol g\(^{-1}\).
dry-feed group and in one seeder pig of the fermented-feed groups. A putative explanation is the measurement at 56 days after inoculation of the seeder pigs in our study. Salmonella presence in the GIT may be diminished over time, as described by Berends et al. (4). Therefore, numbers of Enterobacteriaceae were implemented as indicator bacteria. The negative correlation between undissociated lactic acid and numbers of Enterobacteriaceae in the contents of the stomach of pigs fed fermented feed supports the theory that the undissociated form of the acid inhibits the numbers of Enterobacteriaceae. We found no correlation between the numbers of Enterobacteriaceae and the undissociated concentrations of VFA in contents of ileum, cecum, colon, and rectum. In these compartments, the concentrations of the undissociated forms were very low and probably not growth inhibiting. The significantly reduced numbers of Enterobacteriaceae in the contents of cecum, colon, and rectum of pigs fed fermented feed compared with the similar contents of pigs fed dry feed suggest that other mechanisms are involved in the reduction of numbers of Enterobacteriaceae, such as nutrient availability, competition for receptor sites, and immunological responses.

**pH of feces.** A significantly higher pH in feces of pigs fed fermented feed compared with pigs fed dry feed was observed, which was in agreement with Urlings et al. (32) and Fransen et al. (7). Urlings et al. hypothesized that the reduced available substrates in the large intestine resulted in less microbial growth and therefore in reduced VFA concentrations in the lower part of the GIT. In our study, however, the VFA concentrations between the different feed groups were not statistically different in contents of cecum, colon, and rectum. A higher pH in the fermented-feed groups may be the result of increased bicarbonate excretion in the colon or a change in metabolic processes in the colon due to a transition of carbohydrate to a protein fermentation (11).

Lactobacilli and *L. plantarum*. In the fermented-feed groups, we observed two different results. In group 4, no significant difference was observed between the numbers of total lactobacilli and *L. plantarum* in the GIT. In contrast, in group 2, the *L. plantarum* numbers were significantly lower compared with the total lactobacillus numbers in the ileum. This indicates that other lactobacilli than *L. plantarum* were present. The presence of these lactobacilli can be due to changes in the available substrates or products (14, 18) or alterations in the intestine, e.g., diet-induced intestinal glycosylation (12) or epithelial proliferation by VFA (27). The influence of *L. plantarum* on Enterobacteriaceae in the GIT is difficult to explain from the results of this experiment. The high lactobacillus numbers in the contents of the GIT of the fermented-feed groups may have an effect on the Enterobacteriaceae level, such as strengthening colonization resistance (reviewed by Vollaard [37]). In other studies, it was demonstrated that Lactobacillus strains inhibited the adherence of Escherichia coli in the intestinal tract (9, 25, 30).

**Enterobacteriaceae.** We observed another phenomenon concerning numbers of Enterobacteriaceae, which has not been described before. The numbers of Enterobacteriaceae found in the different feed groups are running equally, i.e., the data points between the dry-feed groups and fermented-feed groups are at an almost similar distance. It seems that the reduction of Enterobacteriaceae initiates in the stomach. This reduction determines the numbers of Enterobacteriaceae in the feces. Regarding other data (where control and treatment groups are compared) concerning numbers of Enterobacteriaceae in the GIT, this trend was observed (7, 10, 15, 17, 32), although this effect had not been described. The described effect mentioned above was also found in pigs of the same age, regardless of the age of the pigs (10). Concerning the bacterial ecology of the GIT, we hypothesize that the number of Enterobacteriaceae in the contents of the stomach of pigs determines the level of Enterobacteriaceae in the feces. With the use of fermented feed, we are able to reduce the numbers of Enterobacteriaceae in the stomach content and therefore Enterobacteriaceae shedding.

The presence of Salmonella spp. in the GIT was not sufficient to state any conclusion about the effect of fermented feed on Salmonella spp. The reduced shedding of Enterobacteriaceae in the fermented-feed group might have important consequences in the infection pressure of enteropathogens belonging to this group of bacteria.
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**TABLE 3. Correlations between bacterial numbers and concentrations of total lactic acid and its undissociated form in the stomach contents of pigs fed dry feed (groups 1 and 3) and fermented feed (groups 2 and 4)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comparison</th>
<th>Dry (group 1)</th>
<th>Fermented (group 2)</th>
<th>Dry (group 3)</th>
<th>Fermented (group 4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Enterobacteriaceae</em> versus pH</td>
<td>0.684*</td>
<td>0.334</td>
<td>0.656*</td>
<td>0.171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Enterobacteriaceae</em> versus undissociated lactic acid</td>
<td>−0.231</td>
<td>−0.655*</td>
<td>−0.489</td>
<td>−0.781*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactobacilli versus pH</td>
<td>0.687*</td>
<td>0.827**</td>
<td>0.630*</td>
<td>0.765*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactobacilli versus total lactic acid</td>
<td>0.709*</td>
<td>0.774*</td>
<td>0.861**</td>
<td>0.706*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Significance: *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01.


