Molecular Monitoring of the Fecal Microbiota of Healthy Human Subjects during Administration of Lactulose and \textit{Saccharomyces boulardii}
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Diet is a major factor in maintaining a healthy human gastrointestinal tract, and this has triggered the development of functional foods containing a probiotic and/or prebiotic component intended to improve the host’s health via modulation of the intestinal microbiota. In this study, a long-term placebo-controlled crossover feeding study in which each subject received several treatments was performed to monitor the effect of a prebiotic substrate (i.e., lactulose), a probiotic organism (i.e., \textit{Saccharomyces boulardii}), and their symbiotic combination on the fecal microbiota of three groups of 10 healthy human subjects differing in prebiotic dose and/or intake of placebo versus synbiotic. For this purpose, denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) analysis of 16S rRNA gene amplicons was used to detect possible changes in the overall bacterial composition using the universal V3 primer and to detect possible changes at the subpopulation level using group-specific primers targeting the \textit{Bacteroides fragilis} subgroup, the genus \textit{Bifidobacterium}, the \textit{Clostridium lituseburense} group (cluster XI), and the \textit{Clostridium cocoides-Eubacterium rectale} group (cluster XIVa). Although these populations remained fairly stable based on DGGE profiling, one pronounced change was observed in the universal fingerprint profiles after lactulose ingestion. Band position analysis and band sequencing revealed that a band appearing or intensifying following lactulose administration could be assigned to the species \textit{Bifidobacterium adolescentis}. Subsequent analysis with real-time PCR (RT-PCR) indicated a statistically significant increase \((P < 0.05)\) in total bifidobacteria in one of the three subject groups after lactulose administration, whereas a similar but nonsignificant trend was observed in the other two groups. Combined RT-PCR results from two subject groups indicated a borderline significant increase \((P = 0.074)\) of \textit{B. adolescentis} following lactulose intake. The probiotic yeast \textit{S. boulardii} did not display any detectable universal changes in the DGGE profiles, nor did it influence the bifidobacterial levels. This study highlighted the capacity of an integrated approach consisting of DGGE analysis and RT-PCR to monitor and quantify pronounced changes in the fecal microbiota of healthy subjects upon functional food administration.

The human gastrointestinal (GI) tract harbors a complex community of microorganisms, with the largest concentration of bacteria and metabolic activity being found in the large intestine (2, 14, 32). According to current insights, the bacterial groups predominating the large bowel of human adults are facultative and obligate anaerobes mainly belonging to the genera \textit{Bacteroides}, \textit{Eubacterium}, \textit{Clostridium}, \textit{Ruminococcus}, \textit{Bifidobacterium}, and \textit{Fusobacterium} (23). Essentially, the role of these colonic organisms is confined to the fermentation of various substrates that escaped digestion in the upper GI tract. Whereas saccharolytic fermentation of carbohydrates leads to the production of short-chain fatty acids that provide additional energy to the host, the end products of proteolytic (protein) fermentation include various toxic substances such as phenolic compounds, amines, and ammonia. Colon bacteria are often classified as potentially harmful or potentially health promoting based on their fermentative features. In any given situation of intestinal balance, increased numbers of proteolytic clostridia and \textit{Bacteroides} can be detrimental to health (11), while stimulation of bifidobacteria and lactobacilli is generally regarded as beneficial (10). The range of positive effects that have been linked to bifidobacteria and lactobacilli include stimulation of the immune system, production of vitamins, inhibition of intestinal pathogens, reduction of blood ammonia and cholesterol levels, and reduction of constipation (12, 33).

The fact that diet is a major factor controlling intestinal balance has triggered the development of so-called functional foods containing a probiotic and/or prebiotic component. Probiotics are commonly referred to as live microorganisms (bacteria or yeasts), which, when administered in adequate amounts, confer a health benefit on the host (8). However, other studies have suggested that inactivated microbes and their components can also exert probiotic effects (17, 43). Bacterial probiotic strains that are incorporated into commercial products worldwide most frequently belong to the genera \textit{Bifidobacterium} and \textit{Lactobacillus}. A probiotic is a nondigestible, selectively fermented compound that induces specific changes in the composition and/or activity of the gastrointestinal microbiota that are beneficial for a host’s well-being and health (13). Several oligosaccharides have been studied as potential prebiotics, including lactulose, galactooligosaccharides, fructooligosaccharides (oligofructose and inulin), and soybean oligosaccharides (6). Essentially, the aims of pro- and prebiotic...
supplementation are highly similar (i.e., to improve the host’s health via modulation of the intestinal microbiota) but are achieved in different ways, namely, by introducing exogenous species (probiotics) or by stimulating indigenous bacteria (prebiotics), respectively.

The inadequacy of conventional culture techniques to reflect the microbial diversity of the intestinal ecosystem (20, 22, 35) has triggered the development of culture-independent techniques for the evaluation of pro- and prebiotic administration in humans. Commonly used molecular approaches to analyze the intestinal microbiota upon dietary intervention include population fingerprinting techniques like denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis (DGGE) or temperature gradient gel electrophoresis (34, 38) and terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism (19). These PCR-based tools allow the visualization of the predominant genetic diversity without prior knowledge of the composition or complexity of the microbial ecosystem present in the sample. Unlike population fingerprinting methods, fluorescent in situ hybridization (16, 42) and real-time PCR (RT-PCR) (15, 24) are able to generate more quantitative information for specific fecal bacterial groups. Although each of these techniques has been applied to human feeding trials, to our knowledge, no study so far has reported the integrated use of DGGE and RT-PCR to monitor the effects of pro-, pre-, and synbiotics on the fecal microbiota of healthy humans.

To demonstrate the efficacy of functional food components under in vivo conditions and to substantiate claims from studies in vitro and using experimental models, well-designed human dietary intervention studies are required (45). In the current study, a long-term placebo-controlled crossover feeding study was set up to monitor the effect of a prebiotic substrate (i.e., lactulose), a probiotic organism (i.e., *Saccharomyces boulardii*), and the synbiotic combination of the two on the predominant bacterial population of 30 healthy human subjects. Lactulose is a commercially available disaccharide that is used as a drug in the treatment of hepatic encephalopathy and chronic constipation (46), which has been shown to stimulate the growth of bifidobacteria (41). The probiotic yeast *Saccharomyces boulardii* is a biotherapeutic agent available as a registered pharmaceutical product and is used in the prevention and treatment of various types of diarrhea (9, 18, 36). For this purpose, modifications in the overall bacterial composition of fecal samples were monitored by population fingerprinting using DGGE analysis of 16S rRNA gene amplicons. In this way, DGGE analysis allowed the detection of pronounced changes in the predominant fecal microbiota following pro-, pre-, or synbiotic administration using the universal 16S rRNA gene V3 primer but also allowed the detection of changes at subpopulation level using group-specific primers targeting the *Bacteroides fragilis* subgroup, the genus *Bifidobacterium*, the *Clostridium lituseburense* group (cluster XI), and the *Clostridium cocoides-Eubacterium rectale* group (cluster XIVA). Pronounced changes revealed by DGGE analysis were further characterized by RT-PCR in order to obtain a quantitative estimate of the dietary intervention effect in healthy humans.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Subjects.** Thirty healthy volunteers (11 women and 19 men) aged 23 ± 2 years (range, 20 to 26 years) participated in the study. None of the subjects had a history of gastrointestinal or metabolic disease or previous surgery (apart from appendectomy). The subjects did not receive antibiotic treatment or any other medical treatment influencing intestinal microbiota during the 3 months before the start of the study. Subjects were advised to maintain their usual diet during the study period and to avoid the intake of fermented milk products and food components containing high quantities of fermentable carbohydrates. The Ethics Committee of the University of Leuven (Belgium) approved the study, and all subjects gave informed consent.

**Experimental design and sample collection.** Healthy volunteers were randomly assigned to three different treatment groups of a placebo-controlled cross-over trial in which each subject participated in several treatments. The study was conducted over an 18-week period, which was divided into three ingestion periods of 4 weeks followed by a 4-week washout period, each separated by a 3-day sample collection interlude: (i) prebiotic period (day 1 to day 28), (ii) double placebo/synbiotic period (day 32 to day 60), (iii) probiotic period (day 64 to day 92), and (iv) washout period (day 96 to day 120) (Fig. 1). Lactulose (Duphalac; Solvay Pharma & Cie, Brussels, Belgium) and *Saccharomyces boulardii* (Enterol; Biomed, Dubendorf, Switzerland) were selected as prebiotic and probiotic compounds, respectively. The placebo consisted of maltodextrin (Passelli MD6; AVEBE B.A. Food, Foxhol, The Netherlands), an oligosaccharide that is obtained by enzymatic conversion of potato starch and that is completely digestible in the human small intestine. Twice a day, group 1 received 10 g lactulose together with 250 mg *S. boulardii* placebo in the first ingestion period (prebiotic), 10 g lactulose together with 250 mg *S. boulardii* placebo in the second ingestion period (placebo), 10 g lactulose placebo together with 250 mg *S. boulardii* in the third ingestion period (probiotic), and no intake during the final period (washout). According to the manufacturer, 250 mg of *S. boulardii* contains at least 2.5 × 109 viable lyophilized cells at the date of fabrication and 107 cells at the expiration date. However, the exact number of viable lyophilized cells at the moment of intake is unknown. Group 2 followed the same design except that higher doses of both active product and the respective placebo were administered: 15 g of lactulose and 500 mg of *S. boulardii*. Group 3 was analogous to group 1 except that the double-placebo period was replaced by the synbiotic combination of 10 g lactulose and 250 mg *S. boulardii*. The doses administered were chosen based on therapeutic recommendations in a way that subjects did not suffer from negative effects or discomfort. Throughout the study, the volun-

![FIG. 1. Schematic representation of the study design. The arrows (S1 to S5) indicate the time points of stool sample collection.](http://aem.asm.org/content/vol72/issue20/5991/F1)
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teers consumed their usual diet, taking care that the diet remained as stable as possible over the four periods. In addition, they were advised to avoid the intake of fermented milk products and food components containing high quantities of fermentable carbohydrates.

Before the start of the feeding study, at the end of each ingestion period, and at the end of the washout period, all stool samples produced during 72 h were collected. Because the mean transit takes between 60 and 72 h, samples were collected during three consecutive days. Each sample collected during 72 h was analyzed separately. Upon the collection of the fecal samples, 5 g (wet weight) was immediately frozen at −20°C for the purpose of DNA extraction.

Processing and total DNA extraction of the fecal samples. Processing of the fecal samples and subsequent bacterial DNA extraction using a modified version of the method of Pitcher and coworkers (29) was performed as previously described (44) (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target group</th>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Primer sequencea (5′-3′)</th>
<th>Amplicon size (bp)</th>
<th>Annealing temp (°C)</th>
<th>Analysis used (gradient range [%])</th>
<th>Reference or source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All bacteria</td>
<td>F357-GC</td>
<td>GC clamp-TACGGGAGGCGACGAGC</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>DGGE (35–70)</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R518</td>
<td>ATACCCGCGGCTGCGG</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>DGGE (35–60)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Bacteroides fragilis</em> subgroup</td>
<td>Bfria 531F</td>
<td>ATACCCGCGGCTGCGG</td>
<td>596</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>DGGE (40–70)</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bfria 766R-GC</td>
<td>ATACCCGCGGCTGCGG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Bacteroides</em></td>
<td>g-Bifid R-GC</td>
<td>ATACCCGCGGCTGCGG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>g-Bifid F</td>
<td>ATACCCGCGGCTGCGG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Clostridium</em></td>
<td>XI</td>
<td>GGTGTTCTTCCCGATATCTACAG</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>DGGE (35–70)</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>XIa</td>
<td>GGTGTTCTTCCCGATATCTACAG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>XIVa</td>
<td>GGTGTTCTTCCCGATATCTACAG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Bifidobacterium</em></td>
<td>F_ado IS</td>
<td>ATAGTAGATATTAGGAGGAGA</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>RT-PCR</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R_ado IS</td>
<td>ATAGTAGATATTAGGAGGAGA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. The GC clamp sequence is as follows: CGCCCGCCGCGCCCCGCGCCCGCCCGCCCGCCCCC.

Primer design and PCR program for DGGE. Based on 16S rRNA gene sequences available from the EMBL database (http://srs6.ebi.ac.uk), specific PCR-DGGE primers for the *Bacteroides fragilis* subgroup and *Clostridium* clusters XI and XIVa were designed using Kodon (version 1.0) software (Applied Maths, St.-Martens-Latem, Belgium) as previously described (44) (Table 1).

Validation of the developed primers was first performed in silico followed by in vitro specificity tests using type strains of species autochthonous to the human intestinal tract (Table 2). Other 16S rRNA gene primers used in this study targeting all (predominant) bacteria and the genus *Bifidobacterium* are listed in Table 1. The forward or reverse primer of each primer set was extended with a GC clamp at the 5′ end to allow the detection of all amplicons with DGGE.

PCR assays were performed as previously described (44), using a single PCR core program for all primer pairs with primer-specific annealing temperatures (Table 1). DGGE analysis and processing of the gels. 16S rRNA gene amplicons were analyzed with DGGE as previously described (44). In this study, different types of denaturing gradients were applied, depending on the primers used (Table 1). Denaturing gradients were applied, depending on the primers used (Table 1).

Validation of the developed primers was first performed in silico followed by in vitro specificity tests using type strains of species autochthonous to the human intestinal tract (Table 2). Other 16S rRNA gene primers used in this study targeting all (predominant) bacteria and the genus *Bifidobacterium* are listed in Table 1. The forward or reverse primer of each primer set was extended with a GC clamp at the 5′ end to allow the detection of all amplicons with DGGE.

PCR assays were performed as previously described (44), using a single PCR core program for all primer pairs with primer-specific annealing temperatures (Table 1).

DGGE analysis and processing of the gels. 16S rRNA gene amplicons were analyzed with DGGE as previously described (44). In this study, different types of denaturing gradients were applied, depending on the primers used (Table 1). Denaturing gradients were applied, depending on the primers used (Table 1).

Validation of the developed primers was first performed in silico followed by in vitro specificity tests using type strains of species autochthonous to the human intestinal tract (Table 2). Other 16S rRNA gene primers used in this study targeting all (predominant) bacteria and the genus *Bifidobacterium* are listed in Table 1. The forward or reverse primer of each primer set was extended with a GC clamp at the 5′ end to allow the detection of all amplicons with DGGE.

PCR assays were performed as previously described (44), using a single PCR core program for all primer pairs with primer-specific annealing temperatures (Table 1).

Validation of the developed primers was first performed in silico followed by in vitro specificity tests using type strains of species autochthonous to the human intestinal tract (Table 2). Other 16S rRNA gene primers used in this study targeting all (predominant) bacteria and the genus *Bifidobacterium* are listed in Table 1. The forward or reverse primer of each primer set was extended with a GC clamp at the 5′ end to allow the detection of all amplicons with DGGE.

PCR assays were performed as previously described (44), using a single PCR core program for all primer pairs with primer-specific annealing temperatures (Table 1).

Validation of the developed primers was first performed in silico followed by in vitro specificity tests using type strains of species autochthonous to the human intestinal tract (Table 2). Other 16S rRNA gene primers used in this study targeting all (predominant) bacteria and the genus *Bifidobacterium* are listed in Table 1. The forward or reverse primer of each primer set was extended with a GC clamp at the 5′ end to allow the detection of all amplicons with DGGE.

PCR assays were performed as previously described (44), using a single PCR core program for all primer pairs with primer-specific annealing temperatures (Table 1).

Validation of the developed primers was first performed in silico followed by in vitro specificity tests using type strains of species autochthonous to the human intestinal tract (Table 2). Other 16S rRNA gene primers used in this study targeting all (predominant) bacteria and the genus *Bifidobacterium* are listed in Table 1. The forward or reverse primer of each primer set was extended with a GC clamp at the 5′ end to allow the detection of all amplicons with DGGE.

PCR assays were performed as previously described (44), using a single PCR core program for all primer pairs with primer-specific annealing temperatures (Table 1).
RESULTS

Population profiling with DGGE. PCR-DGGE analysis with universal primers targeting the V3 region of the 16S rRNA gene was used to analyze the stability of the predominant fecal bacterial population of the samples across the different sampling periods (Fig. 2). Samples from all sampling points were pooled per person and analyzed on the same DGGE gel to rule out the possible influence of variations in electrophoretic conditions between different gels. Population fingerprint profiles were compared and analyzed both visually and numerically.

Overall, DGGE band profiles of V3 16S rRNA gene amplimers displayed a relatively high complexity (mean of 20.4 bands per profile) and were relatively stable for each subject (Fig. 2). Between different subjects, considerable variation in the composition of the population fingerprints could be observed (data not shown). Although profiles were relatively stable for each subject, small qualitative (the presence or absence of a band) or quantitative (variable intensity of a band) variations did occur (even between two samples from the same day), most of which were subject specific (Fig. 2). However, one band fragment at a specific position in the V3 profiles appeared (n = 5) or intensified (n = 17) after lactulose ingestion in 22 of the 30 subjects. In five other subjects, the band was already present and remained equally intense (Fig. 2). In the three remaining subjects, the band was absent during the entire study. Repeated PCR-DGGE analyses of the same DNA extracts confirmed this observation (data not shown). This particular band was located in the high-percentage denaturant zone of the DGGE gel, indicating that it most probably represented a member of the genus Bifidobacterium, given the high percentage of G+C genome content of these organisms (55 to 67 mol%). After comparing the V3 band positions of all known Bifidobacterium species, only two species matched the particular band position, namely, B. adolescentis and B. ruminantium (Fig. 3). In contrast, the intake of placebo or S. boulardii with or without lactulose did not result in any uniform change in the DGGE band profiles (Fig. 2).

In addition to universal primers, a set of group-specific primers was applied to analyze the stability of certain fecal subpopulations during the intake periods. The Bacteroides fragilis group (Fig. 4a) and Bifidobacterium (Fig. 4b) subpopulation, visualized by primers Bfra and g-Blid, showed fairly stable profiles within each individual over the complete study period in all three test groups. These profiles were less complex than the profiles generated with the universal V3 primer and displayed
samples (data not shown). After comparison with the EMBL database, the sequence of the band in question exhibited the highest similarity (100%) with the 16S rRNA gene sequence of \textit{B. adolescentis} and \textit{B. ruminantium} followed by \textit{B. thermophillus}, \textit{B. boum}, \textit{B. catenulatum}, and \textit{B. pseudocatenulatum}, with a sequence similarity of 96.7%. Given the fact that \textit{B. ruminantium} has never been reported to occur in humans and because of the documented predominance of \textit{B. adolescentis} in the human gut, the band fragment was assigned to the latter species.

**Quantification of fecal bifidobacteria using real-time PCR.**

Since the \textit{Bifidobacterium} population and particularly the species \textit{Bifidobacterium adolescentis} appeared to be influenced by lactulose administration, real-time PCR was used to quantify the total fecal bifidobacteria (Table 3) and \textit{B. adolescentis} (Table 4).

A statistically significant increase ($P < 0.05$) in the total level of fecal bifidobacteria was observed in group 3 after the intake of lactulose compared to the baseline conditions and symbiotic intake (Table 3). In groups 1 and 2, a nonsignificant tendency towards higher \textit{Bifidobacterium} levels following lactulose intake was observed. A combination of the results of groups 1 and 3 (both of which received the same dose of lactulose during the first intake period) before and after lactulose intake resulted in a significant increase in the levels of fecal bifidobacteria, from $8.14 \pm 1.09$ to $8.99 \pm 0.60 \log_{10}$ bifidobacteria/g (wet weight) ($P = 0.027$). A mean standard deviation of $0.18 \log_{10}$ bifidobacteria/g was obtained from duplicate RT-PCR runs, which is indicative of the good reproducibility of the method.

Nonsignificant tendencies indicating higher relative numbers after lactulose administration could be observed in the RT-PCR-based quantification of \textit{B. adolescentis} (Table 4). A combination of the results from groups 1 and 3 before and after lactulose intake resulted in a borderline significant increase of the fecal \textit{B. adolescentis} levels from $7.42 \pm 1.09$ to $7.84 \pm 1.06 \log_{10}$ bifidobacteria/g (wet weight) ($P = 0.074$). These results support the assumption that the DGGE band being discussed indeed represents a \textit{B. adolescentis} species and not \textit{B. ruminantium}, as the ado\_IS primer set was shown to be specific for \textit{B. adolescentis} in RT-PCR analyses (data not shown).

Although all subjects showed an overall increase in total levels of bifidobacteria and \textit{B. adolescentis} after lactulose ingestion, these changes did not have the same proportional effect in all subjects. For instance, it was found that in one of the subjects, the DGGE band representing \textit{B. adolescentis} was not present in the baseline sample but appeared as a very

### Table 3. Results of RT-PCR-based quantification of total bifidobacteria in the three different treatment groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Total bifidobacteria ($\log_{10}$ bifidobacteria/g [wet wt])</th>
<th>$P$ value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Baseline (S1)</td>
<td>Lactulose (S2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.57 ± 0.67</td>
<td>8.95 ± 0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.61 ± 0.82</td>
<td>9.31 ± 0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.79 ± 1.27</td>
<td>8.98 ± 0.40$^b$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ $n = 0.05$ (Friedman ANOVA). S1, first time point of stool sample collection; NS, not significant.

$^b$ Significantly different from baseline ($P = 0.007$) and symbiotic ($P = 0.004$) and borderline significantly different from \textit{S. bouardtis} cells ($P = 0.061$) and washout ($P = 0.099$).
intense fragment after lactulose ingestion. In RT-PCR, this change was accompanied by a relative increase from 7.11 \( \log_{10} \) to 9.26 \( \log_{10} \) for the total bifidobacteria and from 5.41 \( \log_{10} \) to 8.23 \( \log_{10} \) for \textit{B. adolescentis}. Likewise, two subjects for which no \textit{B. adolescentis} cells could be detected in their fecal samples using RT-PCR lacked the DGGE band at the \textit{B. adolescentis} position. A more detailed analysis of the RT-PCR data showed that the proportional increase in levels of total bifidobacteria and \textit{B. adolescentis} was correlated to some extent with the initial level of bifidobacteria present (data not shown). Overall, subjects with lower initial bifidobacterial counts showed higher rates of response to lactulose administration than those exhibiting higher numbers under baseline conditions. No dose-effect relation was found for lactulose or \textit{S. boulardii}.

**DISCUSSION**

In various studies that have analyzed the effect of pre-, pro-, and symbiotics on the human intestinal microbiota, it has been shown that bifidobacteria and lactobacilli can be stimulated, leading to a relative decrease of other organisms such as clostridia, streptococci, \textit{Bacteroides}, and coliforms. Many of those studies still relied on conventional culture techniques (5, 21), which are less suitable for microbial monitoring and studying population dynamics than culture-independent approaches. In this study, the qualitative composition of the fecal microbiota was analyzed during the intake of a probiotic and/or prebiotic compound by DGGE using universal and group-specific primers. Subsequently, profound changes in DGGE patterns linked to prebiotic intake were further characterized quantitatively using two RT-PCR assays targeting total bifidobacteria and \textit{B. adolescentis}. DGGE profiles of the predominant fecal microbiota using universal V3 16S rRNA gene primers generated complex but overall relatively stable and unique profiles in each of the three test groups. This confirms previous findings demonstrating the subject specificity of the predominant fecal microbiota in humans and its stability over a prolonged period of time (44, 47). Occasionally, subject-specific variations did occur during the intake period, most of which are probably due to variations in the daily diet of the subjects. One specific V3 DGGE band fragment that was observed in 90% of the subjects following lactulose intake was selected for further characterization. Based on the relative position of the band and sequence analysis of the extracted V3 amplicon, the band could be assigned to the species \textit{B. adolescentis} and/or \textit{B. ruminantium}. Because the two species display high 16S rRNA gene sequence similarities (up to 98.9%), reliable discrimination between both taxa was not possible. \textit{B. ruminantium} is a typical rumen bacterium (3) that has so far never been reported in the human GI tract. Taken together with the fact that \textit{B. adoles-
centis} is considered to be one of the most dominant \textit{Bifidobacterium} species in the intestinal tract of human adults (24, 37), it can be concluded that the particular V3 band fragment represents \textit{B. adolescentis} rather than \textit{B. ruminantium}.

Because DGGE can, at its best, be considered as a semi-quantitative tool for monitoring bacterial populations, additional analysis with RT-PCR was required to obtain a quantitative estimation of the stimulation of bifidobacteria following lactulose intake. In RT-PCR analyses, both the total bifidobacteria as well as \textit{B. adolescentis} counts increased after lactulose intake independent of the administered dose, but this effect was found to be statistically significant only for total bifidobacteria. This finding may suggest that the increase in total bifidobacteria levels is not only due to the rise in \textit{B. adolescentis} and also that other members of the genus \textit{Bifidobacterium}, including \textit{B. catenulatum}, \textit{B. pseudecatenulatum}, \textit{B. longum}, and \textit{B. infantis}, may be influenced by the administration of lactulose. Most prebiotic feeding studies in which a bifidogenic effect was reported analyzed only total bifidobacteria levels. Tannock and coworkers (38) also demonstrated changes at the species level through the detection and increased staining intensity of RNA-DGGE bands assigned to \textit{B. adolescentis} and \textit{Colinsella aerofaciens} after the consumption of galactooligosaccharide- and fructooligosaccharide-containing biscuits.

The increase in fecal bifidobacteria after lactulose ingestion has been reported in various studies using culture-based methodologies (1, 3, 31, 39, 40) and fluorescent in situ hybridization analysis (41). To our knowledge, this is the first human study using DGGE and RT-PCR in an integrated approach to indicate an in vivo bifidogenic effect of lactulose. The stimulating effect of lactulose appeared to be restricted to the period of supplementation, as numbers of bifidobacteria returned to baseline levels after administration stopped. Also, in other prebiotic feeding studies (30, 42), increased numbers of fecal bifidobacteria returned to initial levels once prebiotic ingestion had ceased. This finding demonstrates the selective nature of prebiotic fermentation in the colon and supports the concept of beneficial modulation of the gut microbiota through dietary supplementation with specific oligosaccharides. Along with positive effects on the bacterial composition, prebiotic administration can also exert favorable effects on the intestinal metabolic activity, e.g., colonic NH3 metabolism. In this context, the colonic ammonia-nitrogen metabolism was investigated in the same volunteers by means of the biomarker lactose-[\( ^{15}\text{N}^{15}\text{N} \)]-ureide and indicated a significant reduction of the urinary \( ^{15}\text{N} \) excretion after the intake of lactulose, which was accompanied by a significant increase in the fecal \( ^{15}\text{N} \) output, as was also demonstrated by higher \( ^{15}\text{N} \) levels found in the fecal bacterial fractions (7). The observed decrease in levels of
bifidobacteria after synbiotic treatment remains unclear. Possibly, consumption of lactulose by the probiotic yeast *S. bouardi* (26) and/or spatial competition between *S. bouardi* and the *Bifidobacterium* population may have contributed to this decrease.

From a methodological point of view, it is interesting that the intensity of the DGGE band representing *B. adolescentis* seemed proportional to the amount of total bifidobacteria and *B. adolescentis* determined by RT-PCR. Likewise, Bibiloni and coworkers (4) showed that an increased band intensity was correlated with relative abundance based on dot blot hybridization. In other studies, internal-standard systems were developed for DGGE analysis to conduct comparisons of relative fragment staining intensities (28, 38). As initially noticed by Roberfroid and colleagues (30) and as later confirmed in other studies (25, 42), the relative increase in levels of fecal bifidobacteria probably depends more on the baseline concentration of *Bifidobacterium* than on the prebiotic dose administered. A similar effect was also observed in the present study and could suggest that prebiotic intake may be particularly effective for subjects exhibiting low intrinsic numbers of bifidobacteria. On the other hand, it should be kept in mind that logarithmic values can give a biased view of absolute increases. For instance, an apparently small increase of 0.2 log_{10} starting from a baseline level of 9.3 log_{10} is comparable to an increase of 3.1 log_{10} starting from an initial concentration of 6.0 log_{10}.

The observation that the administration of the probiotic yeast *S. bouardi* did not appear to cause profound changes in the intestinal microbiota of healthy subjects may not be entirely unexpected. Whereas *S. bouardi* is specifically used as a biotherapeutic agent for the prevention and treatment of different types of diarrhea (9, 18, 36), none of the subjects in this study had a disturbed intestinal balance or suffered from diarrhea during the sampling period. The therapeutic effects of *S. bouardi* in healthy subjects may be minimal compared to those in patients suffering from diarrhea.

Group-specific primers were used to allow a more in-depth analysis of three bacterial subpopulations of the human colon. However, DGGE profiles of the *Bacteroides fragilis* subgroup, the genus *Bifidobacterium*, and *Clostridium* clusters XI and XIVa were relatively stable and did not reveal significant temporal shifts during the feeding trial. These results are consistent with the findings of previous studies in which no major shifts of predominant autochthonous bacterial groups were observed (34, 44). In contrast to the observations from universal profiles, stimulation of *B. adolescentis* after lactulose ingestion could not be detected in the *Bifidobacterium* profiles, possibly because of the target concentration effect. It can be assumed that the use of universal primers will yield a DGGE band for only the most predominant species representing the largest fraction of the community DNA. Group-specific primers are directed to only a fraction of the total DNA pool, in which case concentration differences are expected to be less pronounced in the subpopulation DGGE profile.

In conclusion, this study has demonstrated that an integrated use of DGGE and RT-PCR has the potential to monitor the effects of pre-, pre- and synbiotic intake on the predominant fecal microbiota of humans. Universal V3 primer DGGE fingerprint profiles from fecal samples revealed an effect of lactulose administration on fecal bifidobacteria and in particular on *B. adolescentis*. Subsequent quantification with RT-PCR confirmed the stimulation of total bifidobacteria and *B. adolescentis*. Because changes occurring mainly in the dominant bacterial populations can be detected, it cannot be excluded that subtle changes in less predominant species or groups of species may remain undetected by this approach. Although the sensitivity of this strategy needs to be elaborated further, the combination of DGGE analysis and RT-PCR quantification may be considered a promising approach in future studies, e.g., to analyze disturbed intestinal microbiota of specific patient groups. Especially in chronic intestinal disorders such as inflammatory bowel disease, in which the intestinal microbiota is believed to play a role in the (aethio)pathogenesis of the disease, this integrated approach could be useful to monitor potential indicator organisms and to assess the effects of new biotherapeutic agents during clinical intervention studies.
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