Genetic Modification of the Penicillin G Acylase Surface To Improve Its Reversible Immobilization on Ionic Exchangers
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A new mutant of the industrial enzyme penicillin G acylase (PGA) from Escherichia coli has been designed to improve its reversible immobilization on anionic exchangers (DEAE- or polyethyleneimine [PEI]-coated agarose) by assembling eight new glutamic residues distributed homogeneously through the enzyme surface via site-directed mutagenesis. The mutant PGA is produced and processed in vivo as is the native enzyme. Moreover, it has a similar specific activity to and shows the same pH activity profile as native PGA; however, its isoelectric point decreased from 6.4 to 4.3. Although the new enzyme is adsorbed on both supports, the adsorption was even stronger when supports were coated with PEI allowing us to improve the enzyme stability in organic cosolvents. The use of restrictive conditions during the enzyme adsorption on anionic exchangers (pH 5 and high ionic strength) permitted us to still further increase the strength of adsorption and the enzyme stability in the presence of organic solvents, suggesting that these conditions allow the penetration of the enzyme inside the polymeric beds, thus becoming fully covered with the polymer. After the enzyme inactivation, it can be desorbed to reuse the support. The possibility to improve the immobilization properties on an enzyme by site-directed mutagenesis of its surface opens a promising new scenario for enzyme engineering.

The use of enzymes as industrial biocatalysts usually requires their previous immobilization to simplify the control of the reactor, to avoid product contaminations, and mainly to recover and reuse the enzyme for many reaction cycles (5, 6, 8, 10, 37). However, enzyme immobilization may be expensive (considering support and process costs) and time-consuming. In this sense, immobilization of proteins on ionic exchangers presents several advantages because it does not involve complex protocols for enzyme immobilization; it only requires putting the enzyme solution in contact with the support under very mild conditions. The most important advantage of this method is that it is possible to reutilize the supports after enzyme inactivation (8, 31, 35, 37, 46). Therefore, ionic exchangers provide a simple solution for some immobilization problems, and in fact they were used as the first strategy to produce an industrial biocatalyst (10, 24).

Nevertheless, the main drawback of the ionic immobilization strategy is the desorption of the proteins during operation, promoting biocatalyst inactivation and product contamination (25, 44). The use of ionic polymers coating the surface of solid supports (e.g., polyethyleneimine [PEI] or dextran sulfate) not only provides a stronger adsorption but also prevents the alteration of the three-dimensional protein structure since the polymer becomes adapted to the enzyme instead of forcing the protein to become adapted to the support (13, 31). The immobilization of proteins on this polymer-coated support may have some additional advantages, preventing subunit dissociation of multimeric enzymes (2, 14, 31) or generating hydrophilic nanoenvironments that stabilize the proteins against the presence of organic solvents (12). However, in some cases a protein may not be adsorbed strongly enough even on these polymeric ionic beds for a particular application. When improving the properties of the support is no longer possible, to further increase the strength of protein adsorption we propose to remodel the enzyme surface by site-directed mutagenesis in order to improve its complementarity with the ionic exchanger, increasing the number of ionic groups in the enzyme surface capable of interacting with the support (that is, exposed to the medium). Therefore, we propose to carry out genetic modifications of the proteins, not to directly improve the enzyme properties (18, 28, 29, 53) but to produce an enzyme with better characteristics to facilitate its immobilization by a very simple technique as its adsorption on anionic exchangers.

This strategy has been used scarcely, and most trials have been directed to control the enzyme orientation on the support (20, 30, 39). Nevertheless, we have recently described the use of Lys-enriched penicillin G acylase (PGA) to establish an intense multipoint covalent attachment to glyoxyl agarose supports (1). However, this technique has not been proposed to be used to improve protein immobilization on ionic exchangers so far. This approach does not require a knowledge of protein structure as deep as that required to directly improve the stability of soluble enzymes, since in this case we only have to be able to predict the groups that will be exposed to the
medium. Moreover, it is assumed that site-directed mutagenesis on these surface groups should not cause dramatic negative changes on the enzyme properties (1).

To demonstrate the feasibility of this strategy, we have chosen as a model enzyme the PGA from *Escherichia coli* (34, 48), one of the most important industrial enzymes because it is currently used by pharmaceutical companies to produce beta-lactam antibiotics (3, 33, 42). Moreover, PGA can be utilized in many other reactions, like enzymatic synthesis of antibiotics, resolution of racemic mixtures, synthesis of amides, and selective de protections (16, 22, 38, 43, 47, 49–51). PGA suffers a complex posttranslational processing to become active, since it is synthesized in *E. coli* as an inactive monomer that is autoprocessed during its secretion to the periplasmic space to form an active alpha-beta heterodimer (23, 52). In this work, we have increased the number of carboxylic groups in the PGA surface to improve its adsorption on anionic exchangers, where the native enzyme was not significantly adsorbed (M. Fuentes, P. Batalla, V. Grázù, C. C. Benevides, C. Mateo, T. Montes, J. Hermoso, J. M. Giusán, and R. Fernández-LaFuente, submitted for publication). Taking into account that support adsorption may involve a large percentage of the protein surface and even more in the case of polymeric supports where the enzyme may penetrate into the polymeric bed, we have created eight glutamic residues distributed all around the protein surface, trying to reduce the putative negative effects of these mutations on PGA activity or stability.

**Materials and Methods**

**Materials.** A High Pure plasmid isolation kit was purchased from Roche Diagnostics SL (Barcelona, Spain). A QiAquick gel extraction kit for DNA fragment purification was purchased from Qiagen GmbH (Hilden, Germany). Pfu DNA polymerase (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA) was used for site-directed mutagenesis. Oligonucleotides were synthesized by Genotek (Madrid, Spain). Penicillin G was kindly provided by Antibióticos S.A. (León, Spain). PEI (25, 60, and 600 kDa), streptomycin sulfate, and isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) were supplied by Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO). DEAE-Sepharose and cyanogen bromide (CNBr)-activated Sepharose 4B were purchased from Amersham Biosciences (Uppsala, Sweden). Cross-linked 4% agarose beads (4BCL) were donated by Hispanagar S.A. (Burgos, Spain). All other reagents were of analytical grade. PEI supports were prepared from glyoxyl agarose (15, 32) as previously described (31).

**Molecular dynamics on PGA enzyme structure.** Models of the different mutants were built on the basis of the crystal structure of the PGA named “OLE_LINK1” from *E. coli* ATCC 11105 (19). Amino acid changes were introduced using the O graphic program (21) running on a Silicon Graphics workstation. Side-chain rotamers were chosen from a database of more-common side-chain rotamers (41). First models were energy minimized using the Powell minimerizer algorithm implemented in X-PLOR (9), version 3.851. The Engh and Huber (11) force field was used in all energy minimization and molecular dynamic simulations. Subsequently, a slow-cooling molecular dynamic protocol (9) was carried out over a period of 1.5 ps and applied by using a weak temperature coupling method (4). The target temperature of 2,500 K was decreased by 25 K every 100 steps to reach the final temperature of 300 K. The time step was set to 0.005 fs. Finally, the conformation of the different mutants trapped at 300 K was subjected to 500 additional steps of energy minimization.

**Bacterial strains, plasmids, and culture media.** *Escherichia coli* strains DH5α (laboratory stock) and One Shot Top10 (Invitrogen, Paisley, United Kingdom) were used for routine cloning procedures. Overproduction of PGA was performed on *E. coli* strain BL21 Star(DE3) (Invitrogen). The *E. coli* strains were routinely cultured at 37°C in Luria-Bertani (LB) broth, in either liquid or solid media containing ampicillin (150 μg/ml). For overproduction experiments, cells were cultured at lower temperatures to avoid the formation of inclusion bodies (see below). Plasmid pET110/D-TOPO (Invitrogen, Paisley, United Kingdom) was used for cloning purposes according to the supplier’s procedures (Invitrogen, Paisley, United Kingdom). Plasmid pOAF, a pET110/D-TOPO plasmid derivative containing the wild-type *pac* gene from *E. coli* ATCC 11105, was previously described (21).

**Site-directed mutagenesis of PGA.** DNA manipulations were performed as described by Sambrook and Russell (45). PGA mutants were constructed by a site-directed mutagenesis PCR technique (17) using plasmid pOAF as the template and the mutagenic primers shown in Table 1. The eight amino acid changes (Asn or Gln replaced by Glu) were introduced by three PCR steps. First, nine DNA fragments covering the complete sequence of the *pac* gene and carrying the putative nucleotide mutations were independently amplified by PCR: fragment A (pOAF as the template and primers P1 and P2), fragment B (pOAF as the template and primers P2F and P3R), fragment C (pOAF as the template and primers P3F and P4R), fragment D (pOAF as the template and primers P4F and P5R), fragment E (pOAF as the template and primers P5F and P6R), fragment F (pOAF as the template and primers P6F and P7R), fragment G (pOAF as the template and primers P7F and P8R), and fragment H (pOAF as the template and primers P8F and P9R). The amplified fragments were ligated together in an assembly PCR using the P10 primer (pOAF as the template and primers P5 to P9) and the P1 primer (pOAF as the template and primers P1 to P4). The PCR product was used for transformation of *E. coli* strains DH5α (laboratory stock) and One Shot Top10 (Invitrogen, Paisley, United Kingdom).

### Table 1. PCR primers used for site-directed mutagenesis of the *pac* gene

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Oligonucleotide sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(P1) TOPO 5'</td>
<td>(−5) 5'-CACCAATGAAAAATAGAAATGCTGATGAG-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P2F) asn A108 glu</td>
<td>(+387) 5'-GACTGATAAGTTAGACAACAAATGCAAG-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P2R) asn A108 glu</td>
<td>5'-CTGACATTTATCCTGTTGGTTCTGC-5'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P3F) gln B112 glu</td>
<td>(+1189) 5'-GTGAAAAATGTGGAGCCAGAACATTTAC-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P3R) gln B112 glu</td>
<td>5'-CCTTTCATACCTCCGCCTTGGA-5'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P4F) gln B131 glu</td>
<td>(+1243) 5'-ATTCTCAAACGTCAGCAGCAACAGAC-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P4R) gln B131 glu</td>
<td>3'-TAAGAGTTGTAGCTCTTTGCTTGTG-5'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P5F) gln B164 glu</td>
<td>(+1344) 5'-GCCCAAAAATTGGGAGAGTTGACACGACAG-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P5R) gln B164 glu</td>
<td>3'-CCGGTTTTTAAATCCACTCTACCTGTCG-5'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P6F) gln B233 glu</td>
<td>(+1552) 5'-GTTGATAACCCGACGTTGGATAATTG-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P6R) gln B233 glu</td>
<td>3'-CACTATTITGGGCTACGCCCCTATAAACA-5'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P7F) gln B312 glu</td>
<td>(+1786) 5'-ACATCTGTTTTGAGACAGAGATGCGGC-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P7R) gln B312 glu</td>
<td>3'-TGTAGAACAACTGTCCTCAGATGGGGA-5'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P8F) gln B380 glu</td>
<td>(+1993) 5'-TACGAAAAACCCGAGAGCCGCCC-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P8R) gln B380 glu</td>
<td>3'-ATGCTTTTGGTTCTCTGCCGGTGTG-5'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P9F) gln B420 glu</td>
<td>(+2112) 5'-GGGAAACAGGACGAGGTTGTGTTG-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P9R) gln B420 glu</td>
<td>3'-CCCTTGGTGTCTCTCTCACACAC-5'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(P10) TOPO 3'</td>
<td>(+2594) 5'-TACACCTCCGACCAATGAAA-3'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The amino acid changed and its position on the α or β chain of mature PGA are indicated. The mutated Glu codons are presented in bold in the primer sequences. F and R indicate forward and reverse sequences regarding the coding sequence of the *pac* gene. Numbers in brackets indicate the position of the first nucleotide of the mutagenic primer on the *pac* sequence, considering position +1 the first nucleotide of the start codon. The TOPO 5' primer (P1) provides the start codon of the *pac* gene (boldfaced and underlined). The TOPO 3' primer (P10) hybridizes with the downstream 3' noncoding region of the *pac* gene present in plasmid pOAF to allow the amplification of the C-terminal coding region of the *pac* gene.
wanted mutations. The mutated gene, was completely sequenced to verify the absence of unwanted mutations.

**Protein expression and purification.** Cells of *E. coli* BL21(DE3) were transformed with pOAF or pPGA8glu plasmid to facilitate the overexpression of wild-type or mutant *pac* genes, respectively, under the control of the T7 promoter. In brief, *E. coli* BL21(DE3) recombinant cells were cultured at 22°C and 200 rpm in 100 ml to 2 liters LB medium containing ampicillin (150 μg/ml) up to an optical density at 600 nm of 0.6, and then 0.1 mM IPTG was added to the culture. After 4 h of incubation at the same temperature, cells were harvested by centrifugation (10,000 g, 5 min), resuspended in 20 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7.0, and disrupted by sonication. The insoluble fraction was separated by centrifugation (20,000 × g, 20 min) at 4°C. The supernatant containing the overexpressed wild-type or mutant PGA was used as crude extract for the first step of enzyme purification. DNA contained in crude extracts was precipitated with 2% (wt/vol) streptomycin sulfate with mild shaking for 20 min at 4°C. Precipitated extract was then centrifuged (20,000 × g, 15 min), and the supernatant was dialyzed against 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer, pH 8.5. The dialyzed extract of wild-type PGA was then incubated in the presence of a PEI (25 kDa) agarose-ion exchanger (31) at pH 8.5 for 4 h at 4°C on a batch reactor. The PGA activity remained in the supernatant; thus, after filtration, the extract containing the enzyme PGA was further purified by fast-performance liquid chromatography on a Q-Sepharose high-performance column (Pharmacia) using a gradient of 0 to 100 mM NaCl in 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.5, as the elution buffer.

On the other hand, the mutant PGA was purified by fast-performance liquid chromatography by directly loading the dialyzed extract prepared after DNA precipitation on a Q-Sepharose high-performance column (Pharmacia) and eluting the proteins with a gradient of 0 to 200 mM NaCl in 50 mM Tris-HCl buffer, pH 8.5.

Protein concentration was determined by the method of Bradford (7). The purity of proteins was checked on 12% (wt/vol) sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gels as described by Laemmli (27).

**Isoelectric point determination.** Analytical isoelectric focusing was performed with a Pharmacia Phast system in a PhastGel IEF, pH 3 to 9 (0.35 mm thin, 5 by 4 cm size, 5% T and 3% C). Running conditions were 2,000 V, 2.5 mA, and 3.5 W at 15°C until 410 V/h was reached. Sample loading was 1 μl per sample (0.150 mg/ml). The gel was stained with Coomassie dye following the supplier’s indications, and the isoelectric points of the purified mutant and the wild type were estimated from the positions relative to those of standard proteins (36).

**Determination of PGA activity.** Enzyme activity was determined using an automatic titrator (DL50 Mettler Toledo) to titrate the release of phenylacetic acid produced by the hydrolysis of 10 mM penicillin G in 0.1 M sodium phosphate-0.5 M NaCl at pH 8.0 and 25°C. A 100 mM NaOH solution was used as the titrating reagent. One international unit of PGA activity was defined as the amount of enzyme that hydrolyzes 1 μmol of penicillin G per minute at pH 8 and 25°C. All experiments were performed at least in triplicate, and the results are presented as mean values. Experimental error was never over 5%.

In some cases, PGA activity was followed spectrophotometrically using 6-nitro-3-phenylacetamido benzoic acid.

**Immobilization on ionic exchangers.** Immobilization was carried out by adding 1 volume of the corresponding supports to 4 volumes of a solution containing 2.5 IU of native or mutant PGA in 5 mM sodium phosphate at pH 7.0 or pH 5.0 at 25°C (standard ratio was 1 g of wet support to 4 ml of enzyme solution). In some cases, immobilizations were carried out in the presence of different concentrations of NaCl.

During adsorption, samples were withdrawn from the supernatant and the suspension to assay enzyme activity as described above. After immobilization, the derivatives were washed with distilled water and stored at 4°C.

Reference suspensions were prepared with exactly the same enzyme concentration and medium conditions (pH, temperature, and ionic strength) but with addition of the corresponding amount of inert agarose instead of the active support. The PGA activity in the supernatant of this reference suspension was fully preserved in all cases; therefore, the decrease in PGA activity observed in the supernatant of the “immobilization suspension” can be directly correlated to the amount of enzyme adsorbed on the ionic exchangers. Experimental error was never over 7%.

**Desorption of the proteins adsorbed on the ionic exchangers.** The different immobilized PGA preparations (10 IU/g of support) were incubated at increasing concentrations of NaCl at 25°C and pH 7.0, and after 30 min (longer incubation times did not reveal any change in the results) the PGA activity of the suspension and supernatant was assayed as described above. Experimental error was never over 9%.

**Thermal stability assays.** Enzyme preparations (soluble or immobilized preparations) were incubated in 25 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.0, at 55°C. Samples of the suspension were withdrawn periodically, and enzyme activity was analyzed as described above. PGA residual activity was expressed as a percentage of initial activity at the given incubation time. Experimental error was never over 5%.

**Inactivation in the presence of dioxane.** Enzyme preparations were incubated in different percentages of dioxane (vol/vol) in 25 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 8.5, at 4°C. Samples of the suspension were withdrawn periodically, and enzyme activity was analyzed as described above. PGA residual activity was expressed as a percentage of initial activity at the given incubation time. Dioxane was used for its deleterious effect on PGA stability and high hydrophobicity, which permit its partitioning when generating hydrophilic nanovenvironments (12). Experimental error was never over 10%.
RESULTS

Selection of the amino acid residues to be mutated. As stated in the introduction, the aim of this work was to demonstrate the utility of increasing the number of acidic residues in the PGA surface by using a site-directed mutagenesis approach to improve its adsorption on anionic exchangers. To create the new PGA, we decided to distribute the acidic mutations homogenously through the PGA surface to decrease the likely impact in the enzyme properties. To fulfill this goal, we theoretically divided the enzyme surface in eight sectors and then selected the asparagines or glutamines exposed to the medium (one per sector) according to the positions shown in Fig. 1. By using the procedures described above, we first checked whether the predicted structure of the mutated enzyme would remain almost unaltered compared to that of the native enzyme. (root mean square deviation was 0.164). Once demonstrated by this theoretical analysis that the structure of the mutant PGA would most probably remain unaltered, we decided to produce the new protein by using the site-directed mutagenesis procedure described above.

Remarkably, the amount of mutant PGA produced in the recombinant E. coli BL21(DE3)(pPGA8glu) cells was very similar to that of the wild-type enzyme produced by E. coli BL21(DE3)(pOAF) (around 200 IU per liter of culture), suggesting that the introduced mutations did not affect the in vivo complex posttranslational processing of this enzyme (23, 52). More importantly, the mutant enzyme showed a specific activity nearly identical to that of wild-type PGA (at pH 8 and 25°C, it was 25 ± 3 IU). In addition, the stabilities of both enzymes were very similar (Fig. 2). The pH/activity profiles also remained quite similar, with the mutant enzyme being slightly more active at a acidic pH value. All of these results taken together demonstrate that the predictions of in silico analysis correspond with the actual structure of the mutant enzyme and that we have created a new form of PGA with activity and stability very similar to those of the wild type but with a very different external surface. By analyzing the structure of the native enzyme and the model of the mutant enzymes, we studied the number of charged groups exposed to the medium and therefore useful to interact with a support. Thus, the newly designed mutant PGA contains 85 exposed Glu-plus-Asp residues, while the native enzyme exposed in the surface only 77 acidic residues (Table 2), and such an increase in the number of negative charges reduces the isoelectric point of the mutant PGA from 6.4 to 4.3 (Fig. 3).

Adsorption of native and mutant enzymes on anionic exchangers. Both mutant and native PGA enzymes in 5 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.0, were offered to DEAE and two supports coated with PEI of different sizes (Fig. 4). Interestingly, the native PGA did not become significantly immobilized on any of the three supports, while the mutant enzyme became fully immobilized on them. In all cases, the enzyme activity was unaltered during adsorption, due to the very mild immobilization conditions used in these experiments.

Desorption of mutant PGA from anionic exchangers. The mutant PGA adsorbed on DEAE was desorbed at moderate ionic strength, since full desorption was achieved at 200 mM NaCl (Fig. 4), while the enzyme adsorbed on PEI could not be fully desorbed even with 400 mM NaCl. However, both PEI-coated supports gave the same desorption profile, suggesting very similar adsorption strengths.

Enzyme stability in the presence of dioxane. Adsorption of the enzymes on polymeric beds has been reported to stabilize the enzymes against the inactivation caused by organic solvents. Figure 5 shows the stabilities of different immobilized preparations of the enzyme in the presence of dioxane. The mutant PGA adsorbed on DEAE was more stable than the enzyme covalently immobilized on CNBr agarose, but the most stable preparations were those where the enzyme was adsorbed on PEI. No differences between PEI-coated supports could be found.

Optimization of the adsorption of mutant PGA on PEI-coated supports. The moderate stabilization of the PGA immobilized on PEI-coated supports in the presence of dioxane together with the absence of differences between the proper-
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**FIG. 2.** pH activity profiles and thermal inactivation courses of both native and mutant PGA. (A) pH activity profiles of enzymes, determined by using penicillin G as the substrate. Experiments were performed at 25°C. (B) Thermal inactivation courses of enzymes. Inactivation experiments were carried out at 55°C in 25 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.0. Enzyme activity was determined as described in Materials and Methods. Symbols: ▲, native PGA; ■, mutant PGA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of PGA</th>
<th>Lys</th>
<th>Arg</th>
<th>Glu</th>
<th>Asp</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Native</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutant</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ties of the mutant PGA adsorbed on both supports coated with PEI in spite of their very different sizes suggested that the enzyme does not penetrate the bed formed by the polymer and that it interacts only with the superficial layer of the film formed by the ionic groups. This possibility is reinforced by the fact that the enzyme may be fully and rapidly adsorbed on conventional supports covered with cationic groups under these conditions.

On the other hand, the use of a high ionic strength during adsorption to increase the adsorption strength has been reported previously (40), suggesting that these more restrictive conditions make the multipoint adsorption necessary to fix a protein on an ionic exchanger more difficult (26), allowing a better penetration of the enzyme on the polymeric bed.

Thus, to improve the properties of the immobilized PGA on PEI-coated supports (i.e., adsorption strength and stability against dioxane), the adsorption conditions were changed towards apparently less favorable conditions, taking advantage of the new properties of the engineered PGA and the use of a very strong anionic exchanger like PEI. Therefore, enzyme adsorptions under lower pH and higher ionic strength conditions were studied (Fig. 6). At pH 5.0, adsorption of the mutant enzyme was greatly reduced on DEAE (adsorption accounted for less than 40% of the enzyme) and the use of a higher ionic strength further reduced the percentage of adsorbed enzyme. The adsorption on supports coated with 25-kDa PEI under

FIG. 3. Isoelectric focusing electrophoresis of PGA. Electrophoresis was performed with a Pharmacia Phast system in a PhastGel IEF, pH 3 to 9. Lane 1, pH calibration kit, pH 3 to 9; lane 2, mutant PGA; lane 3, native PGA. The isoelectric points of the purified mutant and wild-type enzymes were estimated from their positions relative to those of standard proteins. See details in Materials and Methods.

FIG. 4. Immobilization and desorption of PGA on different anionic exchangers. (A) Immobilization courses of native and mutant PGA on different anionic exchangers. White columns, DEAE; gray columns, PEI (25 kDa); black columns, PEI (600 kDa). (B) Desorption of mutant PGA from different ionic exchangers. Immobilizations were performed in 5 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.0, at 25°C using 10 IU of enzyme/ml support (see Materials and Methods for details). Symbols: ▲, DEAE; ■, PEI (25 kDa); ◻, PEI (600 kDa).

FIG. 5. Inactivation courses of immobilized mutant PGA in the presence of dioxane. Experiments were carried out in the presence of 60% (vol/vol) dioxane in 25 mM sodium phosphate, pH 6.5, at 4°C. Symbols: ●, mutant PGA immobilized onto CNBr-Sepharose; ▲, mutant PGA immobilized onto DEAE-agarose; ■, mutant PGA immobilized onto PEI (25 kDa)-agarose; ◻, mutant PGA immobilized onto PEI (600 kDa).
similar conditions produced similar results, although when this support was used the adsorption was slightly higher. Remarkably, the use of PEI of larger sizes (60 kDa or 600 kDa) progressively increases the percentage of immobilized enzyme; in fact, with the largest polymer more than 60% of the enzyme was adsorbed at pH 5.0 and 150 mM NaCl. Figure 6 shows that the mutant PGA adsorbed under these conditions required much higher concentrations of NaCl to be desorbed from the PEI-coated support than when adsorption was performed under standard conditions. The concentration of NaCl required to release 50% of the enzyme from the support increased from 150 mM to 400 mM NaCl. More interestingly, the enzyme adsorbed under the new conditions became much more stable in the presence of dioxane (Fig. 7A), although the thermal stabilities of both preparations were quite similar (Fig. 7B). Therefore, under these conditions, it seems that PGA is able to penetrate deeper inside the polymeric bed.

In this way, a greater surface area of the protein contacts the polymer, generating a stronger adsorption and a higher stabilization against the action of dioxane. The loading capacity of the support was around 20 mg of PGA/g of support.

An enzyme preparation bearing 10 IU (5 g of biocatalyst in 50 ml of reaction mixture) was used at pH 8 and 25°C in the hydrolysis of 5% of penicillin G for 10 consecutive cycles without detecting any change in the enzyme activity, confirming that there is no enzyme desorption under these conditions.

DISCUSSION

The remodeling of enzyme surfaces by site-directed mutagenesis in order to improve enzyme immobilization on tailor-made supports has proven to be a very powerful tool to prepare industrial biocatalysts. In this paper, the increase in the number of glutamic residues on the surface of PGA via site-directed mutagenesis allowed immobilization of the enzyme on anionic exchangers, a process that was not possible with the native enzyme. We were able to introduce eight mutations which did not produce any relevant effect on its enzymatic properties but completely modified its capacity to become adsorbed on these supports. The adsorption is performed by a multipoint process that is achieved only by enzymes able to interchange several amino acid residues with the support.

FIG. 6. (A) Immobilization at pH 5.0 of mutant PGA on supports coated with PEI (600 kDa). Experiments were carried out in 25 mM acetate buffer, pH 5.0, at 25°C using 10 IU of enzyme/ml support. White columns, 25 mM NaCl; gray columns, 100 mM NaCl; black columns, 150 mM NaCl. (B) Desorption of mutant PGA immobilized at pH 5 on support coated with PEI (600 kDa). Desorption was performed at pH 7 and 25°C as described in Materials and Methods. Activity released from PEI (600 kDa) is depicted as follows: —, mutant PGA adsorbed in 5 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.0, and —, mutant PGA adsorbed in the presence of 150 mM NaCl and pH 5.0.
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FIG. 7. Inactivation courses of immobilized mutant PGA. (A) Inactivation by dioxane. Experiments were carried out in the presence of 75% (vol/vol) dioxane in 25 mM sodium acetate buffer (pH 5.5) at 4°C. Symbols: •, mutant and native PGA immobilized onto CNBr-Sepharose; ▲, mutant PGA immobilized onto DEAE at pH 7.0; ■, mutant PGA immobilized onto PEI (600 kDa) at pH 7.0; □, mutant PGA immobilized onto PEI (600 kDa) in the presence of 150 mM NaCl at pH 5.0. (B) Thermal inactivation. Experiments were carried out at 55°C in 25 mM phosphate buffer, pH 5.0. Symbols: •, mutant and native PGA immobilized onto CNBr-Sepharose; ▲, mutant PGA immobilized onto PEI (600 kDa) at pH 7.0; ■, mutant PGA immobilized onto PEI (600 kDa) at pH 7.0; □, mutant PGA immobilized onto PEI (600 kDa) in the presence of 150 mM NaCl at pH 5.0.
However, to take full advantage of the immobilization of proteins via ionic exchange, it has been necessary to use highly improved supports (i.e., supports coated with polymeric cationic beds) and, moreover, to use stringent optimized immobilization conditions. In fact, the best results for enzyme stabilization on dioxane and adsorption strength were achieved if the adsorption was performed under apparently unfavorable conditions, i.e., low pH and high ionic strength. The adsorption of the enzyme under more favorable conditions permits the enzyme immobilization just by interaction with the external groups of the polymeric bed, avoiding the possibility that the enzyme could be fully covered by the polymer (40). This may explain the lack of effect of the size of the polymer coating the support on the enzyme stability and adsorption strength (when the enzyme was adsorbed at pH 7.0 and low ionic strength), conditions under which the mutant enzyme becomes fully and rapidly adsorbed even on DEAE-coated supports. However, the use of more restrictive conditions requires the interaction of the polymer with a larger surface area of the protein and involves a high number of groups of the support. In this way, the enzyme may be more extensively covered by the polymer, increasing the protection against inactivation by dioxane and the adsorption strength. The fact that the eight new Glu residues were distributed homogeneously throughout the entire enzyme surface contributed to fully cover PGA with the polymer. Thus, in our best optimal preparation the enzyme activity remains fully unaltered after immobilization, and it may be used at a relatively high ionic strength and under a wide range of pH values and present a significant stabilization against the deleterious action of dioxane. Moreover, in spite of this strong adsorption, PGA can be desorbed when it becomes inactivated during operation by incubating the biocatalyst under different conditions (e.g., 100 mM HCl), allowing the reuse of the support for at least five cycles (results not shown).

Thus, reversible immobilization of PGA on supports coated with polymers may permit increase of the stability of the enzyme in the presence of dioxane. Multipoint covalent attachment on proper supports may permit a higher stabilization of the enzyme in the presence of dioxane. Multipoint covalent attachment on proper supports may permit a higher stabilization of the enzyme in the presence of dioxane. Multipoint covalent attachment on proper supports may permit a higher stabilization of the enzyme in the presence of dioxane. Multipoint covalent attachment on proper supports may permit a higher stabilization of the enzyme in the presence of dioxane.
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