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The nodulation of *Glycine max* cv. Lambert and the nodulation-restricting plant introduction (PI) genotype PI 417566 by wild-type *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* USDA110 is regulated in a population-density-dependent manner. Nodulation on both plant genotypes was suppressed (inhibited) when plants received a high-density inoculum (10⁹ cells/ml) of strain USDA110 grown in complex medium, and more nodules were produced on plants receiving a low-cell-density inoculum (10⁶ cells/ml). Since cell-free supernatants from strain USDA110 grown to high cell density in complex medium decreased the expression of an *nodY-lacZ* fusion, this phenomenon was attributed to bradyoxetin-induced repression of *nod* gene expression. Inoculation of either the permissive soybean genotype (cv. Lambert) or PI 417566 with 10⁹ cells/ml of the *nodD2*, *nolA*, *nodW*, and *nwsB* mutants of USDA110 enhanced nodulation (up to 24%) relative to that seen with inoculations done with 10⁶ cells/ml of the mutants or the wild-type strain, indicating that these genes are involved in population-density-dependent nodulation of soybeans. In contrast, the number of nodules produced by an *nodD1* mutant on either soybean genotype was less than those seen with the wild-type strain inoculated at a low inoculum density. The *nodD2* mutant outcompeted *B. japonicum* strain USDA123 for nodulation of *G. max* cv. Lambert at a high or low inoculum density, and the results of root-tip-marking and time-to-nodulate studies indicated that the *nolA* and *nodD2* mutants nodulated this soybean genotype faster than wild-type USDA110. Taken together, the results from these studies indicate that the *nodD2* mutant of *B. japonicum* may be useful to enhance soybean nodulation at high inoculum densities and that *nodD2* is a key repressor influencing host-controlled restriction of nodulation, density-dependent suppression of nodulation, perception of bradyoxetin, and competitiveness in the soybean-*B. japonicum* symbiosis.

*B. japonicum* is the nitrogen-fixing, root nodule symbiont of soybeans. Results from several studies have shown that both the bacterial and host genotypes influence the symbiotic interaction of *B. japonicum* with soybeans (32). Several soybean genotypes, including cultivars and plant introductions (PI), were shown to be differentially nodulated by specific strains or genotypes of *B. japonicum* (4, 28). Cregan and colleagues (4, 5) and Lohrke et al. (22) identified two soybean PI genotypes, PI 377586 and PI 417566, which restricted nodulation by *B. japonicum* strains in serogroups 123 and 110, respectively. The results of several subsequent studies done using one or both PI genotypes indicated that host-controlled restriction of nodulation is strain and temperature dependent, determined by the root genotype, and conditioned by a single recessive host gene (22, 23). Moreover, results from preliminary microscopic studies done using both PI genotypes suggested that the nodulation restriction process occurred some time after the formation of nodule primordia in incompatible host-strain combinations (29). More recently, Lohrke and colleagues (21) showed that PI 417566-mediated restriction of nodulation by *B. japonicum* strain USDA110 was related to inoculum density; nodulation restriction was suppressed when plants were inoculated with 10⁴ to 10⁹ cells/ml and enhanced when plants were inoculated with 10⁶ to 10⁹ cells/ml.

In *B. japonicum* and other rhizobia, nodulation requires the coordinated expression of many nodulation genes (*nod, nol, and noe*) leading to the production of lipo-oligosaccharidic *Nod* factors. The regulation of *nod* gene expression in the bradyrhizobia is complex and occurs via three regulatory pathways involving *nodD, nodVW*, and *nolA* (15). *B. japonicum* produces two *Nod* proteins (*NodD1* and *NodD2*) with distinctly different functions. *NodD1*, a LysR-type regulator, is a positive transcriptional activator and responds to plant-secreted isoflavones, such as genistein and daidzein (1, 8), while *NodD2* acts as a repressor of *nodD1* expression (16). Although the results of initial studies indicated that *nodD2* did not have an obvious role in soybean nodulation (8), the results of subsequent studies done by the same authors indicated that an *nodD2* deletion mutant had a delay in nodulation relative to the speed of nodulation by the wild-type strain (9). *NodVW* is essential for the nodulation of cowpeas, siratro, and mungbeans, but not for soybeans, and this two-component system provides an alternative pathway for *nod* gene activation in *NodD1* mutants, which are still able to nodulate host plants (8, 9). The third pathway is regulated by *NolA*, a member of the MerR family of regulatory proteins.
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and was first identified as a genotype-specific nodulation gene that was required by *B. japonicum* serogroup 123 strains for the nodulation of soybean genotype PI 377578 (27). NolA was shown to activate the expression of *nodD2*, which in turn represses *nod* gene expression in *Bradyrhizobium* (7, 19).

Quorum sensing refers to the production and perception of extracellular signal molecules (previously called autoinducers) that signal elevated population density. This leads to the expression of genes that are active only at high population densities (25). Recently, an extracellular quorum-responsive signal molecule, bradyoxetin, was identified in the culture supernatant of *B. japonicum* USDA110 grown to high cell density (17, 20). Bradyoxetin was shown to be an inducer of NolA, which in turn leads to *nod* gene repression (16). The production of bradyoxetin was shown to be regulated in a population-density-dependent manner; the greatest production of bradyoxetin occurred in high-population-density and iron-depleted conditions (20), and this was correlated with elevated expression levels of *nolA* and *nodD2*. In addition, Loh and coworkers (14) also reported that NwsB is also involved in the cell-density-dependent regulation of *nolA* and *nodD2* expression, and Pongsilp et al. (26) reported that many *Bradyrhizobium* strains also produce N-acyl homoserine lactone-like molecules, but their involvement in nodulation was not reported. Taken together, these data suggest that the expression of *nod* genes in the bradyrhizobia is modulated by bradyoxetin and, possibly, other quorum-responsive signal molecules.

The aim of this study was to determine if nodulation gene regulation and quorum sensing are involved in the restriction of nodulation by *B. japonicum* USDA110 on soybean PI genotype 417566. Mutations in several nodulation-regulating genes were also evaluated to determine if repressors of *nod* gene induction enhanced the nodulation and competitiveness of *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* strains on plants grown in artificial media and in natural soil.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Bacterial strains, media, and growth conditions.** The strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table 1. For normal growth and inoculation studies, *B. japonicum* strains were cultured in arabinose-gluconate (AG) medium at 30°C. Minimal medium (28) was also used for *β*-galactosidase activity assays as previously described (17). When appropriate, antibiotics were added to the culture medium to maintain selection of plasmids. The *nodD2* insertion mutant JD21 was constructed (J. Loh and G. Stacey, unpublished data) by inserting the *Ω* interposon from pHP451 into the *cat* site of the cloned *nodD2* gene, and this was subsequently recombined into the genome of *B. japonicum* USDA110 as previously described (7). The fidelity of the *nodD2* mutation in USDA110 was verified by using PCR with primers *nodD2F* 5'-CGATTCCAG ATGTCCCTTTCC-3' and *nodD2R* 5'-GTGGTGAAGTGGGCCATT-3', AadaF 5'-GTAGTTGCTGTACGTTGA-3', and AadaR 5'-TACTGCGTGTACC AATTGC-3', in both orientations, that are specific for the *nodD2* gene and the *aadA* gene of the *Ω* interposon, respectively.

**Nodulation suppression studies.** The *B. japonicum* wild-type strain USDA110 and the nod gene mutants *nwm21* (wild-type), B586 (nodD1), B613 (nodW), Bj613 (nodD2), and JD21 (nodD2) were grown in AG broth medium to late log phase. Cultures were severely diluted to 10^9, 10^8, 10^7, and 10^6 cells/ml in sterile AG medium, and 1 ml aliquots of these dilutions were added to sterile *Glycine max* (L.) Merr. seeds. Plant assays were done in sterile Leonard jar assemblies containing a 3:1 mixture of vermiculite and perlite as previously described (26) or in nonsterile soil (see below). Seeds of *G. max* cv. Lambert or PI 417566 (22) were surface sterilized in 0.2% acidic HgCl₂ (31). After inoculation, seeds were covered with a 1-cm layer of sterilized, paraffin-coated sand and thinned to two seedlings per hill after germination. Plants were watered with N-free plant nutrient solution (13) or tap water, as needed, and incubated in a plant growth chamber at 20°C with a photoperiod of 16 h. The number of nodules and their dry mass were determined at 31 days postinoculation. Uninoculated plants and those inoculated with *B. japonicum* strain USDA110 served as negative and positive controls, respectively. The experiment was carried out using a completely randomized experimental design, with three replications.

Nodulation restriction studies were also done using natural, nonsterile, Verndale sandy loam soil (Typic Argudoll) collected in Staples, Minnesota. This soil had no detectable *B. japonicum*, as determined by using the most-probable-number method (31) and *G. max* cv. Lambert as a trap host. The soil was allowed to partially air dry (to about 5% moisture), sieved (<2 mm), and adjusted to near-neutral pH (6.5 to 7.0) with CaCO₃. A completely randomized experimental design with three replications was used in this study, consisting of two soybean genotypes (*G. max* cv. Lambert and PI 417566), three strains of *B. japonicum* (wild type and *nolA* and *nodD2* mutants), six levels of wild-type population density (from 10^6 to 10^9 cells/ml), and two levels of population density for the mutants (10^8 and 10^9 cells/ml). Seeds were surface sterilized in acidic HgCl₂ as described above, and three seeds were planted in 11.5-cm (diameter) plastic pots with a total volume of 1 kg dry soil. Plants were thinned to two seedlings per pot 3 days after emergence and inoculated with 1.0 ml of late log phase, AG grown cultures of each strain at each population density described above. Uninoculated plants and those inoculated with *B. japonicum* wild-type strain USDA110 served as negative and positive controls, respectively. Plants were grown and watered in a plant growth chamber as described above. The number of nodules and their dry mass were determined 31 days after inoculation.

**Nodule distribution and timing of nodulation.** Studies done to examine the location of nodules on the primary root (distance from root tip mark) and the timing of nodulation were done in growth pouches (Mega International, Minneapolis, MN) as previously described (3, 21). Seeds of *G. max* cv. Lambert and PI 417566 were surface sterilized as described above and germinated in the dark on 0.75% water agar at 25°C for 2 to 3 days, until the radicle was between 1.0 and 1.5 cm in length. Two seedlings of each genotype were placed at a 6-cm growth pouch, containing 10 ml of nitrogen-free plant nutrient solution, and plant roots were inoculated with either 10^7 or 10^8 cells/ml of USDA110 or the *nolA* or *nodD2* mutant. Twenty growth pouches were inoculated with each culture at each cell population density. At the time of inoculation, the location of the root tip was marked on the outside of the plastic growth pouch. Plants were incubated at 20°C in a plant growth chamber as described above and were watered with nitrogen-free nutrient solution every day, and roots were examined daily for the appearance of nodules. The number of nodules on each root, both above and below the root tip mark, was recorded at 30 days postinoculation.

**Competition studies.** Studies were done to examine the influence of bradyoxetin signal perception on competition for nodulation on *G. max* cv. Lambert. Experiments were done in triplicate Leonard jar assemblies using two cell densities, 10^7 and 10^8 cells/ml, of wild-type USDA110 alone, the *nolA* or *nodD2* mutant alone, or USDA123 alone and each strain plus USDA123 at a 1:1 ratio. Plants were grown for 31 days as described above. Twenty nodules from each replication of each treatment, or about 50% of the total nodules, were randomly picked to examine nodule occupancy. The nodules were washed, surface sterilized (31), and crushed in 100 μl of sterile water in 96-well microtiter plates. The strains in the nodule homogenates were determined by using fluorescent antibodies specific for strains USDA110 and USDA123 (30).

**Effect of conditioned AG culture medium on expression of nodY-lacZ.** *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* strain USDA110 was cultured in AG or minimal medium at 30°C to various population densities as determined by measuring the optical density at 600 nm (OD₆₀₀). Cells were harvested by centrifugation at 8,000 × g, and the supernatant was filtered through a 0.45-μm filter (Millipore) as de-
scribed previously (15, 17). The filtrate was subsequently concentrated 100-fold by vacuum evaporation and stored at –20°C until needed. Studies done to examine the induction of nodY-lacZ fusion expression were performed essentially as described by Loh and Stacey (15), with slight modifications. *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* strain ZB977 (USDA110 harboring the nodY-lacZ fusion) was grown for 2 days in minimal medium (2) with 100 μg of tetracycline per ml at 30°C until the OD600 was 0.3 and subcultured in sterile fresh minimal medium until the OD600 was 0.2. The induction of the nodY-lacZ fusion was initiated by the addition of 2 μM genistein and 10 to 100 μl of supernatants from AG- or minimal medium-grown cells collected at each population density. Cultures were incubated at 30°C for 14 h, and β-galactosidase assays were used to measure the induction of the nodY-lacZ fusion as described previously (15, 24). Uninduced cultures served as negative controls.

**Statistical analyses.** Data were log transformed prior to analysis and analyzed by analysis of variance (ANOVA) using the Statistical Analysis computer package, version 9.1, of SAS (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC). Mean values were compared by using Duncan-Waller multiple-range analysis with an α of 0.05.

## RESULTS

### Population-density-dependent suppression of soybean nodulation

It was previously reported that a high population density of *B. japonicum* strain USDA110 suppressed nodulation on *G. max* cv. Kasota (21). To determine if a high cell population density also suppressed nodulation on other soybean varieties, *G. max* cv. Lambert seeds were inoculated with 10<sup>9</sup>, 10<sup>8</sup>, 10<sup>7</sup>, or 10<sup>5</sup> cells/ml of *B. japonicum* USDA110 in sterile vermiculite-perlite growth medium. The results given in Table 2 show that the number of nodules on cv. Lambert was indirectly related to the inoculum density; the greatest numbers of nodules were produced on plants inoculated with 10<sup>5</sup> cells/ml, and nodulation was significantly suppressed when plants were inoculated with 10<sup>9</sup> cells/ml. Thus, density-dependent suppression of soybean nodulation is not limited to cv. Kasota. Since the nodule number was reduced approximately 42% at 10<sup>9</sup> cells/ml relative to that seen when seeds were inoculated with 10<sup>5</sup> cells/ml, these two inoculum densities were used in subsequent studies.

**Culture supernatants suppress nod gene expression in a density-dependent manner.** The results of previous studies demonstrated that the expression of nodC-lacZ and nodY-lacZ fusions was a function of the initial population density of strain USDA110 (17) and that bradyoxetin induces the expression of nodA, leading to repression of the expression of nodY and other nodulation genes (14). These studies, however, were done using USDA110 grown in minimal medium. Since previous results showed that AG-grown USDA110 induced fewer nodules on plants inoculated with a high inoculum density, it was hypothesized that supernatants from AG culture grown to a high population density might also produce bradyoxetin that subsequently represses the expression of nod genes. To test this, the expression of a nodY-lacZ fusion was examined, in the presence of genistein, as a function of the addition of supernatant population density might also produce bradyoxetin that subsequently represses the expression of nod genes. To test this, the expression of a nodY-lacZ fusion was examined, in the presence of genistein, as a function of the addition of supernatant from various population densities of *B. japonicum* USDA110 grown in AG or minimal medium. The results presented in Table 3 show that the genistein-induced expression of the nodY-lacZ strain of USDA110 was similar whether cells were grown in AG or minimal medium. In AG medium, nodY-lacZ expression was maximal at an OD<sub>600</sub> of 0.08, corresponding to approximately 8 × 10<sup>7</sup> cells/ml. In contrast, when supernatants (20 μl) from AG cultures grown to an OD<sub>600</sub> of 2.0 (about 2 × 10<sup>7</sup> cells/ml) were added to tester strain ZB977, the level of nodY-lacZ expression in the presence of genistein was reduced approximately 53%, relative to that seen with the lower-density cultures. This result strongly suggested that bradyoxetin, an inducer of nodA expression and indirect repressor of *Bradyrhizobium nod* genes, was likely involved in the inoculum-density-dependent inhibition of nodulation of the soybean genotypes examined here.

**Involvement of nodulation genes in restriction of nodulation and nodulation suppression.** To investigate whether *Bradyrhizobium nod* genes were directly involved in the restriction of nodulation by strain USDA110 on PI 417566 and the density-dependent nodulation suppression on *G. max* cv. Lambert, plants were cultivated in sterile vermiculite-perlite plant growth medium and inoculated with 10<sup>5</sup> or 10<sup>9</sup> cells/ml of nodD1, nodD2, nodA, nodW, or nwsB mutant cultures grown to late log phase in AG medium. The results presented in Table 4 show that the population densities of *B. japonicum* USDA110 and the nod gene mutants significantly (P = 0.05) influenced nodule numbers on the soybean genotypes. On both soybean genotypes inoculated with wild-type USDA110, the greatest number of nodules was found on plants receiving a population density of 10<sup>5</sup> cells/ml. Moreover, the inoculation of PI 417566 with 10<sup>5</sup> cells/ml of wild-type USDA110 produced 42.8% fewer nodules than did inoculation at 10<sup>9</sup> cells/ml, in-

### Table 2. Population density suppression of nodulation on *G. max* cv. Lambert by *B. japonicum* strain USDA110<sup>a</sup>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inoculum population density (cells/ml)</th>
<th>No. of nodules</th>
<th>Nodule dry mass (mg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Uninoculated</td>
<td>0 D</td>
<td>0 D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10&lt;sup&gt;9&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>25.2 B</td>
<td>20.0 B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10&lt;sup&gt;8&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>31.2 A</td>
<td>40.2 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10&lt;sup&gt;7&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>24.5 B</td>
<td>26.0 B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10&lt;sup&gt;6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>26.5 AB</td>
<td>17.9 C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10&lt;sup&gt;5&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>25.3 B</td>
<td>15.1 C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>18.0 C</td>
<td>18.7 C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Plants were grown in vermiculite in sterile Leonard jar assemblies. Values are means of results for three replicate experiments. Nodule number and dry mass values are per plant. Numbers in a column not followed by the same letter differ significantly at a P value of 0.05 as tested by ANOVA and the Duncan-Waller multiple-range test.

### Table 3. Expression of nodY-lacZ fusion in response to the addition of supernatants from *B. japonicum* USDA110 cultures grown to various population densities in complex or minimal medium

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OD&lt;sub&gt;600&lt;/sub&gt; of culture</th>
<th>β-Galactosidase activity ± SD (units) with supernatant from:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Complex medium&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>126 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>112 ± 5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>105 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>72 ± 4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>71 ± 4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>59 ± 5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>45 ± 6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uninduced&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>22 ± 4.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Arabino-gluconate (AG) medium (28) plus yeast extract.  
<sup>b</sup> Bergersen’s defined minimal medium (2).  
<sup>c</sup> Uninduced cultures (no genistein) were grown to an OD<sub>600</sub> of 0.2.
indicating that the nodulation of this genotype was susceptible to density-dependent regulation of nodulation.

In contrast, the results presented in Table 4 show that the inoculation of either the permissive soybean genotype (cv. Lambert) or PI 417566 with 10^5 cells/ml of the nodD2, nolA, nodW, and nwsB mutants enhanced nodule numbers (up to 24%) relative to those seen with inoculations done with 10^5 cells/ml. In addition, the nodule dry mass and the number of nodules produced by the *B. japonicum* strains on both plant host genotypes were found to be significantly related, with correlation coefficients of 0.85 and 0.71 for cv. Lambert and PI 417566, respectively (data not shown). At either inoculation density, the nodD2, nolA, nodW, and nwsB mutants overcame the nodulation restriction conditioned by PI 417566, producing more nodules than were seen when the PI genotype was inoculated with 10^5 cells/ml of the wild-type strain. In general, the sizes of nodules formed by the mutants on cv. Lambert inoculated with 10^9 cells/ml were larger than those seen when the nodulation-restricting host PI 417566 was inoculated at the same level.

Interestingly, while the number of nodules produced by the nodD1 mutant was less than that seen with the wild-type strain on cv. Lambert, generally the nodule number was the same at both inoculum densities regardless of the genotype. Thus, in contrast to what was seen with the other mutants tested, an increased inoculation dosage of the nodD1 mutant did not result in enhanced nodulation on either soybean genotype. Overall, the ability of the mutants to enhance the nodulation of cv. Lambert soybeans at a high inoculation density can be ranked as follows: nodD2 > nwsB > nodW > nolA > nodD1. Taken together, these results indicate that the suppression of nodulation at high cell densities on soybeans can be alleviated by using the tested nodulation gene mutants of *B. japonicum* USDA110, with the exception of the nodD1 mutant, and that density-dependent nodulation restriction is likely conditioned by bradyoxetin and, perhaps, other quorum-induced molecules which act to repress nodulation gene function.

**Enhancement of nodulation in natural soil.** Since it was previously shown that nodulation studies done using artificial plant growth media frequently do not mimic what happens in soils, further experiments were done to determine if the nodulation gene mutants had enhanced nodulation in a natural, nonsterile soil system. The results presented in Table 5 show that nodule numbers were suppressed when the wild-type USDA110 strain was applied to soybean PI genotype 417566 at 10^5 cells/ml. However, the dilution of wild-type USDA110 cells to 10^3 cells/ml before application to plants yielded an approximately twofold increase (59%) in nodule number relative to that seen when plants were inoculated with 10^5 cells/ml (Table 5). However, the reverse was not true on the nonrestrictive cv. Lambert soybean plants. In this case, when USDA110 was inoculated at 10^5 cells/ml, nodulation was slightly enhanced relative to that seen at 10^3 cells/ml. In contrast, and similar to what was seen in studies done in artificial plant growth media, nodulation by the nodD2 and nolA mutants in soil was enhanced approximately 9 to 54% at the higher inoculum density on both the nodulation-permissive and -restrictive soybean genotypes. Nodule dry mass, on a per-plant basis, was significantly correlated with nodule number in both host plant genotypes tested. While fewer nodules were generally produced

---

**TABLE 4. Nodulation phenotypes of two soybean genotypes in vermiculite plant growth assays in response to population density of the wild type and nod gene insertion or deletion mutants of *B. japonicum* USDA110**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain and inoculum density (cells/ml)</th>
<th>Nodulation response on G. max genotypea</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cv. Lambert</td>
<td>PI 417566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No. of nodules</td>
<td>Nodule dry mass (mg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild type</td>
<td>10^5</td>
<td>27.0 E 72.2 CDE 9.0 F 20.3 E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10^9</td>
<td>20.3 F 68.8 DE 6.3 G 15.5 F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nodD1 mutant</td>
<td>10^5</td>
<td>19.0 F 56.7 F 6.3 G 20.6 E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10^9</td>
<td>19.3 F 68.6 DE 6.7 G 19.2 E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nodD2 mutant</td>
<td>10^5</td>
<td>29.0 DE 91.0 B 15.0 BC 34.4 BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10^9</td>
<td>36.0 A 78.1 C 18.0 A 31.8 C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nodA mutant</td>
<td>10^5</td>
<td>28.7 E 92.5 B 13.0 DE 31.5 C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10^9</td>
<td>31.0 CD 94.0 B 15.3 BC 32.7 BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nodW mutant</td>
<td>10^5</td>
<td>28.3 E 65.9 E 12.0 E 40.7 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10^9</td>
<td>33.3 BC 117.0 A 14.7 BC 35.3 B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nwsB mutant</td>
<td>10^5</td>
<td>28.3 E 76.6 CD 14.0 CD 24.4 D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10^9</td>
<td>34.0 AB 88.8 B 16.0 B 24.9 D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uninoculated</td>
<td>0 G</td>
<td>0 G</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Values are means of results for three replicate experiments. Nodule number and dry mass values are per plant. Numbers in a column not followed by the same letter differ significantly at a *P* value of 0.05 as tested by ANOVA and the Duncan-Waller multiple-range test.

**TABLE 5. Nodulation response of two soybean genotypes in response to population density of the wild type and nodD1 and nodD2 gene mutants of *B. japonicum* USDA110 in natural, nonsterile soil**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain and inoculum density (cells/ml)</th>
<th>Nodulation response on G. max genotypea</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>cv. Lambert</td>
<td>PI 417566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No. of nodules</td>
<td>Nodule dry mass (mg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild type</td>
<td>10^5</td>
<td>20.3 E 32.6 BC 6.7 DE 36.3 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10^9</td>
<td>24.3 B 20.3 F 2.7 H 12.0 E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nodD1 mutant</td>
<td>10^5</td>
<td>22.3 CD 37.9 AB 8.0 CD 34.0 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10^9</td>
<td>24.3 BC 28.7 CD 12.3 B 36.0 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nodD2 mutant</td>
<td>10^5</td>
<td>23.0 BC 35.2 B 9.7 C 35.7 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10^9</td>
<td>27.3 A 45.6 A 15.0 A 37.3 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uninoculated</td>
<td>0 H</td>
<td>0 G</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
on the unimproved PI genotype than on cv. Lambert, as expected, the nodules were larger on the PI genotype. Taken together, the results of these studies indicate that nodulation enhancement by the 
\textit{nod} gene mutants can be realized in natural nonsterile soil and that host-controlled restriction of nodulation can be overcome by using the \textit{nolA} and \textit{nodD2} mutants, even at high inoculum densities.

The \textit{nodD2} mutant is highly competitive for nodulation of soybeans. To determine if the USDA110 \textit{nod} gene mutants showing enhanced nodulation at high culture densities on both nodulation-restrictive and -permissive soybean genotypes, it was of interest to determine whether mutations in these two regulatory genes also influenced the timing of nodule formation in a population-density-dependent manner. The results presented in Fig. 1A and B show that less time was required by the \textit{nolA} and \textit{nodD2} mutant strains to begin nodule formation, at both population densities, on the permissive soybean genotype \textit{G. max} cv. Lambert, relative to

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inoculant strain</th>
<th>% Nodule occupancy by strain:</th>
<th>Total no. of nodules</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>USDA110</td>
<td>USDA123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USDA110 alone</td>
<td>100 A</td>
<td>0 E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110-BjB3 (\textit{nolA} mutant) alone</td>
<td>100 A</td>
<td>0 E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110-JD21 (\textit{nodD2} mutant) alone</td>
<td>100 A</td>
<td>0 E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USDA125 alone</td>
<td>0 D</td>
<td>100 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USDA110 and USDA123</td>
<td>20.0 C</td>
<td>30.0 BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110-BjB3 and USDA123</td>
<td>21.7 C</td>
<td>40.0 B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>110-JD21 and USDA123</td>
<td>46.7 B</td>
<td>16.7 D</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\* Plants were inoculated with $10^9$ cells/ml of each bacterium (1:1 ratio) and grown in a vermiculite-perlite mixture (3:1) in sterile Leonard jar assemblies. Values are means of results for $\geq50\%$ of total number of nodules. Means within a column not followed by the same letter differ significantly at a $P$ value of 0.05 as tested by ANOVA and the Duncan-Waller multiple-range test.
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\caption{Percentage of \textit{G. max} cv. Lambert and PI 417566 genotype plants nodulated by wild-type \textit{B. japonicum} USDA110 (\textbullet) and the \textit{nolA} (\textcircled{C}) and \textit{nodD2} (\textcircled{I}) mutant strains at two population densities as indicated. Twenty plants with each treatment were analyzed at each time point.}
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that seen with the wild-type strain. When the nodD2 mutant strain was inoculated at 10^5 cells/ml on cv. Lambert, the first nodules appeared 10 to 11 days after inoculation; 50% of the plants were nodulated by day 11, and 100% of plants were nodulated after 13 days. In contrast, nodulation by the nolA mutant and the wild-type strain did not begin until after 12 or 13 days, and 100% of the plants were not nodulated until 15 or 17 days postinoculation, respectively. A similar nodulation profile was seen when wild-type and mutant cells were inoculated on cv. Lambert at 10^9 cells/ml. The ranking of the tested strains for fastest nodulation of cv. Lambert was as follows: nodD2 mutant > nolA mutant > wild type.

The nodD2 mutant strain formed nodules faster on PI 417566 than on cv. Lambert when plants were inoculated at 10^5 cells/ml (Fig. 1A and C). Moreover, 100% of the plants were nodulated 10 days after inoculation. At the 10^5-cells/ml inoculation rate, nodulation by the nolA mutant and wild-type strains was delayed 1 to 2 days relative to that seen with the nodD2 mutant strain. In contrast, the timing of complete infection (100% plants nodulated) was different for the tested strains when examined at 10^6 cells/ml (Fig. 1D). In this case, the wild-type USDA110 strain was the first to form nodules on PI 417566, and about 60% of the plants were nodulated at 8 days postinoculation. Nodulation by the nodD2 mutant on PI 417566 was generally faster and more complete at 10^5 cells/ml than at 10^6 cells/ml (Fig. 1C and D), and all the strains examined formed nodules faster and more completely on PI 417566 than on cv. Lambert.

The efficiency with which the wild-type and mutant strains nodulated the restrictive and permissive soybean genotypes was also examined, by using the root tip marking (RTM) technique (3). Consistent with the speed of nodulation data presented in Fig. 1, RTM analyses indicated that there was a marked change in the nodule distribution pattern observed with PI 417566 with regard to the inoculum strain, but not with respect to population density (data not shown). The nodules formed on PI 417566 by wild-type USDA110 at the 10^5-cells/ml inoculum density were mostly located about 1 to 7 cm below the root tip mark, whereas those produced by the nolA and nodD2 mutants were more scattered along the plant roots, 3 to 11 cm below the root tip mark. In contrast, when PI 417566 was inoculated with the nodD2 mutant at 10^5 cells/ml, some nodules were produced above the root tip mark, indicating fast nodulation. When inoculated on cv. Lambert, however, USDA110 formed nodules from 2 cm above to 11 cm below the root tip mark (data not shown). Moreover, on cv. Lambert, the nolA and nodD2 mutant strains produced a much-greater number of nodules that were above the RTM, at either inoculum density, than was seen when the wild-type strain was used as the inoculum (data not shown). Taken together, the results of these studies indicated that the nolA and nodD2 mutant strains formed nodules more rapidly than the wild-type strain on the permissive soybean genotype.

**DISCUSSION**

The results of several studies have shown that soybean genotypes are differentially nodulated by *Bradyrhizobium* strains (4, 5, 21) and that *B. japonicum* strain USDA110 is restricted for nodulation by soybean genotype PI 417566 (22). Although the results of previous studies showed that the restriction of nodulation by USDA110 on soybean PI genotypes is temperature dependent, determined by the root genotype, conditioned by a single recessive host gene, and related to inoculum density (21–23), the molecular basis for restriction of strain USDA110 has largely been unexplored. Moreover, the influence of nod gene mutations on inoculum-density-dependent nodulation of soybeans is not well understood. While it was previously demonstrated that USDA110 displayed density-dependent nodulation on soybean cv. Kasota, this phenotype can also be seen on cv. Lambert. Taken together with the studies done by Loh and coworkers (14) and Ferrey et al. (6) using soybean cvs. Essex and Peking, respectively, these results indicate that density-dependent nodulation suppression is not limited to particular soybean genotypes but is a phenomenon that can be generalized to different soybean cultivars.

The results of the present studies also indicated that the population-density-dependent suppression of nodulation by USDA110 on cv. Lambert was more evident in sterile plant growth medium than in natural, nonsterile soil. In contrast, suppression of nodulation by USDA110 on PI 417566 at the high inoculum density was quite evident in soil, suggesting that both the host genotype and soil abiotic and biotic factors play major roles in density-dependent nodulation restriction. It is well known that soil is a heterogeneous environment containing many bacterial species that are in close proximity and compete with each other for nutrients and space. Thus, it is reasonable to assume that the soil environment may have limited the number of *bradyrhizobia*, typically 10^6 to 10^8 cells/g (33), coming into contact with the plant root system and thus obscured the nodulation suppression phenotype in soil. Alternatively, it may also be possible that bradyoxetin, which mediates the population-density-dependent suppression of nodulation of soybeans, was reduced to a subcritical level by either adsorption to soil particles or organic matter or degradation by soil microorganisms to render quorum sensing ineffectual with this particular host and bacterial combination. Consequently, caution must be used to define which plant genotypes actually restrict nodulation by *B. japonicum* strains, as this phenotype is conditioned by the genomes of both symbionts, by several abiotic factors, by plant growth conditions, and by the medium used for nodulation studies.

The nodulation of soybeans by *B. japonicum* occurs via the coordinated interaction of five regulatory pathways, involving nodD1, nodD2, nodVW, nwsB, and nolA (10–12, 16, 18), and is influenced by the density of the inoculant strain (21, 17). The most-dramatic effect on density-dependent nodulation suppression occurred when the nodD2 and nolA mutants were inoculated on nodulation-restrictive and -permissive soybean genotypes. In almost all cases, plants receiving a greater number of mutant *B. japonicum* cells had enhanced nodulation relative to that seen with wild-type USDA110. Thus, under these conditions, nodulation suppression at a high inoculum rate was not seen.

While the data presented here support results from previous studies showing that an nodD1 mutant retains the capacity to nodulate soybean plants (9), this mutant produced significantly fewer nodules on cv. Lambert at a high inoculum density than the other mutants tested. Moreover, the nodD1 mutant did not display density-dependent suppression of nodulation at a
Higher inoculum rate. This is likely a direct result of the lack of a functional nodD1 gene, whose repression is normally mediated via the action of NolA and NodD2 (16).

In contrast to nodD1, the nodW and nwsB mutants examined here showed slightly and statistically significantly enhanced nodulation at the higher inoculum level on both soybean genotypes. NodWV was previously shown to be required for nodulation of mungbeans, cowpeas, and siratro (10), but not for soybeans, and NwsB was previously shown to be required for the population-density-dependent expression of nolA and nodD2 (14). The mechanism by which the NodW mutant enhances nodulation at a high cell density is not currently known, but it has been shown that NwsB is also required for the population-density-dependent expression of nolA and nodD2 and that mutations in nwsB can be complemented by the overexpression of NodW (14). This suggests that the multiple nodulation pathways in B. japonicum may be interactive and coordinate to regulate the density-dependent suppression of soybean nodulation. In addition, these results indicate that a functional copy of the nodD1 gene is apparently required for the density-dependent enhanced nodulation of soybeans and that B. japonicum strains with mutations in nolA and nodD2 can be used to enhance the nodulation of soybeans at high inoculum densities.

The regulation of nodulation gene expression in B. japonicum is relatively complex and is controlled by both activators and repressors (16). The population-density-dependent nodulation of soybeans was previously shown to be regulated by the extracellular concentration of bradyoxetin, a novel Fe-repressed and secreted quorum-responsive signal molecule that induces the expression of nolA (20). Bradyrhizobium nolA, which was initially identified as a genotype-specific nodulation gene allowing the nodulation of soybean PI 377578 (27), activates the expression of nodD2, which in turn represses the expression of common and host-specific nodulation genes in Bradyrhizobium (7, 15, 19). Similar to what was previously reported for cells grown in minimal medium (17), B. japonicum cells grown to high density in complex (AG) medium also display population-density-dependent repression of nod gene expression. Thus, it is likely that the composition of the medium and the growth rate play minor roles in the synthesis and perception of bradyoxetin. Moreover, the suppression of soybean nodulation at high inoculum densities can be eliminated or severely reduced by the use of several nod gene mutants that interrupt the perception and transmission of the nodulation gene-repressing, quorum-responsive signal molecule bradyoxetin. While the concentrations of bradyoxetin in supernatants of wild-type USDA110-grown cells were not directly measured, results from both sets of studies suggest that nolA, acting through nodD2, plays a prominent role in density-dependent nodulation suppression and that deletions in either of these genes can be used to enhance soybean nodulation. Moreover, consistent with results from previous studies done using nolA-lacZ, nodC-lacZ, and nodD2-lacZ expression (14) and mutational analyses (10, 11, 18), mutations in the response regulators NwsB and NodW result in enhanced nodulation at high cell densities on soybean cv. Lambert.

Competition for nodulation is, and remains, a critical problem for enhancing nitrogen fixation in legumes (32). It is widely recognized that B. japonicum strain USDA123 and seroclonal 123 members are more competitive for the nodulation of soybeans than strain USDA110 in Midwestern United States soils (34). This result was also borne out in the studies reported here using soybean cv. Lambert inoculated at both low and high inoculum densities. While the results of the equal-concentration competition studies done here suggest that the nodD2 mutant of strain USDA110 is more competitive for soybean nodulation than the wild-type USDA110 strain, additional studies done with more replications and at several inoculation levels were needed to more thoroughly assess whether statistically significant increases in competitive ability occur as a result of the mutation. This competitive advantage may in part be due to the fact that the nodD2 mutant of USDA110 nodulated the permissive soybean genotype faster than the wild-type strain and displayed enhanced nodulation at high population densities. These results are also consistent with those reported by Loh and colleagues (14) in which a B. japonicum nwsB mutant was able to better compete with the wild-type strain for nodule occupancy at a high population density, further indicating that alterations in the nodulation gene repression system can be used to enhance competitiveness.

Interestingly, the competitive advantage for soybean nodulation was not seen with the nolA mutant strain at low or high inoculum densities, despite results showing that this mutant forms nodules faster than wild-type USDA110 on cv. Lambert. This suggests that other genes may be acting through nodD2 to influence nodulation and the competitiveness of this strain and that the regulation of the nodulation genes in B. japonicum involves complex, interactive circuitry. It should also be noted that the results obtained from the nodulation speed assays are in contrast to those reported by Garcia et al. (7), who reported that an nolA mutant had a slight delay in nodulation on soybeans compared to the speed of nodulation of wild-type USDA110. This inconsistency may simply reflect differences in the soybean genotypes used, the way plants were grown, and the types of mutants used. For example, while Gottfert et al. (9) reported that an nodD2 deletion mutant (Δ370) was delayed in nodulation on soybean cv. Williams relative to its speed of nodulation on USDA110, the mutant also contained a 600-bp deletion in sequences downstream of the nodD2 coding region, perhaps altering the regulation of downstream genes, including nolA.

The results of nodulation assays done using PI 417566, however, also indicated that host genotype and cell density significantly influenced the speed of nodulation of the mutants and the wild-type strain. For example, while the nodD2 mutant strain formed nodules faster on PI 417566 at 10⁵ cells/ml, the wild-type USDA110 strain nodulated the PI genotype faster than the nodD2 or nolA mutants when the strains were inoculated at 10⁵ cells/ml. Despite this, the results of the present studies showed that nodulation by the nodD2, nolA, nodW, and nwsB mutants at high inoculum levels was enhanced relative to that seen with the wild-type strain. This may in part be due to the controlling influence of the single recessive host gene in PI 417566 (25) which conditions nodulation by USDA110 on this genotype. Further studies done using isogenic soybean genotypes lacking this allele would shed more light on the host and microbial factors controlling nodulation with this host. Despite this limitation, however, these results indicate that NodD2 is a key repressor influencing host-controlled restriction of nodu-
lation, density-dependent suppression of nodulation, perception of the bradyoxytin quorum-sensing molecule, and competitiveness in the soybean-Bradyrhizobium japonicum symbiosis.
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