Degradation of a Mixture of Hydrocarbons, Gasoline, and Diesel Oil Additives by *Rhodococcus aetherivorans* and *Rhodococcus wratislaviensis*
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Two strains, identified as *Rhodococcus wratislaviensis* IFP 2016 and *Rhodococcus aetherivorans* IFP 2017, were isolated from a microbial consortium that degraded 15 petroleum compounds or additives when provided in a mixture containing 16 compounds (benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, m-xylene, p-xylene, o-xylene, octane, hexadecane, 2,2,4-trimethylpentane [isooctane], cyclohexane, cyclohexanol, naphthalene, methyl tert-butyl ether [MTBE], ethyl tert-butyl ether [ETBE], tert-butyl alcohol [TBA], and 2-ethylhexyl nitrate [2-EHN]). The strains had broad degradation capacities toward the compounds, including the more recalcitrant ones, MTBE, ETBE, isooctane, cyclohexane, and 2-EHN. *R. wratislaviensis* IFP 2016 degraded and mineralized to different extents 11 of the compounds when provided individually, sometimes requiring 2,2,4,4,6,8,8-heptamethylnonane (HMN) as a cosolvent. *R. aetherivorans* IFP 2017 degraded a reduced spectrum of substrates. The coculture of the two strains degraded completely 13 compounds, isooctane and 2-EHN were partially degraded (30% and 73%, respectively), and only TBA was not degraded. Significant MTBE and ETBE degradation rates, 14.3 and 116.1 μmol of ether degraded h⁻¹ g⁻¹ (dry weight), respectively, were measured for *R. aetherivorans* IFP 2017. The presence of benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes (BTEXs) had a detrimental effect on ETBE and MTBE biodegradation, whereas octane had a positive effect on the MTBE biodegradation by *R. wratislaviensis* IFP 2016. BTEXs had either beneficial or detrimental effects on their own degradation by *R. wratislaviensis* IFP 2016. Potential genes involved in hydrocarbon degradation in the two strains were identified and partially sequenced.

The pollution of soils by petroleum compounds is of great concern mainly because of the solubilities of the different molecules in water, which can endanger aquifers in contact with polluted zones. Petroleum storage facilities are frequently the source of pollution due to leaks and spills during fuel transfer and storage. For example, in the United States in 2007, the EPA indicated that nearly 110,000 old leaks have not yet been cleaned up, and there are an unknown number of petroleum brownfield sites (estimated to be over 200,000) that are predominately old abandoned gas stations (http://www.epa.gov/OUST/pubs/OUST_FY07_Annual_Report_Final_4-08.pdf). In these locations, the contamination can be generated by diesel oil and/or gasoline leaks from storage tanks, resulting in a complex mixture of compounds with different water solubilities and different biodegradabilities. Among all the phenomena occurring at polluted sites, (i) the interactions between the different compounds can result in enhanced solubility for low-solubility compounds, (ii) the differences in biodegradability levels between the dissolved molecules can lead to dispersion of the poorly biodegradable or nonbiodegradable compounds, and (iii) in the presence of mixtures of compounds, interactions between some of them can lead to detrimental or beneficial effects. For example, methyl tert-butyl ether [MTBE] could enhance the mobility of dissolved benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and xylenes [BTEXs] by exerting a cosolvent effect that decreases sorption-related retardation (30). The impact of additive use after petroleum refining to meet specific requirements is a point that deserves more study. MTBE was used extensively in the United States and elsewhere in the world. Several states banned the use of MTBE because of numerous reports of groundwater pollution, but this compound is still used in Europe. Although its use is decreasing, it still remains high, and by the end of 2007, global MTBE production was about 15 million tons. Ethyl tert-butyl ether (ETBE) is used in Europe (France, Spain, Belgium, and Germany), with European production reaching 626,300 tons in 2004 (http://www.agriculture.total.fr). MTBE and ETBE can be added at up to 15% to gasoline in order to reach the octane index requirement; their use was shown to limit noncombusted hydrocarbon release in exhaust pipe fumes. 2-Ethylhexyl nitrate (2-EHN) is the nitric ester of 2-ethyl-1-hexanol, and it is added to diesel formulations at up to 0.4%. The 2-EHN market is about 100,000 tons/year. Alkylates are native components of petroleum products, but in view of the use of ethanol in gasoline, alkylates, like 2,2,4-trimethylpentane (isooctane), are among the few high-octane alternatives that have been proposed, if only the minimal volume of ethanol required to meet oxygenate requirements are used in reformulated gasoline. In this case, other fuel constituents would be needed to make up the resulting 5% gap and the octane shortfall of about 1.5 octane points. Isooctane has an octane rating of 100 and would be...
attractive for refiners as an octane enhancer since it can be produced by former MTBE production plants (35).

Data concerning the use of additives have to be taken into account to assess the impact of petroleum products on polluted sites, such as gas stations, where leaks from different storage tanks can occur, leading to contamination by complex mixtures of petroleum products. The biodegradation of monoaromatic (BTEX) compounds and alkanes has been studied extensively, and both are generally quite biodegradable under aerobic conditions (44, 46). Regarding the biodegradability of MTBE, several microorganisms have been isolated with specific degrad- radation capacities, and some of the genes involved in the biodegradation pathway have been characterized (28). The first-order attenuation rates for MTBE in the plumes in which biodegradation occurred varied from 0.56 to 4.3 year\(^{-1}\), a rate of biodegradation not sufficient to contain the plume (7). In addition, there are numerous sites for which no biodegradation was observed (3, 10), and the presence of BTEXs and MTBE has been shown in the case of Methylibium petroleiphilum PM1 to delay the onset of MTBE biodegradation (13).

The behavior of ETBE when spilled in the environment has not been as well studied as that of MTBE, and the extent of contamination has not been documented sufficiently. Similar to that of MTBE, the biodegradation of ETBE is not always observed in microcosms with soils or aquifers derived from contaminated sites (3). Microorganisms able to grow on ETBE have been isolated, and the first monoxygenase system able to degrade ETBE was identified as a cytochrome P450 monoxygenase (encoded by the ethRABCD genes) in Rhodococcus ruber IFF 2001 (6, 21). Similarly high eth gene clusters were also isolated from Rhodococcus zopfii IFF 2005 and Gordonia sp. strain IFF 2009 (4, 16). R. ruber IFF 2001, R. zopfii IFF 2005, and Gordonia sp. strain IFF 2009 were able to grow on ETBE at the expense of the C\(_2\) moiety being released by the cleavage of the ether bond with the accumulation of tert-butyl alcohol (TBA) in the growth culture (C. Malandain, F. Fayolle-Guichard, and T. Vogel, submitted for publication). Interestingly, other microorganisms belonging to the genus Rhodo- coccus were reported to have biodegradation capacities toward ether fuels. Mo et al. (31) isolated a Rhodococcus sp. strain able to degrade MTBE to a low extent; Rhodococcus aetherivorans, a new species that belongs to MTBE-degrading actinomycetes (20) was characterized, but the enzymatic system responsible for the MTBE oxidation was not elucidated; Rhodococcus sp. strain EH831 was able to degrade MTBE (27).

There are few data in the literature regarding the biodegrad- ability of isoctane; Mycobacterium austroafricanum IFF 2173 was the only strain described for its ability to use isoctane as the sole carbon and energy source (42), and more recently, Cho et al. (9) demonstrated the biodegradability of isoctane using previously acclimated biomass. Regarding the biodegradability of 2-EHN, only M. austroafricanum IFF 2173 was recently reported to degrade 2-EHN to 4-ethyldehydrofur- an-2(3H)-one (36). The biodegradation of complex mixtures of hydrocarbons has generally been studied only under the highest-performing conditions using different processes (e.g., bioreactors) in which the microorganisms and the role played by each of them have not necessarily been elucidated. Individual microorganisms have generally been characterized for their ability to degrade individual petroleum compounds or classes of compounds, i.e., monoaromatics. There is much less work addressing the issue of the biodegradation by individual, characterized microorgan- isms of complex mixtures generally found in sites polluted by hydrocarbons, even though some bacterial genera (Pseudo- monas and Rhodococcus, for example) are known to degrade a wide range of xenobiotics (17, 25, 41). Some authors have investigated the range of biodegradation capacities of given individual strains. Solano-Serena et al. (42) previously isolated M. austroafricanum IFF 2173 from gasoline-contaminated groundwater, and this strain, tested on a mixture of petroleum compounds, showed extended biodegradation capacities toward various hydrocarbons. More recently, Rhodococcus sp. strain ECI was shown to degrade BTEXs, short-chain alkanes, pyrene, and MTBE (26). The selection and the study of strains with capacities to use a broad spectrum of various hydrocarbons is of great interest because it could facilitate the study of the effect of selective pressure in terms of gene acquisition. From a bacterial con- sortium, including bacteria from soil at a gas station polluted by leaking tanks and enriched on a mixture of various hydro- carbons, we isolated two strains of Rhodococcus wratislaviensis and Rhodococcus aetherivorans and studied their biodegrada- tion capacities toward hydrocarbons or additives added individual- ly or in mixtures.
The inoculation procedures were as follows: during the initial enrichment procedure, at each step the new flasks were inoculated from the previous ones at 15% (vol/vol). When pure strains were tested under these conditions, *R. wratislaviensis* IFP 2016 and *R. aetherivorans* IFP 2017 were previously precultivated on TS at 30°C under agitation for 72 h. Cells were washed, resuspended in MM, and inoculated individually or in coculture in the 500-ml Schott flasks equipped with a side-arm and containing 150 ml MM. The final optical density at 600 nm (OD<sub>600</sub>) in these experiments was 0.5, whether the strain was added individually or not.

After inoculation, the carbon source constituted by a model mixture of 16 hydrocarbons and additives of gasoline and gazole was added (23 μl). The carbon source mixture was composed of nearly equal concentrations of each compound as follows: benzene, 7.2 mg liter<sup>-1</sup>; toluene, 7.2 mg liter<sup>-1</sup>; ethylbenzene, 7.1 mg liter<sup>-1</sup>; m-xylene, 7.0 mg liter<sup>-1</sup>; p-xylene, 7.1 mg liter<sup>-1</sup>; o-xylene, 7.1 mg liter<sup>-1</sup>; octane, 7.9 mg liter<sup>-1</sup>; hexadecane, 7.4 mg liter<sup>-1</sup>; isooctane, 7.9 mg liter<sup>-1</sup>; cyclohexane, 7.7 mg liter<sup>-1</sup>; cyclohexanol, 6.8 mg liter<sup>-1</sup>; naphthalene, 8.3 mg liter<sup>-1</sup>; MTBE, 7.9 mg liter<sup>-1</sup>; ETBE, 8.0 mg liter<sup>-1</sup>; TBA, 7.3 mg liter<sup>-1</sup>; and 2-EHN, 8.2 mg liter<sup>-1</sup>. The flasks were then incubated at 30°C with constant agitation (120 rpm).

The controls for these experiments were similarly uninoculated flasks containing the mixture of substrates and incubated under the same conditions.

At the end of this type of experiment, the cultures were sampled for the measurement of residual MTBE, ETBE, TBA, and glycol ether by gas chromatography (GC)/flame ionization detection (FID). Then, pentane containing 1,1,2-trichloroethane (1,1,2-TCA) was injected into the septum to extract the 12 other substrates. The substrates extracted by pentane were analyzed by GC/FID. The calculation of degradation for each compound was [(residual substrate in control – residual substrate in assay)/residual substrate in control] × 100.

**Degradation capacities of individual compounds.** *R. wratislaviensis* IFP 2016 and *R. aetherivorans* IFP 2017 were prepared and tested under the conditions described in the previous paragraph. In this experiment, the controls consisted of noninoculated flasks containing the substrate and incubated under similar conditions. As described above, in some cases, HMIN was used to dissolve the substrate. At the end of the experiment, the cultures incubated in the presence of MTBE, ETBE, TBA, or cyclohexanol were sampled directly for determination of residual substrate concentration by GC/FID. In the flasks containing one of the 12 other substrates, pentane containing 1,1,2-TCA as the internal standard was injected to extract any residual substrate. The substrates extracted by pentane were analyzed by GC/FID. The experiment was carried out in duplicate for each substrate. The calculation of degradation for each compound was as follows: [(residual substrate in control – residual substrate in assay)/residual substrate in control] × 100.

**Monitoring of CO<sub>2</sub> production.** After preculturing on TS, *R. wratislaviensis* IFP 2016 cells were harvested by centrifugation (23,000 × g for 15 min), washed twice, and suspended in MM to a final OD<sub>600</sub> of about 0.2. The culture was incubated in 500-ml Schott flasks containing 200 ml MM in the presence of the desired concentration of substrate(s). The flasks were closed with a stopper equipped with a septum. The CO<sub>2</sub> produced in the gaseous phase was sampled regularly through the septum using a gas-tight syringe and measured by GC/TCD.

**Degradation assay using resting cells.** Cells of *R. wratislaviensis* IFP 2016 and *R. aetherivorans* IFP 2017 grown on TS were harvested by centrifugation (23,000 × g for 15 min), washed twice, and suspended in 100 ml phosphate buffer (20 mM, pH 7) containing the test substrate (ETBE, MTBE, a mixture of ETBE and BTEXs, a mixture of MTBE and BTEXs, or MTBE-ETBE) in 240 ml-sealed serum bottles (initial OD<sub>600</sub> ca. 0.5). Five μl of substrate was added to each flask. For the BTEXs mixture, an equimolar mixture of BTEXs was prepared at a concentration of 876 mg ml<sup>-1</sup>, and 5 μl (ca. 4.4 mg) was added per flask. The volume of headspace was sufficient to prevent any O<sub>2</sub> loss during the experiment. After inoculation, the flasks were incubated at 30°C on an orbital shaker. All experiments were performed in triplicate. Filtered samples were analyzed by GC. Substrate concentration was measured at 0, 4, 6, 24, 48, and 52 h. The dry weight of the cells was measured by filtration through a 0.22-μm filter at the end of the experiment. The filters were dried at 105°C and weighed to calculate the biomass (dry weight) concentration. The rate of ether degradation was expressed in μmol of ether degraded g<sup>-1</sup> biomass (dry weight) h<sup>-1</sup>.

**Analytical procedures.** MTBE, ETBE, TBA, and cyclohexanol were quantified by flame ionization detection on a Varian 3300 gas chromatograph (Varian, France) as previously described (18). Samples filtered through 0.22-μm filters (Prolabo, Fontenay-sous-Bois, France) were injected without further treatment. After extraction by pentane containing 1,1,2-TCA as the internal standard, the 12 other compounds were quantified by FID on a Varian gas chromatograph (Varian, France) equipped with a 0.2-mm by 50-m PO-<sub>25</sub> capillary column with a 0.5-μm stationary phase composed of methylpolysiloxane (J&W Scientific, Folsom, CA), using a two-step temperature gradient ranging from 35°C to 114°C at 1.1°C min<sup>-1</sup> and then from 114°C to 310°C at 1.7°C min<sup>-1</sup>. Helium (0.5 μl min<sup>-1</sup>) was used as the carrier gas.

Carbon dioxide was quantified by GC/TCD on a Varian 3800 gas chromatograph fitted with a Porapak Q column (1,830 mm by 2 mm). Oven and detector temperatures were 100 and 130°C, respectively. The carrier gas was helium (30 ml min<sup>-1</sup>), and the column was maintained at 50°C.

**Total DNA extraction.** For the initial identification of the 16S rRNA gene from different isolates, DNA from isolated colonies was prepared by boiling lysis; a loopful of isolated colonies was resuspended in 50 μl of sterilized water in Eppendorf tubes and placed in a boiling-water bath for 10 min. After centrifugation (10,000 × g, 10 min, 4°C), supernatants were transferred to new Eppendorf tubes to be used for PCR amplification (5 μl/reaction).

The extraction of DNA from the pure cultures, *R. wratislaviensis* IFP 2016 and *R. aetherivorans* IFP 2017, was carried out according to the protocol of Pospiech and Neumann (38). The DNA was used to test different catabolic primers by PCR to identify which genes were present in the pure strains.

**Primers used for PCR and DNA sequencing.** The PCR amplification and the sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene were performed using the forward primer 5'-GGCAGTTGTAGCTCTGGTGACG-3' (primer SF) and the reverse primer 5'-TGCAACAGGCCCAACACCAACA-3' (primer 1492R).

Other primer pairs used for amplifying and sequencing catabolic genes specific to each chemical compound tested are described in Table 1.

PCR mixtures contained 100 ng total DNA, 0.4 μl of each oligonucleotide primer, 200 μM of each dNTP, and 2.5 U of Taq DNA polymerase in the provided 1× standard buffer (New England Biolabs, Pickering, Canada) supplemented with 1.25 mM of MgCl<sub>2</sub>. After an initial incubation at 95°C for 5 min, 35 cycles of the following conditions were used, with each cycle consisting of 94°C for 30 s, 30 s at an annealing temperature of 54°C for 30 s at an annealing temperature of 55°C (alk), 57°C (nidA), 54°C (PAH-RHD GP), or 68°C (etbB); and 72°C for 1 min followed by a final extension at 72°C for 7 min. The PCR products were purified on a MinElute column (MinElute PCR purification kit; Qiagen, Mississauga, Canada), and 20 ng was submitted to sequencing on both strands.

Sequences were determined by Cogenics (Grenoble, France) or the Plateforme d'analyses biomoléculaires at Université Laval (Québec, Canada). The sequences obtained were compared to sequences deposited in GenBank (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi), and identities were evaluated (1).

**Chemicals.** All chemicals used in the study were of the highest purity available from the manufacturers.

**Nucleotide sequence accession numbers.** The 16S rRNA gene sequences of *R. wratislaviensis* IFP 2016 and *R. aetherivorans* IFP 2017 were deposited in GenBank under accession no. FJ509420 and FJ509421, respectively. The accession numbers corresponding to the partial sequences of the amplified degradation genes in the two strains are indicated in Table 1.
TABLE 1. PCR detection and DNA sequencing of degradation genes in *R. wratislaviensis* and *R. aetherivorans*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer set</th>
<th>Target gene (protein encoded)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Primers used</th>
<th>Length (bp) of PCR fragment sequenced (expected amplicon size)</th>
<th>GenBank accession no.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>R. wratislaviensis</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>alk-H1F, alk-H3R</td>
<td><em>alkB</em> (alkane hydroxylase)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>*alk-H1F, 5'-CIG IIC AGC All TIG GIC ACA AGA AGG-3'; *alk-H3R, 5'-IGC ITG ATC III GTG ICG CTG IAG-3'</td>
<td>429 (549)</td>
<td>FJ590423</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nidA-F, -R</td>
<td><em>nidA</em></td>
<td>29</td>
<td>*nidA-F, 5'-GGACTACCTCAGGCCGATA TGA-3'; *nidA-R, 5'-TGTGGACGTG CTCTCCATAG-3'</td>
<td>310† (233)</td>
<td>FJ590424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAH-RHD-GP F, R</td>
<td>(Naphthalene inducible dioxygenase)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>PAH-RHD-GP F, 5'-CGGCAGCGACA AYTTYGNGG-3'; PAH-RHD-GP R, 5'-GGGAACACCGGTCCRTGDA TRAA-3'</td>
<td>292</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>R. aetherivorans</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>alk-H1F, alk-H3R</td>
<td><em>alkB</em> (alkane hydroxylase)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>*alk-H1F, 5'-CIG IIC AGC All TIG GIC ACA AGA AGG-3'; *alk-H3R, 5'-IGC ITG ATC III GTG ICG CTG IAG-3'</td>
<td>309 (549)</td>
<td>FJ590422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ethB-F2, -R2</td>
<td><em>ethB</em> (cytochrome P450)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>*ethB-F2, 5'-CAGCGGTCTCGGCGACTG GCAGACGTTCAGT-3'; *ethB-R2, 5'-TCCGACGCAATGTCGCGG GCC GTACCCGAA-3'</td>
<td>698 (881)</td>
<td>FJ607040</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Complete DNA sequence determined and assembled from the *nidA* and PAH overlapping PCR fragments.

**RESULTS**

Isolation of pure strains from the Mix3 consortium. The Mix3 consortium was an enrichment derived from an initial inoculum composed of three samples (wastewater treatment plant residue, petroleum-contaminated soil from a gas station, and a pristine forest soil). The enrichment was cultivated in MM in the presence of the mixture of 16 compounds (final concentrations of each substrate of 7 to 8 mg liter\(^{-1}\)) and transferred twice to fresh medium after degradation was confirmed by GC/FID. The Mix3 consortium was able to degrade more than 90% of 12 of the compounds; isooctane and MTBE were degraded to a lesser extent (40 and 35%, respectively). TBA was not degraded, and more was produced through the degradation of MTBE and ETBE (results not shown).

Isolation of pure strains was carried out by plating on TS-diluted samples of the Mix3 culture. After growth, 63 individual colonies were isolated and purified. DNA of the 63 isolates was extracted by boiling lysis, and the 16S rRNA genes were amplified and sequenced using the 8F/1452R primer pair. Comparison to sequences deposited in GenBank allowed us to determine that the isolates were closely related to the following 10 different strains (percentage of identity in parentheses): *Sphingopyxis granuli* (99%), *Rhodopseudomonas* sp. (97%), *Meorhizohum* sp. (98%), *Acidovorax* sp. (98%), *Rhodanobacter lindaniclasticus* (96%), an uncultured Betaproteobacteria sp. (97%), *Pigmentiphaga kullae* (97%), *Pseudomonas* sp. (97%), *Rhodococcus aetherivorans* (98%), and *Rhodococcus wratislaviensis* (97%). All strains were preliminarily tested for their degradation capacities (results not shown), and the two strains with the most interesting potential, *R. wratislaviensis* IFP 2016 and *R. aetherivorans* IFP 2017, were chosen for an extensive study of their capacities. *R. wratislaviensis* IFP 2016 and *R. aetherivorans* IFP 2017 were 97% identical to strain CCM4930 (accession no. AJ786666) and 98% identical to isolate AK44 (accession no. AJ785745), respectively.

Degradation capacities of *R. wratislaviensis* IFP 2016 and *R. aetherivorans* IFP 2017. *R. wratislaviensis* IFP 2016 and *R. aetherivorans* IFP 2017 were tested for their capacities to degrade a mixture of the following 16 compounds, each provided at an average concentration of 7.5 mg liter\(^{-1}\): benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, *m*-xylene, *p*-xylene, *o*-xylene, octane, hexadecane, isooctane, cyclohexane, cyclohexanol, naphthalene, MTBE, ETBE, TBA, and 2-EHN. The two strains were tested individually and in coculture (the final OD\(_{600}\) was 0.5 in both cases). After 8 weeks of incubation, the residual substrates were measured, and the results are presented in Table 2. *R. wratislaviensis* IFP 2016 showed a remarkable potential, as it was able to completely degrade 11 compounds in the mixture (BTEXs, octane and hexadecane, cyclohexane and cyclohexanol, and naphthalene), two compounds were ~70% degraded (MTBE and 2-EHN), and ETBE and isooctane were degraded to a lesser extent (~50 and 25%, respectively). TBA was the only compound not degraded; it actually accumulated from the degradation of both MTBE and ETBE. *R. aetherivorans* IFP 2017 showed a more limited capacity, completely degrading only hexadecane, cyclohexanol, and ETBE, whereas 2-EHN, MTBE, and ethylbenzene were degraded to a lesser extent.

* R. *wratislaviensis* IFP 2016 and *R. aetherivorans* IFP 2017 were also tested for their capacity to degrade and to mineralize the 16 compounds individually at a final concentration of ~200 mg liter\(^{-1}\) (Table 3). In some cases, no degradation or mineralization was observed when the compounds were tested indi-
TABLE 2. Degradation of the mixture of 16 compounds by 
*Aeromonas* sp. IFP 2016 and *R. aetherivorans* IFP 2017 
tested alone or in coculture 

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds in the mixture of substrates</th>
<th>Degradation capacities (%) of a</th>
<th>Coculture of <em>R. aetherivorans</em> IFP 2016 and <em>R. aetherivorans</em> IFP 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>R. aetherivorans</em> IFP 2016</td>
<td><em>R. aetherivorans</em> IFP 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylbenzene</td>
<td>95.2</td>
<td>46.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>99.7</td>
<td>65.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>m</em>-Xylene</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>p</em>-Xylene</td>
<td>63.3</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o-Xylene</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Octane</td>
<td>97.5</td>
<td>44.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexadecane</td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isooctane</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclohexane</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTBE</td>
<td>78.2</td>
<td>32.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETBE</td>
<td>50.8</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBA</td>
<td>No degradation</td>
<td>No degradation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-EHN</td>
<td>67.9</td>
<td>37.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naphthalene</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>55.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Values are means based on the values obtained for three test flasks.

b Production of TBA from MTBE and ETBE degradation.

c The values are means based on the values obtained for two test flasks.

d Extent of degradation was calculated as described in Materials and Methods.

e The values are means based on the values obtained for two test flasks; the extent of degradation was calculated as described in Materials and Methods.

f The substrate was added directly to the culture medium (5 μl) at a final concentration of ~200 mg liter⁻¹.

The effect of the presence of a mixture of BTEXs on ETBE biodegradation was even more affected by the presence of MTBE (degradation rate being 80-fold lower) and the activity toward ETBE was not mineralized (ND).

The values are means based on the values obtained for two test flasks; the extent of degradation was calculated as described in Materials and Methods.

The values are means based on the values obtained for two test flasks; the extent of mineralization was calculated as described in Materials and Methods.

The values are means based on the values obtained for two test flasks; the extent of mineralization was calculated as described in Materials and Methods.

The values are means based on the values obtained for two test flasks; the extent of mineralization was calculated as described in Materials and Methods.
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The values are means based on the values obtained for two test flasks; the extent of mineralization was calculated as described in Materials and Methods.

The values are means based on the values obtained for two test flasks; the extent of mineralization was calculated as described in Materials and Methods.

The values are means based on the values obtained for two test flasks; the extent of mineralization was calculated as described in Materials and Methods.

The values are means based on the values obtained for two test flasks; the extent of mineralization was calculated as described in Materials and Methods.

The values are means based on the values obtained for two test flasks; the extent of mineralization was calculated as described in Materials and Methods.

The values are means based on the values obtained for two test flasks; the extent of mineralization was calculated as described in Materials and Methods.
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were readily biodegraded by when both isooctane and octane were provided.

When provided alone, whereas it was 24.0 weeks of incubation, the residual concentration of substrates in the presence of isooctane alone at the same concentration. After 5 weeks of incubation, the residual concentration of substrates was measured. No degradation of isooctane was observed when provided alone, whereas it was 24.0 ± 10.4% degraded when both isooctane and octane were provided.

Similarly, we investigated the effect of the presence of BTEXs (benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, and m-xylene), which were readily biodegraded by R. wratislaviensis IFP 2016 on the mineralization of o-xylene and p-xylene (Table 5). CO₂ production in the presence of a mixture of two BTEX components was compared to the sum of CO₂ produced when the same BTEX components were tested individually at the same concentration. The results showed that p-xylene, or one of its metabolites, had a detrimental effect on the assimilation of o-xylene and m-xylene (2.8- and 1.9-fold-lower CO₂ production in the presence of p-xylene), whereas this effect was not observed in the presence of benzene, toluene, or ethylbenzene. A beneficial effect was observed when o-xylene was added to benzene, toluene, or ethylbenzene, with CO₂ production 14%, 17%, or 18% higher, respectively, than when these substrates were provided alone.

**PCR detection of degradation genes in R. wratislaviensis IFP 2016 and R. aetherivorans IFP 2017.** Total DNA from R. wratislaviensis IFP 2016 and R. aetherivorans IFP 2017 was analyzed for the presence of different genes known to be involved in the degradation of some of the compounds tested in our study (Table 1). PCR products of the expected size were obtained from both strains using the alkB consensus primers alk-H1F and -H3R. Sequencing of the R. wratislaviensis IFP 2016 and R. aetherivorans IFP 2017 amplicons and BLAST analyses revealed 99% identity with alkB genes coding for putative alkane hydroxylases in *Rhodococcus* sp. 1BN (2) and in *Rhodococcus ruber* strain IV11 (S. Heiss et al., unpublished results). Polymorphic hydrocarbon–ring-hydroxylating dioxygenase genes were

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>MTBE</th>
<th>ETBE</th>
<th>MTBE/ETBE</th>
<th>MTBE/BTEXs</th>
<th>ETBE/BTEXs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R. aetherivorans IFP 2017</td>
<td>14.3 ± 1.4&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>116.1 ± 8.0&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>111.7 ± 10.8&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.59 ± 0.77&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>75.5 ± 12.6&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. wratislaviensis IFP 2016</td>
<td>0.09 ± 0.01&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.005 ± 0.001&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>0.020 ± 0.005&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Rate of degradation was calculated between 4 and 6 h of incubation.

<sup>b</sup> Rate of degradation was calculated between 48and 52 h of incubation.

<sup>c</sup> The experiment was carried out as described in Materials and Methods. Initial BTEX mixture concentration, 44 mg liter⁻¹; initial MTBE concentration, 37 mg liter⁻¹; initial ETBE concentration, 4 mg liter⁻¹; initial BTEX mixture concentration, 44 mg liter⁻¹; initial MTBE concentration, 37 mg liter⁻¹; initial ETBE concentration, 37 mg liter⁻¹. The values are means based on the values obtained for three test flasks.

<sup>d</sup> Only the ETBE degradation rate was calculated; no degradation of MTBE was observed during this interval of time.

**TABLE 4. Degradation rates of ETBE and MTBE by R. wratislaviensis IFP 2016 and R. aetherivorans IFP 2017**

![](https://example.com/co2_production.png)

**FIG. 1.** Production of CO₂ during growth of R. wratislaviensis IFP 2016 on isooctane, octane, and hexadecane. R. wratislaviensis IFP 2016 was cultivated in 500-ml Schott flasks on 200 ml MM in the presence of isooctane, octane, or hexadecane (4 µl) provided alone or in mixture. CO₂ produced was measured with the gaseous phase. ••, isooctane; ▲▲, octane; ■■, hexadecane; □□, isooctane and hexadecane; ΔΔ, isooctane and octane.

**TABLE 5. Cross-effects of BTEXs on their own mineralization by R. wratislaviensis IFP 2016**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BTEXs (alone or in a mixture)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>CO₂ produced (µmoles per flask)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>59.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toluene</td>
<td>44.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylbenzene</td>
<td>59.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o-Xylene</td>
<td>63.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m-Xylene</td>
<td>48.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-Xylene</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzene and p-xylene</td>
<td>87.5 (78.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toluene and p-xylene</td>
<td>70.6 (62.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylbenzene and p-xylene</td>
<td>91.3 (78.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m-Xylene and p-xylene</td>
<td>35.2 (67.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o-Xylene and p-xylene</td>
<td>29.2 (82.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzene and o-xylene</td>
<td>140.4 (123.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toluene and o-xylene</td>
<td>126.3 (107.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylbenzene and o-xylene</td>
<td>145.1 (123)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m-Xylene and o-xylene</td>
<td>112.3 (112)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Four µl of each BTEX component was added to each flask containing 200 ml MM.

<sup>b</sup> Values given in parentheses indicate the sum of CO₂ produced on the corresponding compounds tested individually.
detected only in *R. wratislaviensis* IFP 2016 DNA amplified with the nidA-F and -R and PAH-RHD GP F and R primer sets. When sequenced, these two PCR fragments gave overlapping DNA sequences, which were assembled to produce a final sequence that was 99% identical with the nidA gene coding for the large subunit of a dioxygenase iron-sulfur protein present in *Rhodococcus opacus* TKN14 (32).

A gene highly similar (99% identity) to ethB from *R. ruber* IFP 2001 was amplified from the DNA of *R. aetherivorans* IFP 2017. The ethB gene encodes a cytochrome P450 responsible for ETBE and MTBE degradation in *R. ruber* IFP 2001 (6). No such gene was detected with *R. wratislaviensis* IFP 2016 using the same primers (result not shown).

**DISCUSSION**

Two strains belonging to the genus *Rhodococcus* were isolated during this study: *R. wratislaviensis* IFP 2016 and *R. aetherivorans* IFP 2017. Recently, *R. wratislaviensis* DLC-cam was shown to hydroxylate 2-methylisoborneol, a bacterial product structurally similar to camphor (15), and *R. aetherivorans* Bc663 was described as a novel species related to the subgroup containing *R. rhodochrous*, which was identified for its ability to degrade MTBE (20).

**Degradation capacity of *R. wratislaviensis* IFP 2016.** *R. wratislaviensis* IFP 2016 had a very broad substrate degradation capacity. Various degradation mechanisms were identified, including the use of the substrate as a carbon and energy source (benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, *m*-xylene and *o*-xylene, octane, hexadecane, cyclohexane, cyclohexanol, and naphthalene) and cometabolic processes, as shown in Table 3, by the partial biodegradation of the compounds without mineralization when tested alone at a high concentration (p-xylene, isoctane, and MTBE) or by partial biodegradation when tested at a low concentration in the mixture of compounds (isoctane, MTBE, ETBE, and 2-EHN). In some cases, the use of HMN to dissolve the substrate before addition to the culture medium was shown to be beneficial (*m*-, *p*-, and *o*-xylenes and cyclohexane), suggesting that HMN improved substrate transfer to the microorganism and/or reduced substrate toxicity/inhibition (33).

(i) **Aromatic compounds.** The ability of *Rhodococcus* spp. to degrade a large variety of organic compounds, including monoaromatics, has been documented (26).

Cometabolic biodegradation of xylenes by a *Rhodococcus rhodochrous* strain isolated from a consortium able to utilize benzene, toluene, and ethylbenzene has been described (12), and although the initial degradation rates were studied, the ultimate fate of the compounds was not determined. By evaluating the mineralization capacity in parallel with the degradation capacity, it was shown that *R. wratislaviensis* IFP 2016 transformed p-xylene to a potential dead-end product, as there was no mineralization measured when p-xylene was provided as the only carbon and energy source. The transformation of p-xylene to the dead-end metabolite 2,5-dimethylhydroquinone was reported with *Rhodococcus* sp. DK17 (24). Although we did not identify the transformation product of p-xylene in *R. wratislaviensis* IFP 2016, we did show that p-xylene itself or its transformation product had a strong inhibitory effect on the degradation of *m*- and o-xylene, but no effect on benzene, toluene, or ethylbenzene degradation.

In contrast to its interaction with p-xylene, *R. wratislaviensis* IFP 2016 degraded and mineralized both *m* - and o-xylene. The ability to degrade o-xylene is important since it is considered a difficult-to-degrade hydrocarbon and only a few *Rhodococcus* strains with this ability have been isolated (14, 23, 24, 29, 45). A nidA gene in *R. wratislaviensis* IFP 2016 was identified with 99% identity to a gene from *Rhodococcus opacus* TKN14 (29) that encodes the large subunit of a dioxygenase iron-sulfur protein. This gene is a part of a group of genes (nidABEF) induced in *R. opacus* TKN14 by o-xylene. It is possible that this gene was responsible for the conversion of xylenes and possibly napthalene in *R. wratislaviensis* IFP 2016, as was shown with *R. opacus* TKN14.

(ii) **Alkanes.** The ability to degrade *n*-alkanes of various chain lengths and the presence of alkB genes, often multiple copies, have frequently been reported for *Rhodococcus* strains (25). *R. wratislaviensis* IFP 2016 was able to use short- and long-chain alkanes (*C*9 and *C*16) as the sole carbon and energy source, and the presence of an alkB gene with 99% identity to the alkB gene from *Rhodococcus* sp. 1BN (2) was identified.

(iii) **Other recalcitrant compounds.** *R. wratislaviensis* IFP 2016 was able to degrade other known recalcitrant compounds, such as cyclohexane, isoctane, MTBE, ETBE, and 2-EHN, when provided in a mixture of hydrocarbons. Among these compounds, only cyclohexane was used as a source of carbon and energy, as shown by both degradation and mineralization analyses. Of interest, the presence of HMN as a cosolvent was beneficial for these degradations. The cytotoxicity of cyclohexane was explained by its inhibitory effect (26) when used at high substrate concentration (9.2 mM), higher than that used in our study (about 2.5 mM). Cyclohexane is considered a recalcitrant compound, and when degraded, it frequently requires a syntrophic association of at least two different bacteria, one performing the oxidation of cyclohexane to cyclohexanone and the other using cyclohexanone as a carbon and energy source (26, 42). In our study, cyclohexane and cyclohexanone degradation were both accomplished by *R. wratislaviensis* IFP 2016 alone.

Isooctane, another well known recalcitrant compound, was degraded to about 26% by *R. wratislaviensis* IFP 2016, when provided in the mixture of compounds, indicating that there are enzymes in this bacterium that are able to metabolize this compound. The presence of octane, but not of hexadecane, in the mixture was shown to improve isoctane degradation and mineralization. This suggested the possible role of an alkane hydroxylase that would be able, once induced, to attack branched alkanes. It also suggested that in *R. wratislaviensis* IFP 2016 there may be at least two different alkane hydroxylases: one attacking long-chain alkanes (like hexadecane) and having no capacity toward isoctane and the other attacking short-chain alkanes (like octane) and also isoctane. The biodegradability of isoctane is poorly documented; *Mycobacterium austroafricanum* IFP 2173 is the only strain for which the capacity to grow on isoctane as a sole carbon and energy source has been characterized, and it was shown by reverse transcription-PCR that this probably involved the expression of an alkB gene (43). It is also interesting that isoctane utilization was reduced considerably by the presence of BTEXs (9).
This lack of information concerning isooctane biodegradation is an issue when considering the possibility of using it as a fuel additive (45).

The biodegradation of 2-EHN, a recently used additive of diesel fuel, by *M. astrosefacium* IFP 2173 was recently reported, but metabolites accumulated in the medium during the degradation (36). *R. wratislaviensis* IFP 2016 partially degraded 2-EHN when provided in a mixture of compounds, but no degradation or mineralization was observed when 2-EHN was the only carbon and energy source.

MTBE was degraded at a low rate by *R. wratislaviensis* IFP 2016, as has been reported for other *Rhodococcus* strains able to degrade ether fuels (16, 21; Malandain et al., submitted). TBA was produced stoichiometrically during MTBE degradation and was not further degraded. The presence of the *eth* genes in the *Rhodococcus* strains with fuel ether degradation capacities that are cited above was not detected with *R. wratislaviensis* IFP 2016. The simultaneous presences of BTEXs and MTBE had a negative impact on the MTBE degradation rate (nearly fivefold lower) as previously reported (12, 27, 40, 47), whereas no negative effect was shown on strain UC1, a strain closely related to the well-known *Methylibium petroleiphilum* PM1 (39). The negative effect of the presence of BTEXs on MTBE biodegradation has consequences for the environmental fate of MTBE in contaminated aquifers. The presence of octane had a positive effect on MTBE degradation (72% versus 15% degradation), suggesting the possibility of related metabolic processes, and the positive effect of short-chain alkanes on MTBE degradation has also been reported for *Pseudomonas* (32). The possible role of an alkane hydroxylase in the degradation of MTBE by *R. wratislaviensis* IFP 2016 needs to be determined. ETBE was partially degraded when provided in a mixture of compounds, and as in the case of MTBE, its degradation led to the production of TBA. The ETBE degradation rate was 18-fold lower than the MTBE degradation rate. TBA was the only compound tested that was not degraded to any extent by *R. wratislaviensis* IFP 2016.

**Degradation capacities of *R. aetherivorans* IFP 2017.** By comparison, *R. aetherivorans* IFP 2017 showed a more-restricted degradation profile, able to totally degrade hexadecane and cyclohexanol. ETBE was completely transformed to TBA, and the mineralization yield corresponded to the utilization of the C2 compound produced after cleavage of the ether bond, whereas TBA was not assimilated. Several of the other tested compounds were degraded to a much lower extent (2-EHN, 26% degraded; MTBE, 22% degraded; isooctane, 17% degraded) and were not, or very poorly, mineralized, suggesting cometabolic biodegradation. No BTEXs were degraded by this strain. The ETBE degradation rate of *R. aetherivorans* IFP 2017 measured after preculture on TS was in the same range as that determined with *R. ruber* IFP 2001 and *R. zopfii* IFP 2005 under similar conditions (Malandain et al., submitted), i.e., 116, 210, and 268 μmol of ETBE degraded h⁻¹ g⁻¹ dry weight. The degradation rate measured for MTBE was 14.3 μmol of MTBE degraded h⁻¹ g⁻¹ dry weight. With *R. ruber* IFP 2001 and *R. zopfii* IFP 2005, the biodegradation of ETBE and MTBE was associated with a cytochrome P450 system encoded by the *eth* genes (4, 6; Malandain et al., submitted). A cytochrome P450 is most likely responsible for ETBE and MTBE degradation in *R. aetherivorans* IFP 2017, since an *ethB* gene was detected that was 99% identical to *ethB* from *R. ruber* IFP 2001. This is the first report for *R. aetherivorans*, a new species that was characterized only recently (20), of the presence of an *ethB* gene known to encode a cytochrome P450 and previously shown with other *Rhodococcus* strains to be responsible for ether fuel degradation. As previously shown with *R. ruber* IFP 2001 and *R. zopfii* IFP 2005, ETBE and MTBE were degraded stoichiometrically to TBA, which accumulated in the medium and was not used as a carbon source by the strain. Growth on ETBE, confirmed by the mineralization yield, was at the expense of the C2 moiety, liberated by the cleavage of the ether bond. Similarly, TBA accumulated during ETBE or MTBE degradation by *R. aetherivorans* IFP 2017.

The presence of MTBE did not have an impact on the ETBE degradation rate, but no MTBE was degraded in the presence of ETBE. This was likely due to a difference of affinities of the cytochrome for these compounds, the preferred substrate being ETBE (Malandain et al., submitted). As mentioned above for *R. wratislaviensis* IFP 2016, the presence of a mixture of BTEXs had a strong negative impact on MTBE degradation and decreased the ETBE degradation rate by 1.5-fold.

These data on the effect of the simultaneous presences of MTBE and ETBE on their respective degradation rates are of importance because in countries that shifted from MTBE to ETBE as a gasoline additive, both compounds can occur in contaminated sites (F. Fayolle-Guichard, unpublished results). It is therefore important to obtain information on the behavior of microorganisms under these conditions.

**Bioremediation of polluted sites.** A coculture of *R. wratislaviensis* IFP 2016 and *R. aetherivorans* IFP 2017 showed results similar to or even better than those obtained with Mix3, as MTBE was completely degraded by the coculture and only partially by Mix3. This result emphasizes the advantage of working with pure strains rather than undefined enrichment cultures in the bioremediation process. The renewed interest in bioaugmentation processes, which were previously often rejected due to inefficiency, have been reexamined over the last decade as conditions for their use have been better determined (22).

The degradation of some toxic organic compounds at high concentrations in the environment poses challenges to conventional biological treatment. An ex situ treatment applying a system in a two-phase partitioning bioreactor with these pure strains may be an effective alternative (11, 33).

Another advantage of using mixed cultures of defined microorganisms is the ability to create specific probes to specifically monitor the fate of the microorganisms released into the environment by quantitative PCR (34) or fluorescent in situ hybridization (19).

In conclusion, the capacity of two *Rhodococcus* strains with the ability to degrade a variety of hydrocarbon and fuel additive compounds was determined. To the best of our knowledge, *R. wratislaviensis* IFP 2016 is the first pure strain with such broad degradation capacity that has been characterized in detail. This study also emphasized (i) the positive role of cometabolism in the biodegradation of a complex mixture of chemicals with different structures in pure strains and (ii) the problems due to inhibitory effects of some compounds on the degradation of other compounds when present in complex mixtures.
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