No Evidence for Transmission of Antibiotic-Resistant *Escherichia coli* Strains from Humans to Wild Western Lowland Gorillas in Lopé National Park, Gabon
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The intensification of human activities within the habitats of wild animals is increasing the risk of interspecies disease transmission. This risk is particularly important for great apes, given their close phylogenetic relationship with humans. Areas of high human density or intense research and ecotourism activities expose apes to a high risk of disease spillover from humans. Is this risk lower in areas of low human density? We determined the prevalence of *Escherichia coli* antibiotic-resistant isolates in a population of the critically endangered western lowland gorilla (*Gorilla gorilla gorilla*) and other wild mammals in Lopé National Park (LNP), Gabon, and we tested whether the observed pattern could be explained by bacterial transmission from humans and domestic animals into wildlife populations. Our results show a high prevalence of antibiotic-resistant bacterial isolates in humans and low levels in gorillas and other wildlife. The significant differences in the genetic background of the resistant bacteria isolated from humans and gorillas suggest that transmission is low or does not occur between these two species. These findings indicate that the presence of antibiotic-resistant strains in wildlife do not imply direct bacteria transmission from humans. Thus, in areas of low human density, human-wildlife *E. coli* transmission seems to be low. The presence of antibiotic-resistant isolates in gorillas may be better explained by other mechanisms for resistance acquisition, such as horizontal gene exchange among bacteria or naturally acquired resistance.

The intensification of human activities within habitats of previously isolated wild animals is a key factor in the emergence of infectious diseases (7, 18). Although major focus has been given to the spread of zoonotic diseases into human populations (23, 43, 45), anthropogenic activities also cause the emergence of disease in wildlife populations (7, 8). In particular, the close phylogenetic relationship between great apes and humans exposes apes to a high risk of disease spillover from humans (44). In the last 2 decades, bushmeat hunting, forest encroachment, ecotourism, and research activities are increasing the levels of contact between humans and great apes. This in turn has resulted in several confirmed cases of human pathogen transmission to apes (e.g., human respiratory virus in chimpanzees [19] and mountain gorillas [28], among other examples [12]). *Escherichia coli* exchanges between humans, domestic animals, and great apes have been reported in densely human-populated areas of western Uganda. Within Uganda, habituated groups of wild apes are visited daily by researchers and tourists (e.g., chimpanzees at Kibale [13] and mountain gorillas at Bwindi Impenetrable National Park [33]). However, there has been little attention directed toward the quantification of bacterial transmission in areas with low human impact, which could serve to elucidate whether the pattern of pathogen prevalence observed in wildlife is explained by transmission from humans.

Ubiquitous and commensal bacteria such as *E. coli* represent a convenient model to study patterns of bacterial transmission between humans and domestic and wild animals (13, 33). In particular, the presence of antibiotic-resistant strains in untreated wild animals has been suggested to reflect bacterial exchange with humans or domestic animals, in which treatment with antibiotics actively selects antibiotic-resistant strains (1, 6, 9, 22, 32, 34). Furthermore, several molecular techniques are now available to compare the genetic structure of *E. coli* strains from different populations (4, 37), thus contributing to the understanding of the subjacent transmission process. In this study, we determined the pattern of *E. coli* antibiotic-resistant isolates derived from feces of a population of the critically endangered western lowland gorilla (*Gorilla gorilla gorilla*) and other wild mammals, and we assessed if the observed pattern occurred as a result of bacterial transmission from humans. This study was conducted in Lopé National Park (LNP) in central Gabon (Fig. 1), which hosts important populations of western lowland gorillas and other wild mammals (38, 39). Lopé is surrounded by a human and domestic population density that is one of the lowest around the vicinity of any African protected area (17). In addition, the use of antibiotics in Lopé is almost entirely restricted to the treatment of human infectious diseases. Thus, the frequency of human-wildlife transmission of resistant strains is expected to be low.
Our results show high levels of antibiotic resistance in E. coli isolates from human feces and low levels in those from domestic animals, gorillas, and other wildlife. However, the significant difference in the genetic structure of E. coli-resistant isolates derived from humans and domestic animals and those from gorillas does not support direct bacterial transmission between these two populations. These findings suggest that the presence of antibiotic-resistant strains in wildlife do not imply direct bacterial transmission from humans, and that in areas of low human density, human-wildlife E. coli transmission is low.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Sample collection.** (i) Wild animals. The collection of wildlife samples was conducted in an area of 42 km² around the Mikongo Conservation Centre (MCC), located in the northeast region of LNP (0°18′23″N, 11°42′06″E) (Fig. 1). Since 2001, the MCC has been carrying out research and tourism activities on western lowland gorillas but without full gorilla habituation. Fresh fecal samples (less than 24 h after defecation) were collected during a 2-week census designed to study gorilla population density surrounding MCC in February 2010. Each day, four teams composed of three experienced trackers and one researcher walked predetermined forest transects designed to optimize the sampling area and to avoid duplicating the sampling of the same gorilla group (16). When a gorilla trace was found, the team tracked the traces to locate the nest built by the gorilla group the previous night. A total of 119 gorilla fecal samples were collected from 14 different gorilla nest sites. Only one fecal sample was collected per nest in each site. Samples from other mammals were also collected opportunistically, including 6 chimpanzees (Pan troglodytes troglodytes), 5 mandrills (Mandrillus sphinx), 5 monkeys (including black colobus, Colobus satanas, and the gray-cheeked mangabey, Lophocebus albigena), 12 duikers of several species, 5 river hogs (Potamochoerus porcus), 8 forest buffalos (Syncerus caffer nanus), and 7 African elephants (Loxodonta africana cyclotis) (Table 1). Approximately 2 g of fecal material sample was collected from the interior of the fresh fecal stool and placed in a 1.5-ml Eppendorf tube containing 1 ml of sterile and isotonic buffered solution composed of NaCl at 0.9%.

(ii) Humans and domestic animals. Samples from 25 adult humans that agreed to participate in this study and 34 domestic animals were collected in the five villages bordering the park located close to the area of wildlife sampling: Lopé (number of inhabitants \(n\) \(\approx\) 300), Makoghé \(n\) \(\approx\) 50), Mbadondé \(n\) \(\approx\) 50), Mikongo \(n\) \(\approx\) 100), and Massenghelani \(n\) \(\approx\) 70) (Fig. 1 shows the locations). Each village had a small number of livestock (between 10 and 50 animals) composed essentially of sheep and goat, and several households possessed companion animals (i.e., cats and dogs). The incursion of wild animals, such as elephants, buffalos, or monkeys, into crop fields and streams used by the villagers for water consumption or bathing was common in the villages. Humans were given a 150-ml sterile Falcon (BD) tube and were asked to put a small fecal swab in the tube using provided sterile gloves and/or a sterile cocktail stick. Tubes containing samples were collected the next day and filled with buffer solution. None of the samples presented signs of diarrhea. Domestic animal samples included 21 sheep (Ovis aries), 4 goats (Capra aegagrus hircus), 8 dogs (Canis lupus familiaris), and 1 cat (Felis catus). Livestock animals were followed until defecation occurred, whereas samples from companion animals were collected rectally. The collection of human (and domestic animal) samples was approved by a written statement obtained from volunteers (or livestock owners), the village chief, and the Centre National de Recherche Scientifique et Technologique du Gabon (CENAREST; permit number AR0026/09).

(iii) Water and soil samples. Twenty water samples were collected from five different streams encountered in the forest during the surveys.
and from the 15 water sources available within the five villages. These sources included 2 main rivers (Offoué and Ogooué), 12 streams, and 1 open well. Water samples were collected in a 50-ml syringe and were systematically filtered with a high-flow syringe containing a 0.45-μm polycarbonate membrane filter (Sartorius Stedim Biotech), which retained bacteria (29). The membrane filter was then placed on top of a polyether sulfone membrane filter (Sartorius Stedim Biotech), which systematically filtered with a high-flow syringe containing a 0.45-μm pore size. Water samples were collected in a 50-ml syringe and were subsequently filtered through a 0.45-μm membrane filter (Sartorius Stedim Biotech) and then diluted 1 in 10 using sterile saline solution. A multipoint inoculator was used to dispense 1 μl of diluted inoculum to achieve 10^4 CFU/ml (0.5 McFarland standard) and then diluted 1 in 10 using sterile saline solution. A multipoint inoculator was used to dispense 1 μl of diluted inoculum to achieve 10^4 CFU/ml per plate, with and without antibiotics. Plates were incubated in air at 37°C for 24 to 48 h. Five isolated pink lactose-positive colonies, visually resembling E. coli, were transferred into a 200-μl microtiter plate containing MC agar. Microtiter plates were kept at 4°C and shipped to France for further analyses. Once in France, colonies were reisolated and identified by biochemical testing (API 20E gallery or Vitek [bioMérieux]). Only one identified E. coli organism isolated per fecal sample was saved in 20% glycerol and stored at −80°C for further analysis. Unbalanced sampling per individual and pseudoreplication therefore were avoided. For descriptive purposes, all different morphotypes present within the five isolates belonging to water samples were identified (Table 2).

### Analyses

#### (i) Antibiotic resistance

Antibiotic susceptibility was tested using the agar dilution method recommended by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (5). Ten antibiotics were selected according to their availability in Lopé and Gabon. Ampicillin, chloramphenicol, and tetracycline represent the most common antibiotics used in Gabon (27), and they were available in the only local pharmacy of Lopé. Ciprofloxacin and neomycin were rarely available in the Lopé area. Doxycycline, sulfamethoxazole, rifampin, and streptomycin were not available at Lopé but were used in Gabon for the treatment of malaria (26), Shigella (27), and tuberculosis (25). Cefotaxime, an expanded-spectrum cephalosporin antibiotic, was never available at Lopé, and it is very rarely used in Gabon. Two-fold dilutions of the antibiotics were added to obtain final concentrations superior to the critical concentrations according to breakpoints provided by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute guidelines. Resistance to antibiotics was tested at the following concentrations: ampicillin (>8 μg/ml), cefotaxime (>8 μg/ml), ciprofloxacin (>2 μg/ml), tetracycline (>8 μg/ml), chloramphenicol (>8 μg/ml), doxycycline (>8 μg/ml), neomycin (>4 μg/ml), rifampin (>16 μg/ml), streptomycin (>16 μg/ml), and sulfamethoxazole (>256 μg/ml). Inocula were prepared in saline solution to achieve 10^8 CFU/ml (0.5 McFarland standard) and then diluted 1 in 10 using sterile saline solution. A multipoint inoculator was used to dispense 1 μl of diluted inoculum to achieve 10^4 CFU/ml per plate, with and without antibiotics. Plates were incubated in air at 37°C for 18 h. Fully susceptible E. coli ATCC 25922 was used as a control. An isolate was considered resistant if it grew in the presence of the antibiotic and formed a homogenized colony similar to the control colony grown in medium without antibiotic. A replicate was systematically run to confirm each resistant case. To evaluate the reproducibility of the method, independent tests were performed for E. coli ATCC 25922 and 10 clinical strains (two tests each).

#### (ii) E. coli phylogenetic groups

Each E. coli isolate was assigned to one of the four major phylogenetic groups (A, B1, B2, and D) (21) using a multiplex PCR assay that creates the simultaneous amplification of the chuA and yjaA genes and DNA fragment TSPE4.C2 (4). The presence of the three markers in the same strain denotes the subgroup B2'. This method has been widely used for the genetic description of different E. coli species and is given in parentheses.

---

### TABLE 1 Summary of the distribution of resistant E. coli isolates according to each antibiotic and collection source

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibiotic</th>
<th>Isolate distribution^a^ by sample source</th>
<th>% of resistance from total fecal isolates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gorillas (n = 119)</td>
<td>Other wildlife (n = 48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ampicillin^b^</td>
<td>4 (3.4)</td>
<td>4 (8.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cefotaxime^c^</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloramphenicol^d^</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (2.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetracycline^d^</td>
<td>3 (2.5)</td>
<td>2 (4.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciprofloxacin^e^</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doxycycline^e^</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (2.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neomycin^e^</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rifampin^e^</td>
<td>2 (1.7)</td>
<td>1 (2.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streptomycin^e^</td>
<td>3 (2.5)</td>
<td>1 (2.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfamethoxazole^e^</td>
<td>1 (0.8)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^a The number (n) of resistant isolates to each antibiotic is given for each host (percentages are in parentheses).
^b Most commonly used (in Lopé).
^c Rarely used.
^d Not used in Lopé but available in Gabon.
^e Not used in Gabon.

---

### TABLE 2 Bacteria species recovered from water samples^a^

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Origin</th>
<th>No. of water sources</th>
<th>No. of Lac~^+~ isolates</th>
<th>Species found (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Forest streams</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Serratia marcescens (7), Escherichia coli (5), Klebsiella pneumonia (1), Klebsiella oxytoca (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Villages</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>Serratia marcescens (33), Escherichia coli (5), Enterobacter sp. (5), Klebsiella pneumonia (3), Klebsiella oxytoca (2), Klebsiella terrigena (1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>No. of Lac~^+~ isolates</th>
<th>Species found (n)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Forest streams</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Proteus mirabilis (2), Proteus vulgaris (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Villages</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Proteus mirabilis (3), Morganella morganii (3), Proteus vulgaris (2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^a Each morphologically distinct isolate (out of five isolates per sample) was identified to the species level for each water sample. The number of isolates belonging to each bacterial species is given in parentheses.
populations given its rapidity and simplicity (4, 10, 37) and its equivalence to other more sophisticated methods, such as multilocus sequence typing (14). Primers used in this study were those from Clermont et al. (4).

(iii) Statistical analyses. Fisher exact tests where used to compare the prevalence of resistant isolates and the proportion of the different phylogenetic groups of the *E. coli* isolates derived from different host populations. This test is recommend for the analysis of contingency tables with small samples sizes, including the distribution of genetic characteristics (11, 30). The number of isolates resistant to each antibiotic was compared by means of a Spearman correlation test, which is a nonparametric test that is suitable for a small number of data points (10 in our case) (35).

RESULTS

Patterns of antibiotic resistance. A total of 236 *E. coli* isolates were considered in this study, of which 226 came from fecal samples (1 isolate per stool sample from the 25 humans, the 34 domestic animals, the 119 gorillas, and the other 48 wild animals sampled) and 10 from water (Table 1). Although all water samples harbored a variety of enterobacterial species (Table 2), *E. coli* was present in only 10 of the 20 samples. No enterobacteria were isolated from the 10 soil samples. Thirty of the 226 feces-derived isolates were resistant to at least one antibiotic. In humans, 48.0% (12 out of 25) of the *E. coli* isolates were resistant to at least one antibiotic, compared to 14.7% (5 out of 34) in domestic animals, 10.4% (5 out of 48) in wild animals, and 6.7% (8 out of 119) in gorillas (Fig. 2). Resistance to at least one antibiotic was significantly higher in *E. coli* isolates from stools collected in the villages (i.e., humans and domestic animals) than those collected in the forest (i.e., gorillas and other wildlife) (2-by-2 Fisher’s exact test; odds ratio, 4.79; P < 0.001). However, this difference seems to be driven by the high prevalence of antibiotic-resistant isolates in humans, because no significant differences were found for isolates from domestic animals, gorillas, and other wildlife (2-by-3 Fisher’s exact test; P = 0.69). *E. coli* isolates derived from human stools were resistant to 7 of the 10 antibiotics tested, whereas those from wild animals, gorillas, and domestic animals were resistant to 6, 5, and 4 antibiotics, respectively (Table 1). None of the *E. coli* isolates from water were resistant to any of the antibiotics tested in this study (Fig. 2).

Overall, 7.6% of all isolates were resistant to ampicillin, 6.4% to sulfamethoxazole, 5.9% to streptomycin, and 5.5% to tetracycline. No resistance was observed for neomycin, ciprofloxacin, and ceftiofur. Multidrug resistance was detected in 17 (56%) of the 30 resistant isolates. Multidrug resistance averaged (means ± standard deviations [SD]) 3.8 ± 1.8 antibiotics in isolates from humans, 1.4 ± 0.9 in isolates from domestic animals, 2.0 ± 1.4 in isolates from wild animals, and 1.6 ± 0.7 in isolates from gorillas. In addition, 88.2% of multidrug-resistant combinations included ampicillin and 70.6% included tetracycline, streptomycin, or sulfamethoxazole. There was a large diversity of antibiotic phenotypes, with 18 isolates having a unique phenotype (Table 3).

The number of strains resistant to each antibiotic was correlated between isolates from the stools of humans and gorillas (Spearman correlation test, rho = 0.75; P = 0.01). Thus, when the number of isolates resistant to an antibiotic was high in humans, it was also high in gorillas, and if the number was low in humans, it was also low in gorillas. This correlation was also observed between gorillas and other wildlife (Spearman’s rho = 0.71; P = 0.02) but not between gorillas and domestic animals (Spearman’s rho = 0.61; P > 0.05).

![FIG 2 Antibiotic resistance per sample origin. Bars represent the proportion of isolates resistant to at least one antibiotic (given in percentages) for each source. Error bars were calculated as standard errors (SE) for a proportion, given by SE = p × (1 − p)/n, where p is the proportion of isolates resistant and n is the total number of isolates. SE were multiplied by 100 to scale bars given in percentages.](aem.asm.org)
**E. coli population structure.** The majority of *E. coli* isolates belonged to groups D (54.7%) and B2 (32.2%), and only a few belonged to groups B1 (7.6%) and A (5.5%) (Fig. 3). Isolates collected from water samples belonged mostly to groups D and B2. The stools of gorillas and other wild animals exhibited similar *E. coli* composition (2-by-4 Fisher exact test, *P* < 0.05), with high prevalence in isolates from groups D and B2. The stools of humans and domestic animals harbored *E. coli* composition (2-by-4 Fisher exact test, *P* > 0.05) similar to that of *E. coli* isolates from all phylogenetic groups (Fig. 3). However, the *E. coli* composition in gorilla (or other wild animals) and human (or domestic animals) stool samples were significantly different (2-by-4 Fisher exact test, *P* < 0.001). The patterns of phylogenetic groups of resistant isolates reflected that of nonresistant isolates (Fisher exact test, *P* > 0.1) (Fig. 3). Thus, all antibiotic-resistant isolates found in gorillas belonged to group B2 or D, which are also the most prevalent groups among nonresistant isolates. Combining both genetic and antibiotic phenotype profiles revealed that resistant *E. coli* isolates present among gorillas or other wildlife do not match the ones observed among humans or domestic animals (Table 3). Moreover, 6 out of the 8 antibiotic-resistant isolates found in gorillas belong to the subgroup B2a, which was not found in any of the antibiotic-resistant samples detected in humans or domestic animals.

**DISCUSSION**

**Humans as reservoirs of antibiotic-resistant *E. coli.*** A high percentage of *E. coli* isolates found in human stools were resistant to at least one antibiotic (Fig. 1). This result confirms that central African regions share the worldwide trend in increasing antimicrobial resistance (41), and it suggests that human populations are the main reservoir for antibiotic-resistant strains in the study area. Resistance was observed particularly for antibiotics commonly used in Lopé, such as ampicillin and tetracycline (Table 1). The lack of resistance to cefotaxime, which is not available in the area, and to ciprofloxacin and neomycin, which are rarely used in Lopé, suggests that the high number of resistant isolates is mostly generated by the selective pressures of antibiotics prescribed to humans within the study area. However, the presence of resistance to other antibiotics, such as sulfamethoxazole and streptomycin (available in Gabon but not in Lopé), also suggests that humans in Lopé are receiving resistant strains from humans living in other areas of Gabon where these antibiotics are used. Levels of antibiotic resistance were low in isolates from domestic animals, which are almost never treated with antibiotics in this area. However, similarities in *E. coli* phylogenetic groups of resistant and nonresistant isolates in humans and domestic animals suggest that transmission between these two populations is occurring.

**Transmission of antibiotic-resistant *E. coli* from humans to wildlife appears very low.** If *E. coli* antibiotic-resistant isolates were found in the stools of gorillas and other wild animals at a low level compared to that for humans, where are these resistant *E. coli* isolates coming from? Previous studies have proposed that contact and subsequent transmission of antibiotic-resistant bacterial strains from highly resistant sources, such as humans or livestock, could account for the presence of antibiotic resistance in wild animals (9, 22, 32, 34). In particular, the presence of multiresistant

---

**FIG 3** *E. coli* phylogenetic group pattern for each sample origin. Each bar represents the percentage of *E. coli* isolates identified in each group. Within a bar, each color represents the percentage of resistant and nonresistant strains.
strains among gorillas has been used previously as evidence of resistant bacterial transmission from a source such as humans, which are subject to high selection pressure from antibiotics (e.g., see reference 33). However, in our study, we found significant differences in the genetic background of resistant and nonresistant E. coli isolates derived from humans-domestic animals and gorillas-other wildlife (Fig. 3). In particular, E. coli-resistant isolates in gorillas mainly belong to group B2, which was not a phylogenetic group observed for any resistant isolates from humans. Furthermore, the combination of both antibiotic-resistant phenotypes and phylogenetic group information showed that no isolates from gorillas have characteristics identical to those of human isolates (Table 3). All of these results favor the idea that the direct transmission of E. coli-resistant strains from humans to wild animals is not occurring. Furthermore, although water may be acting as an indirect transmission pathway for resistant strains, we did not find resistant strains in water samples. However, a larger sample size is required to draw definitive conclusions. This could be achieved by the repeated sampling of the same source, higher volumes of filtered water, or by using an enrichment medium prior to bacterial isolation.

Other mechanisms explaining the observed resistance in wildlife. Mechanisms other than the direct transmission of strains may account for the low prevalence of antibiotic-resistant E. coli isolates found in gorillas and other wildlife stools. These include both the genetic exchange of resistant material by horizontal gene transfer between unrelated strains (e.g., tetracycline [31] and ampicillin [36]) or the independent selection of resistance in wild animals that confers protection, for example, against naturally occurring antibiotics and heavy metals (1). Although specific antibiotic-resistant phenotypes of gorillas and humans did not match in our sample, our results did show a significant positive correlation in the specific prevalence of resistance to each antibiotic between these two species. This could have been generated from high transmission rates of resistant material rather than the bacteria itself. The physiological cost of resistance in the absence of antibiotic pressure (2, 24) may have subsequently caused the loss of some of this genetic material. This loss could explain the low prevalence of resistance (and multiresistance) observed in wild animals and the differences in antibiotic-resistant phenotypes between the isolates coming from humans and wild animals. Further analysis of the genetic component of antibiotic phenotypes reported here, such as the amplification of transposons, integrons, or plasmids, could help elucidate the origin and mechanisms of antibiotic-resistant spread among wild animals.

Microbial exchanges through common food sources. This study reveals that E. coli strains from wild gorillas and other wildlife are genetically similar and belong almost exclusively to groups B2 and D. The high prevalence of group B2 strains has been previously associated with herbivorous and omnivorous animals (15) and suggests that diet is a major determinant of the composition of E. coli flora in animals. In our study, this trend is reinforced, as we sampled during a short dry season where ripe fruit is available but is less abundant than in the wet season, and wild animals tend to forage within the same available trees (40, 42). Interestingly, E. coli strains from groups B2 and D are not associated with the presence of virulent factors responsible for enteropathogenic E. coli causing diarrhea (10). Thus, it is unlikely that wild animals are the reservoirs for this disease in Lopé.

Conclusions. The purpose of this study was to infer patterns of E. coli antibiotic resistance in wild animals in a region where human density is low and to assess whether the observed pattern can be explained by bacterial transmission from humans or domestic animals. Direct transmission can be suspected if the genotype of the transmitted bacteria to a receiving host is a subset of the genotypes within the transmitting host (3). The genetic background of resistant strains from both gorillas and other wildlife was different from the background observed in humans and domestic animals. Thus, our study indicates that the observed antibiotic resistance in wild animals is not caused by the direct acquisition of human bacterial strains. Rather, among wild animals, sharing the same local environment, such as common foraging places, may be the major determinant of the similarities in population structure of E. coli. Therefore, our study predicts that in areas of low human density where contact opportunities with wild animals are rare, the potential for E. coli transmission is low.
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