Influence of Niche-Specific Nutrients on Secondary Metabolism in Vibrionaceae
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ABSTRACT

Many factors, such as the substrate and the growth phase, influence biosynthesis of secondary metabolites in microorganisms. Therefore, it is crucial to consider these factors when establishing a bioprospecting strategy. Mimicking the conditions of the natural environment has been suggested as a means of inducing or influencing microbial secondary metabolite production. The purpose of the present study was to determine how the bioactivity of Vibrionaceae was influenced by carbon sources typical of their natural environment. We determined how mannose and chitin, compared to glucose, influenced the antibacterial activity of a collection of Vibrionaceae strains isolated because of their ability to produce antibacterial compounds but that in subsequent screenings seemed to have lost this ability. The numbers of bioactive isolates were 2- and 3.5-fold higher when strains were grown on mannose and chitin, respectively, than on glucose. As secondary metabolites are typically produced during late growth, potential producers were also allowed 1 to 2 days of growth before exposure to the pathogen. This strategy led to a 3-fold increase in the number of bioactive strains on glucose and an 8-fold increase on both chitin and mannose. We selected two bioactive strains belonging to species for which antibacterial activity had not previously been identified. Using ultrahigh-performance liquid chromatography–high-resolution mass spectrometry and bioassay-guided fractionation, we found that the siderophore fluvibactin was responsible for the antibacterial activity of Vibrio furnissii and Vibrio fluvialis. These results suggest a role of chitin in the regulation of secondary metabolism in vibrios and demonstrate that considering bacterial ecophysiology during development of screening strategies will facilitate bioprospecting.

IMPORTANCE

A challenge in microbial natural product discovery is the elicitation of the biosynthetic gene clusters that are silent when microorganisms are grown under standard laboratory conditions. We hypothesized that, since the clusters are not lost during proliferation in the natural niche of the microorganisms, they must, under such conditions, be functional. Here, we demonstrate that an ecology-based approach in which the producer organism is allowed a temporal advantage and where growth conditions are mimicking the conditions of the natural environment has been suggested as a means of inducing or influencing microbial secondary metabolite production. Many factors, such as the substrate and the growth phase, influence biosynthesis of secondary metabolites in microorganisms. Therefore, it is crucial to consider these factors when establishing a bioprospecting strategy. Mimicking the conditions of the natural environment has been suggested as a means of inducing or influencing microbial secondary metabolite production. The purpose of the present study was to determine how the bioactivity of Vibrionaceae was influenced by carbon sources typical of their natural environment. We determined how mannose and chitin, compared to glucose, influenced the antibacterial activity of a collection of Vibrionaceae strains isolated because of their ability to produce antibacterial compounds but that in subsequent screenings seemed to have lost this ability. The numbers of bioactive isolates were 2- and 3.5-fold higher when strains were grown on mannose and chitin, respectively, than on glucose. As secondary metabolites are typically produced during late growth, potential producers were also allowed 1 to 2 days of growth before exposure to the pathogen. This strategy led to a 3-fold increase in the number of bioactive strains on glucose and an 8-fold increase on both chitin and mannose. We selected two bioactive strains belonging to species for which antibacterial activity had not previously been identified. Using ultrahigh-performance liquid chromatography–high-resolution mass spectrometry and bioassay-guided fractionation, we found that the siderophore fluvibactin was responsible for the antibacterial activity of Vibrio furnissii and Vibrio fluvialis. These results suggest a role of chitin in the regulation of secondary metabolism in vibrios and demonstrate that considering bacterial ecophysiology during development of screening strategies will facilitate bioprospecting.

Following the first era of discovery of bioactive compounds from natural sources, high-throughput screenings of compound libraries produced by combinatorial chemistry and rational drug design were preferred over natural product discovery (1). Disappointingly, the discovery rate of this approach was much lower than expected, and the lack of new leads triggered a return to the search for novel bioactive molecules from microorganisms (1, 2).

Recent progress in genome sequencing and mining has demonstrated a significant number and degree of diversity in microbial biosynthetic gene clusters. However, this potential can often not be unfolded and detected under standard laboratory conditions (3, 4). And, today, one challenge in the discovery of natural products is to elicit these silent/cryptic biosynthetic gene clusters. The one strain–many compounds method, where strains are cultivated under a range of growth conditions, has been suggested as a solution (5).

Secondary metabolites are likely to play many different roles in natural bacterial behavior, including antagonistic interactions and intercellular communication (6, 7). Hence, elicitation of the expression of silent biosynthetic gene clusters could rely on recreating the natural environmental conditions in the research laboratory (8–10). With this in mind, Seyedsayamdost (11) demonstrated that two previously silent biosynthetic gene clusters in Burkholderia thailandensis could be elicited by low concentrations of molecules of microbial origin. Also, antibacterial compounds have been shown to be produced by marine bacteria only when they were cultivated under conditions mimicking their natural intertidal environment (12–14).

Following the increasing interest in natural products from the marine environment during the last decades of the 20th century, several groups are now pursuing methods for the identification and production of natural product in marine microorganisms (15, 16). Our group took part in the global marine research expe-
dition Galathea 3 (http://www.galathea3.dk), with the aim of, on a global scale, isolating marine bacteria with bioactivity potential. We cultured microorganisms on marine agar and subsequently screened all colonies for antagonism against the fish pathogen *Vibrio anguillarum*, which is very sensitive to antibacterial compounds produced by marine bacteria. We isolated approximately 300 bioactive *Vibrionaceae* strains (17). During rescreening, only 39 strains retained their antagonistic activity (18). We isolated the potent antibiotics holocymycin and andrimid from *Vibrio corallilyticus* and *Photobacterium galatheae*, respectively (9, 18), as well as modulators of virulence in *Staphylococcus aureus*, such as ngercheumins F, G, H, and I (19), nigrinactin (20), and solonamide B (21). However, we were challenged by the marked reduction in bioactivity during rescreening.

We reasoned that one cause for this loss of activity could be that significant secondary metabolite production mostly occurs during the late-exponential and the stationary phases of microbial growth, and we hypothesized that the biodiscovery rate could be increased if the producing organisms were allowed more time to grow before being exposed to the target organism. In the initial screening and isolation, colonies were allowed to grow for 3 to 5 days before being tested (17), but this temporal advantage was not given during the rescreening (18). We also questioned whether the use of naturally co-occurring substrates, such as mannose and chitin, would restore bioactivity. Mannose is ubiquitous in the marine environment, where it is commonly used by algae for protein glycosylation and production of extracellular polysaccharides (22, 23). Chitin is the most abundant organic molecule in the marine environment, being a component of the exoskeleton of crustaceans and zooplankton (24). It is a polysaccharide composed of N-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc) units. *Vibrionaceae* bacteria are considered among the major actors in marine chitin catabolism, and the chitin utilization pathway is conserved within the family (25, 26). In *Vibrio cholerae*, chitin and derivatives can regulate the expression of genes involved in chitin metabolism (27) and also in biofilm formation and in virulence (28). In *V. corallilyticus*, growth on chitin doubles the yield of the antibiotic andrimid compared to growth on glucose (9).

The aim of this study was to determine to which extent the use of substrates naturally present in the niche of isolation and the growth phase of the producer could restore (or induce) the biosynthesis of antibacterial compounds in a collection of 295 *Vibrionaceae* isolates. The number of antagonizing strains was greatly increased when the assay was performed on chitin and was up to 8-fold higher when the potential producers were given a temporal advantage over the target strain.

**Materials and Methods**

**Bacterial Strains.** Two hundred ninety-five *Vibrionaceae* strains were isolated during the Danish Galathea 3 global research expedition (17). Strains were selected based on their abilities to inhibit the growth of *Vibrio anguillarum* and were identified as *Vibrionaceae* based on their 16S rRNA gene sequences (17). Species affiliation of strains producing antibacterial extracts (see below), which had not been previously assigned to a species by multilocus sequence analysis, was carried out by analysis of the fur gene (29). The *fur* gene sequences were retrieved from whole-genome sequences (WGS) or sequencing of PCR products, obtained as described elsewhere (29).

**Preparation of Colloidal Chitin.** Colloidal chitin was prepared following a modified version of the method published by Hsu and Lockwood (30). Ten grams of practical-grade shrimp shell chitin (Sigma C9213) was added to 400 ml of 37% HCl at 4°C and stirred at this temperature for 6 h. The solution was poured into 4 liters of cold H2O and incubated overnight at 4°C before it was neutralized with solid NaOH. After centrifugation (6,000 × g for 10 min), the supernatant was discarded and the chitin pellet was suspended in 500 ml of H2O and autoclaved. The concentration of colloidal chitin was calculated from the dry weight of a subsample.

**Screening of Vibrionaceae Strains for Antibacterial Activity.** Square petri dishes containing 20 g/liter sea salts (Sigma S9883), 3 g/liter Casamino Acids (BD 223050), 15 g/liter agar (AppliChem A0949), and either 2 g/liter colloidal chitin or 2 g/liter mannose were prepared. As the control, the same was done, with the same medium used in the original screening procedure (30 g/liter instant ocean, 3 g/liter Casamino Acids, 4 g/liter glucose, 10 g/liter agar) (17). Bacterial strains were grown overnight, aerated (200 rpm) at room temperature in half-strength yeast trypton sea salts (YTSS) (31). One microliter of each culture was spotted onto the three media. On each plate, 35 strains were spotted in rows, where the distance between two strains was 20 mm horizontally and 15 mm vertically. Each plate was produced three times. On one plate, 1 μl of an overnight culture of the target strain, *Vibrio anguillarum* 90-11-287, grown in half-strength YTSS, was spotted simultaneously at a distance of 5 mm from the potential producers of antimicrobial compounds. On the second copy of each plate, an identical process was performed after 24 h; on a third plate, after 48 h. Plates were incubated at 25°C and examined 24 or 48 h after the target strain had been spotted. A biological replicate was performed for the isolates that were bioactive in the first screening.

**In Silico Analysis of the Distribution of chiS and the (GlcNAc)2 Operon.** The chiS (VC0622) gene and the (GlcNAc)2 operon (VC0611-20) of *Vibrio cholerae* were searched against a custom-built genome database using MultiGeneBlast (32). For the preparation of the database, genome sequences were downloaded from the GenBank database.

**Extraction of Bioactive Compounds from Liquid Cultures.** All strains showing a consistent bioactivity were grown aerated (200 rpm) in 10 ml of 2% Sigma sea salts solution with 0.3% Casamino Acids and 0.2% colloidal chitin (sea salt broth and chitin medium (SSBC)) for 48 h at 25°C. Cultures were extracted with an equal volume of high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC)-grade ethyl acetate (EtOAc) for 20 min. The organic phase was transferred to fresh vials and evaporated until dry under a stream of nitrogen. Extracts were dissolved in 250 μl methanol (MeOH) and stored at −20°C until further analysis. The activity of the extracts against *Vibrio anguillarum* 90-11-287 was tested in a well diffusion agar (WDA) assay (33).

**Genome Sequencing and Bioinformatics Analysis.** High-purity DNA was obtained for *Vibrio fluvialis* S0821 and *Vibrio fluvialis* S1110 by repeated phenol–chloroform–isooamyl alcohol purification followed by RNAse treatment and DNA precipitation, as described previously (34). Quantification was performed on a NanoDrop spectrometer (Saveen Werner, Sweden) and a Qubit 2.0 analyzer (Invitrogen, United Kingdom). Construction of 500-bp libraries and 100-bp paired-end sequencing of genomes were performed by the Beijing Genome Institute (Hong Kong) on a HiSeq2000. Sequencing data were assembled to contigs in CLC genomic workbench (CLC Bio, Aarhus, Denmark) using the de novo assembly algorithm. The draft genomes of strains S0821 and S1110 were annotated with the NCBI prokaryotic genome annotation pipeline (35) and submitted to antiSMASH 2.0 (36) and BAGEL3 (37) for analysis of biosynthetic gene clusters.

**Ultrahigh-Performance Liquid Chromatography–High-Resolution Mass Spectrometry.** Ultrahigh-performance liquid chromatography–high-resolution mass spectrometry (UHPLC-HRMS) was performed on an Agilent Infinity 1290 UHPLC system (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) equipped with a diode array detector. Separation was obtained on an Agilent Poroshell 120 phenyl-hexyl column (2.1 × 250 mm, 2.7 μm) with a linear gradient consisting of H2O (A) and acetonitrile (B), both buffered with 20 mM formic acid, starting at 10% for B and increased to 100% in 15 min, where it was held for 2 min, returned to 10% in 0.1 min, and remained for 3 min (0.35 ml/min, 60°C). An injection volume of 1 μl was used. Mass spectroscopy (MS) detection was per-
formed on either an Agilent 6545 QTOF MS equipped with Agilent dual jet stream electrospray ion source with a drying gas temperature of 160°C, gas flow of 13 liters/min, sheath gas temperature of 300°C, and flow of 16 liters/min, or an Agilent 6550 QTOF MS equipped with Agilent dual jet stream electrospray ion source with a drying gas temperature of 250°C, gas flow of 8 liters/min, sheath gas temperature of 300°C, and flow of 12 liters/min. Capillary voltage was set to 4,000 V, and nozzle voltage, to 500 V. Mass spectra were recorded as centroid data at an m/z of 30 to 1,700. The acquisition was 10 spectra/s. Lock mass solution was H2O:MeOH containing 1% formic acid (F4), 2 ml of 1:1 H2O:MeOH (F2), 2 ml of MeOH (F3), and 1 ml of H2O.

RESULTS

Screening of strains for antibacterial activity. Of the 295 Vibrionaceae strains, 4 isolates antagonized V. anguillarum when grown on the glucose medium, 6 when grown on the mannose medium, and 11 when grown on the chitin medium, using a procedure where the potential producers were not given any temporal advantage over the target strain. When the target strain was spotted 24 h after the potential bioactive strains, 6 isolates were bioactive on glucose, 19 on mannose, and 78 on chitin. Finally, 26, 49, and 91 strains were bioactive on glucose, mannose, and chitin, respectively, when the target strain was spotted with a 48-h delay (Fig. 1).

Distribution of chiS and the (GlcNAc)2 operon. Given the pronounced increase in bioactivity when chitin was used as growth substrate, we speculated that this could be due to a simple substrate change (e.g., catabolite repression) or to a direct involvement of chitin in the regulation. Since chitin is indeed involved in the (GlcNAc)2 operon. Given the pronounced increase in bioactivity when chitin was used as growth substrate, we speculated that this could be due to a simple substrate change (e.g., catabolite repression) or to a direct involvement of chitin in the regulation. Since chitin is indeed involved in the regulation.

Influence of culture conditions on bioactivity and characterization of the antibacterial compound. Extracts from the cultures V. furnissii S0821 and V. fluvialis S1110 were analyzed by UHPLC-HRMS, as described above. Extracts from the strains grown in SSBC supplemented with 0.1 g/liter ferric citrate were also prepared and analyzed. For the bioassay-guided fractionation, 50 cultures of strain S0821 grown in 10 ml of SSBC for 48 h were extracted with an equal volume of EtOAc, and extracts were pooled and evaporated until dryness under nitrogen occurred. Portions of the pooled S0821 culture extracts were fractionated by mixed-mode anion exchange solid-phase extraction (SPE) on an Oasis Max cartridge (Waters, Milford, MA) (30 µm, 30 mg, 1 ml). The sample was dissolved in 400 µl of 3:1 H2O:MeOH containing 2% ammonium hydroxide and then directly loaded onto a conditioned SPE column. The column was sequentially eluted with 2 ml of 3:1 H2O:MeOH (F1), 2 ml of 1:1 H2O:MeOH (F2), 2 ml of MeOH (F3), 1 ml of H2O, and 1 ml of 3:1 H2O:MeOH containing 1% formic acid (F4), 2 ml of 1:1 H2O:MeOH containing 1% formic acid (F5), and finally, 2 ml of MeOH containing 1% formic acid (F6). The fractions were dried under a stream of nitrogen before being resuspended in 200 µl MeOH. Fractions were tested for antibacterial activity in a WDA assay and for siderophore activity in a chrome azurol S (CAS) assay (38). Extracts were mixed with CAS solution in a 1:1 ratio, and the color change from blue to orange, indicating siderophore activity, was checked after 15 min and 24 h.

Nucleotide sequence accession numbers. Sequence data generated in this study were deposited in GenBank under accession numbers LKHS000000000 (WGS of strain S0821), LKHR000000000 (WGS of strain S1110), and KT952522 to KT952526 (for gene sequences of strains S1162, S1732, S2054, S2056, and S2150, respectively).

TABLE 1 Antibacterial activity of 13 ethyl acetate extracts against V. anguillarum shown as the diameter of clearing zones

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Inhibition* of V. anguillarum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S0821</td>
<td>V. furnissii</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1110</td>
<td>V. fluvialis</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1162</td>
<td>V. fluvialis</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S1732</td>
<td>V. furnissii</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2043</td>
<td>V. corallilyticus</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2052</td>
<td>V. corallilyticus</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2054</td>
<td>V. corallilyticus</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2056</td>
<td>V. corallilyticus</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2150</td>
<td>V. fluvialis</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2600</td>
<td>V. nigripulchitudo</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2601</td>
<td>V. nigripulchitudo</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2604</td>
<td>V. nigripulchitudo</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4053</td>
<td>V. corallilyticus</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Clearing zone diameters: +, between 1 and 15 mm; ++, between 16 and 25 mm; +++, over 25 mm.
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FIG 2 Distribution of the chiS gene and of the (GlcNAc)2 operon among Vibrio spp. GenBank/EMBL/DDBJ accession numbers of the used genomes are indicated in parentheses.
addressed the possible chitin-dependent regulation of secondary metabolism in Vibrionaceae, possibly through the chitin catabolic cascade sensor histidine kinase (ChiS) regulatory system (see Discussion). Therefore, we investigated the distribution of the \( \text{chiS} \) gene and of the \((\text{GlcNAc})_2\) operon in 33 genomes of vibrio species belonging to 8 of the 17 proposed Vibrio clades (42) and to 3 of the 4 proposed Photobacterium clades (42). In total, 22 Vibrio and 11 Photobacterium genomes were included in the analysis. This choice was driven by the quantity and the quality of the publicly available genome sequences. MultiGeneBlast-based analysis showed that the \( \text{chiS} \) gene and the complete \((\text{GlcNAc})_2\) operon are widely distributed in both Vibrio and Photobacterium species, both being present in all analyzed species (Fig. 2 and 3).

**Genome mining of Vibrio furnissii and Vibrio fluvialis.** Contig-based draft genomes of *V. furnissii* S0821 and *V. fluvialis* S1110 were obtained by assembling the sequencing data in CLC genomics workbench. The genome size was 5.0 Mb for *V. furnissii* S0821 and was 4.5 Mb for *V. fluvialis* S1110. The antiSMASH analysis of the genomes found six putative biosynthetic gene clusters in *V. furnissii* S0821 and five in *V. fluvialis* S1110 (Table 2). Due to the phylogenetic relatedness of *V. furnissii* and *V. fluvialis* (42) and the similarity of the antiSMASH results for the two strains, we...
thought it likely that the antibacterial activity of the two extracts could be due to the same compound(s).

Both genomes harbored a biosynthetic gene cluster for the production of the quorum-sensing auto-inducer molecules, acyl-homoserine lactones (AHLs), and biosynthetic gene clusters with a relatively high gene similarity to those for the biosynthesis of ectoine, vibriobactin, and aryl polyenes. A cluster for bacteriocin production was identified in both strains, but the bacteriocin prediction tool BAGEL3 was not consistent with the antiSMASH results. Although BAGEL3 did predict the presence of one bacteriocin gene cluster, it differed from the one predicted by antiSMASH. A BLAST-based homology search using the bacteriocin amino acid sequences predicted in the two genomes (see Table S1 in the supplemental material) as queries revealed a high similarity (E value, 0; homology, >98%) with endopeptidases from the M23 superfamily involved in cell wall biogenesis.

**Investigation of the antibacterial compound produced by *Vibrio furnissii* and *Vibrio fluvialis*.** There are no reports in the literature describing antibacterial compounds in *V. furnissii* and *V. fluvialis*. Given the importance of these two species as human pathogens (43, 44), we focused on these strains to determine the nature of the compound(s) responsible for the activity. Working under the hypothesis that these closely related species likely produced similar antimicrobial compounds, the bioactive extracts were dereplicated through a two-phase approach; first, extracts were compared with extracts from cultures of related strains, which did not display bioactivity, and the AHL was also found to be present in nonbioactive iron-supplemented cultures (see Fig. S7). The bioactive extract was then divided into fractions by mixed-mode anion exchange SPE. Only the extract fraction containing the putative fluvibactin was inhibitory to *V. fluvialis* and *V. fluvialis* S1110, which was tentatively identified as the siderophore fluvibactin based on the accurate mass (mass deviation, 0.96 ppm). Subsequent MS/MS analysis, comparison with the literature UV spectrum, and isolation and nuclear magnetic resonance analysis confirmed this assignment (see Fig. S2 to S5 and Tables S2 and S3 in the supplemental material). The UHPLC-HRMS analysis also found another abundant ion with an m/z of 404.1818, which was assigned to the known compound 4 [N,N-bis-(2,3-dihydroxybenzoyl)-norspermidine] (mass deviation, 0.49 ppm) (Fig. 4A and B).

These compounds (fluvibactin and compound 4) were not detected when extracts were prepared from *V. furnissii* S0821 and *V. fluvialis* S1110 grown in chitin medium supplemented with 0.1 g/liter of ferric citrate (see Fig. S6 in the supplemental material). These extracts were not inhibitory against *V. anguillarum* 90-11-287 (see Fig. S7). The bioactive extract was then divided into fractions by mixed-mode anion exchange SPE. Only the extract fraction containing the putative fluvibactin was inhibitory to *V. anguillarum* (Table 3; see also Fig. S8). A chrome azurol S assay performed on the same fraction confirmed the siderophoric nature of the compound (Table 3). The use of anion-exchange chromatography allowed for the separation of fluvibactin from *N*-3-oxo-decanoyl-L-homoserine lactone (O-C10-HSL), which coeluted under the reverse-phase conditions used for UHPLC-HRMS analysis. Fractions containing the AHL (F3) (see Fig. S6) did not show bioactivity, and the AHL was also found to be present in nonbioactive iron-supplemented cultures (see Fig. S7). O-C10-HSL was identified based on accurate mass, retention time, and the characteristic homoserine fragment ion at an m/z of 102.0549 (45).

**DISCUSSION**

We investigated to what extent culture parameters could affect (restate or induce) the production of antibacterial compounds in a collection of marine *Vibrionaceae* whose members were initially isolated based on their ability to antagonize the fish pathogen *V.
However, in later rescreenings, only approximately 10% of them retained the activity. With the use of substrates typical to the natural niche of isolation, and allowing potential producer strains to reach a late growth phase, we could restore the bioactivity in one-third of the strains. Allowing *V. fluvialis* and *V. furnissii* to reach late growth phases before exposure to the target strain led to the identification of the siderophore fluvibactin as the entity responsible for antibacterial activity.

Different carbon sources can lead to significantly different profiles in microbial secondary metabolism (5, 9, 46). In our investigation, we used three molecules (glucose, mannose, and chitin) that are abundant in the marine environment (22, 24) as the substrates for marine *Vibrionaceae*. The numbers of bioactive (antibacterial) strains were nearly 2- and 3.5-fold higher when mannose and chitin, respectively, were used as carbon sources compared to glucose.

The high efficacy of chitin in restoring (or inducing) the production of antibacterial compounds in the tested strains is in agreement with the ecology and lifestyle of *Vibrionaceae* that are adapted to live in marine niches richer in this polysaccharide than in other carbohydrates (25, 26). Indeed, vibrios are well known for their associations with chitin-rich biotic surfaces, such as zooplankton (24, 47). Chitinase genes and the chitin utilization pathway are conserved in *Vibrionaceae* (25, 26), and natural competence is induced by chitin in *Vibrio vulnificus* (48) and *V. cholerae* (49). In *V. cholerae*, chitin affects also chitin catabolism (27), biofilm formation, and virulence (28, 50).

Chitin-dependent regulation of secondary metabolism medi-
ated by the transcriptional regulator DasR occurs in the soil bacterium *Streptomyces coelicolor* A3 (2) (51). In vibrios, one possible mechanism for a similar regulation could be through the two-component histidine kinase sensor, ChiS, which has been characterized in *Vibrio cholerae* and is activated by chitin-derived oligosaccharides (27). In the proposed model, a putative cognate receptor regulates the expression of target genes involved in the above-mentioned phenomena (27). Hunt and colleagues (25) suggested that genes with high homology to *chiS* (VC0622) and to some of the genes from the downstream (GlcNAc)_2 operon (VC0611-VC0613 and VC0616-VC0619) are widespread among *Vibrionaceae*. However, their genome analysis included a limited number (i.e., 10) of species, possibly due to the low availability of genome sequences at the time the study was conducted. We performed a broader analysis and showed that, indeed, both *chiS* and the complete (GlcNAc)_2 operon (VC0611-VC0620), which were detected in all analyzed genomes, are very conserved and maintain their topological organization in *Vibrio* and *Photobacterium* species (Fig. 2 and 3). The (GlcNAc)_2 operon includes the gene encoding the periplasmic (GlcNAc)_2-binding protein which inactivates *ChiS* when chitin is not present in the environment (27). Given its importance in *V. cholerae*, such a degree of conservation of genes hypothesized to be involved in the *ChiS* regulatory system in *Vibrionaceae* indicates that chitin could serve a regulatory role in the whole family. Certainly, chitin-dependent regulation of phenomena, such as biofilm formation and biosynthesis of antibacterial compounds, would be advantageous during competition for nutrients with other microorganisms in the marine environment.

Although the use of chitin restored or induced the production of antibacterial compounds in approximately one-third of the isolates, this approach was not effective with the majority of the strains, even when they were allowed longer times before exposure to the target strain. Induction of silent/cryptic biosynthetic gene clusters has been achieved by exposing bacteria or fungi to small molecules produced by naturally co-occurring microorganisms (11, 52, 53). Similarly, it is likely that molecules that were present in the local seawater used to prepare the medium for the original screening/isolation procedure or that were produced by strains that were tested on the same plate (co-cultivated) might have elicited the biosynthesis of antibacterial compounds. Co-cultivation could therefore also be a strategy to be used to induce the production of antibacterial compounds in our strain collection.

Extracts of cultures from *V. furnissii* S0821 and *V. fluvialis* S1110 had antibacterial activity against *V. anguillarum*. The bioactivity was present in all tested media; however, on chitin, the antagonistic activity could be observed earlier than on the other media (data not shown). Genome analysis of the strains provided a list of four biosynthetic gene clusters potentially responsible for the biosynthesis of the antibacterial compound. Three of them (AHL, ectoine, and arylpolyenes) could be excluded as the cause of bioactivity through testing of pure standards in WDA and analysis of UV/Vis spectra of the extracts (see Fig. S8 and S9 in the supplemental material).

The remaining predicted biosynthetic gene cluster had 72% gene similarity to the biosynthetic gene cluster for the catechol siderophore produced by *V. cholerae* vibriobactin (54). Due to the phylogenetic relatedness of *V. furnissii* and *V. fluvialis* with *V. cholerae* (42), we hypothesized that the identified biosynthetic gene cluster encodes the fluvibactin nonribosomal peptide synthetase. Fluvibactin is a siderophore produced by *V. fluvialis* (55), which differs from vibriobactin only in that it contains a single L-threonine residue rather than two (Fig. 4). Siderophores similar to fluvibactin can inhibit bacterial and fungal growth (20, 56), and catechol iron chelators have also been suggested to protect bacteria from oxidative stress (57, 58). Hence, beside the competitive advantage during surface colonization due to the antibacterial activity of fluvibactin, producers of this compound might as well be protected from oxidative stress, which is a prevalent phenomenon in the marine environment (59).

**Conclusion.** We have shown that a rational choice of substrates typical of the niche of isolation of microorganisms is a valid cultivation strategy to enhance the numbers of bioactive strains in a screening step. Our results suggest a role of chitin in the production of secondary metabolism in *Vibrionaceae*. The genomes of members of this family of bacteria harbor great potential for chitin catalysis. Hence, genomic studies could help to predict which substrates other families of microorganisms might prefer and, possibly, lead to the elucidation of biosynthetic gene clusters. Also, allowing the potential producing strain a temporal advantage (reaching stationary phase) is an important aspect to consider when designing a screening strategy.
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