Aerosol and Surface Deposition Characteristics of Two Surrogates for Bacillus anthracis Spores
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ABSTRACT
Spores of an acrystalliferous derivative of Bacillus thuringiensis subsp. kurstaki, termed Btcry—, are morphologically, aerodynamically, and structurally indistinguishable from Bacillus anthracis spores. Btcry— spores were dispersed in a large, open-ended barn together with spores of Bacillus atrophaeus subsp. globigii, a historically used surrogate for Bacillus anthracis. Spore suspensions (2 × 10^{12} CFU each of B. atrophaeus subsp. globigii and Btcry—) were aerosolized in each of five spray events using a backpack misting device incorporating an air blower; a wind of 4.9 to 7.6 m s^{-1} was also flowing through the barn in the same direction. Filter air samplers were situated throughout the barn to assess the aerosol density of the spores during each release. Trays filled with a surfactant in aqueous buffer were placed on the floor near the filter samplers to assess spore deposition. Spores were also recovered from arrays of solid surfaces (concrete, aluminum, and plywood) that had been laid on the floor and set up as a wall at the end of the barn. B. atrophaeus subsp. globigii spores were found to remain airborne for significantly longer periods, and to be deposited on horizontal surfaces at lower densities, than Btcry— spores, particularly near the spray source. There was a 6-fold-higher deposition of Btcry— spores than of B. atrophaeus subsp. globigii spores on vertical surfaces relative to the surrounding airborne density. This work is relevant for selecting the best B. anthracis surrogate for the prediction of human exposure, hazard assessment, and hazard management following a malicious release of B. anthracis.

IMPORTANCE
There is concern that pathogenic bacteria could be maliciously disseminated in the air to cause human infection and disruption of normal life. The threat from spore-forming organisms, such as the causative agent of anthrax, is particularly serious. In order to assess the extent of this risk, it is important to have a surrogate organism that can be used to replicate the dispersal characteristics of the threat agent accurately. This work compares the aerosol dispersal and deposition behaviors of the surrogates Btcry— and B. atrophaeus subsp. globigii. Btcry— spores remained in the air for a shorter time, and were markedly more likely to adhere to vertical surfaces, than B. atrophaeus subsp. globigii spores.

Bacillus anthracis is an important bacterial agent of concern (1). It is pathogenic to humans, particularly by inhalational exposure (2). The organism’s endospores manifest greater environmental persistence and higher resistance to chemical decontaminants than those of other bacteria. Understanding of the threat posed by malicious use of B. anthracis and the development of effective countermeasures require a comparable, nonpathogenic surrogate. Bacillus atrophaeus subsp. globigii has, for many decades, been a B. anthracis surrogate (3). B. atrophaeus subsp. globigii and other surrogates related to Bacillus subtilis have generated useful information regarding spore dispersal (4, 5). However, an increasingly large body of data indicates that B. atrophaeus subsp. globigii is not a very accurate surrogate for B. anthracis (6–8), particularly in terms of spore morphology. B. atrophaeus subsp. globigii spores are considerably smaller and lack an exosporium (9). Furthermore, genetic similarities among B. anthracis, Bacillus thuringiensis, and Bacillus cereus strongly favor B. thuringiensis as a replacement for B. atrophaeus subsp. globigii (6–8).

Certain strains of B. thuringiensis have been used for decades as biological pesticides (10), due to the production of characteristic proteinaceous crystals, encoded by the cry genes (11). B. anthracis lacks the parasporal crystals, which, when present during decontamination studies, may have a chemical quenching effect, decreasing sporidal activity. These crystals would also conceivably affect the aerosol behavior of the spores in a way that is not characteristic of B. anthracis. A plasmid-cured derivative of B. thuringiensis subsp. kurstaki strain HD-1, lacking cry genes and termed Btcry—, was developed (12) in order to eliminate these potential drawbacks. This derivative does not produce any parasporal crystals.

A significant advantage of developing a spore surrogate from B. thuringiensis HD-1 (13) is the unequaled wealth of safety data that has been generated from its use. Thousands of tonnes of B. thuringiensis have been dispensed annually as aerosols from aircraft, backpack sprayers, and other devices (14) in >40 years of use as a biopesticide. B. thuringiensis HD-1 has never been associated with detrimental human (15, 16) or environmental (17) effects from such use despite being the most widely applied biotechnological microorganism ever. Indeed, in order to be registered as a commercial product, B. thuringiensis HD-1 has had to pass scrutiny from numerous regulatory bodies (14). With particular reference to its use as an aerosolized spore surrogate, the human toxicity of...
the wild-type HD-1 strain has been carefully monitored following aerosol dispersals over populated areas to control forest pests (18). Even with medical monitoring and warning of the population at risk, no adverse effects have been reported (16).

In spite of the immense exploitation of B. thuringiensis as a biopesticide, few evaluations of its dispersal and persistence following aerosol application have been reported (19–22). More recently, evaluations of this organism specifically as a spore surrogate (23–25) and also as released in dry (26) and wet (27) aerosols have been reported. B. atrophaeus subsp. globigii spores were released at the same time as B. thuringiensis HD-1 (12), was developed to be a safe, accurate, and publicly acceptable surrogate for B. anthracis spores. The evaluation of its behavior in the aerosol phase and its deposition onto concrete, aluminum, and wood test surfaces is reported here. B. atrophaeus subsp. globigii spores were released at the same time as B. thuringiensis HD-1 to compare the two surrogates under identical conditions.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Spore production.** B. thuringiensis subsp. kurstaki HD-1 lacking cry genes (Bctry−) (12) and B. atrophaeus subsp. globigii NCTC 10073 were grown on NBYS (28) agar plates at 28°C. The plates were left for 1 week in order to maximize the degradation of the spore mother cells. The spores were scraped off the plates and were washed six times (at 6,000 × g for 30 min) in sterile distilled water. The resulting preparations of both species contained at least 99% spores as judged by microscopic examination and heat shock enumeration (25). The spores were maintained at 4°C and were used within 3 weeks of their production.

**Estimation of spore recovery.** A correction factor was calculated to anticipate differences in the efficiencies of recovery of spores from the different hard surfaces on which they landed. This correction factor was then applied to the recovered-spore counts from the releases in the barn. By accounting for the different recovery efficiencies, a more accurate estimation of the differences between the spores and the surface types for just the deposition and attachment events was possible. An aqueous suspension (5 ml) of Bctry− and B. atrophaeus subsp. globigii, each at a concentration of 4.5 × 10^10 CFU ml^−1, was applied through a nebulizer kit (part no. NE-C28-E; Omron, United Kingdom) at a flow rate of about 0.27 ml min^−1 over 15 min. The resultant spore aerosol was fed into a deposition canopy (29), consisting of a chamber into which an aerosol of spores from a nebulizer was pumped and was allowed to dry before being allowed to deposit under gravity. Eighteen replicates of plywood, plywood wrapped in heavy-duty aluminum foil (Lockhart, United Kingdom) and concrete coupons cut from paving blocks (Catering Supplies, United Kingdom) were positioned as shown in Fig. 1. The pallets of horizontal surfaces were arrayed as shown in Fig. 1. Spores were extracted from a minimum of 10 replicates of each surface type to produce the deposition level in each region (Fig. 1). A wall of solid coupons, consisting of 108 vertically orientated coupons identical to those laid horizontally, was constructed between filters F8 and F9 (Fig. 1). The coupons in the wall were placed in six sets of 18, using a random-number allocation, facing the spray source, which was 50 m away. The wall of coupons was raised 1 m above the ground on light concrete blocks in order to decrease the eddying effects that would be experienced at ground level as the horizontal airflow encountered the vertical surface. Similarly, a “guard row” of concrete bricks, which were subsequently discarded, was placed at each end of the wall and along the top. It was anticipated that as the airflow swept around the sides and the top of the wall, the spore deposition might be abnormal. In addition, deposition on the edges of the bricks would occur, which would not be so for the internal bricks.

**Spore dissemination.** A mist-blowing backpack sprayer (model AU8000; Cifarelli, Italy) was used, producing droplet sizes (volume medium diameter) of 50 to 100 μm. This backpack sprayer produces an air volume output of 20 m^3 per min with a velocity of 125 m s^−1 at the outlet. The sprayer was applied in five events, each releasing 2 × 10^12 spores of each species. Equal concentrations of the two species were used at a density of 1 × 10^12 CFU ml^−1 (i.e., 4 liters of the spore suspension were used per spray event) at a flow rate of 0.4 liter min^−1. Each droplet should have contained <4 spores.

The nozzle of the sprayer was moved in a slow ellipse to encompass the solid coupons at the front of the array at an angle of about 5° above and below the horizontal, at a height of 1.2 m. The spore suspension was agitated throughout the spraying process. The temperature during the spray events ranged from 21.5°C to 23°C, and the relative humidity was 38%. The wind speed was 4.9 to 7.6 m s^−1, from a southwest direction. The barn doors distal to the spray source were left wide open, while the doors behind the sprayer were about 1 m ajar. This created a flow of wind to move the spore aerosols through the barn.

Four particle counters (model 212; Met One Instruments, Grants Pass, OR) were used to ascertain when the previous release of spores had passed through the barn before the next application; there were approximately 20 min between each application. After each spray event, the filters in all 11 aerosol samplers (F1 to F11 [Fig. 1]) were removed and fresh filters inserted. The used filters were stored at room temperature, and the spores were extracted within 2 days. Similarly, the 11 witness trays adjacent to the filter samplers were sampled and were replaced with fresh trays. All the other witness trays and solid surfaces remained in place for all five spray disseminations.

**Filter collectors.** The filter collectors were custom made. They have an intake rate of about 900 liters min^−1. The filter (diameter, 76 mm) was made of polypropylene (400 g m^−2) (part no. Y0400ZZB; Andrew Webron Ltd., Bury, United Kingdom).
FIG 1 Diagram of the barn layout. The numbers along the left and bottom of the diagram refer to distance in meters. The relative proportions of the barn structure are drawn to scale.
Spore recovery and enumeration. The liquid in the witness trays was first mixed with a fresh, sterile pipette to resuspend the spores, and a sample was then transferred to a 50-ml polystyrene tube (Falcon, United Kingdom), which was stored on ice until further processing. Individual filter disks were placed in 50-ml polystyrene tubes, to which 10 ml of eluant (PBST) was added. Spores were eluted by vigorous shaking at maximum speed on a mechanical shaker (Steward, United Kingdom) for 5 min. The resulting suspension of spores was serially diluted in sterile PBS and was plated onto the appropriate growth medium. The solid coupons from the deposition chamber and the barn were placed, face down, in aluminum trays (228 mm by 228 mm) containing PBST (150 ml) and were rubbed backward and forward over the base of the tray for 30 s. A fresh tray was used for each coupon. Samples of the resulting suspensions were stored on ice, and plate counts were performed within 2 days. To estimate the proportion of spores residing on the grass sward relative to those in the whole turf, 16 samples of sod in each region of the barn were cut using a cork borer (diameter, 3 cm). Half of the turf cores from each section were inverted, and the grass was cut off at root level into a 50-ml polystyrene tube with sterile scissors. Each intact turf core was cut roughly into four pieces, which were placed in identical tubes. Spores were removed from the collected grass leaves and the whole turf pieces by shaking for 30 min in an extraction buffer (25). Samples were taken from all of the test materials prior to spraying, but no Btcry— or B. atrophaeus subsp. globigii spores were found on any of them.

The growth media used were ½ TSA (12) for Btcry— and tryptone soy agar (Oxoid, Basingstoke, United Kingdom) for B. atrophaeus subsp. globigii. The samples were not heat shocked before being plated out. The agar plates were incubated overnight at 25°C, and colonies were then enumerated.

Statistical analysis and graphical representation of data. All data analysis was performed using R software, version 3.1.1 (https://www.r-project.org/). The bacterial count had to be converted to log values to satisfy the normality assumptions; then generalized linear models were run on the data to determine which of the variables had a significant effect on the log bacterial count. Least-squares means were then used to establish where these significant differences were (if there were more than two levels in a variable). Box plots and dot plots were then drawn to highlight these differences. Descriptive statistics were calculated along with 95% and 99% confidence intervals.

A coarse 3-dimensional reconstruction of the barn layout was generated using the open-source rendering and animation package Blender, version 2.63.0 (https://www.blender.org/download/), where the spore release was located nearest to the camera. At each witness tray and filter sampler location, two adjacent bars were generated using the Python scripting interface within Blender. The heights of the bars from the floor of the barn represent the measured airborne level in recovery between the two bacterial species. These recovery rates were used to normalize the spore counts obtained in the barn from these surfaces; they allowed the two different phenomena contributing to the recovered-spoor count (deposition efficiency and recovery efficiency) to be separated.

The mean recovery of Btcry— spores from turf varied over all of the regions represented 123.7% (±20.9%) of the viable count (in CFU per square meter) recovered from the witness trays in the same regions. No attempt was made to calculate the recovery efficiency from turf as was done for the solid surfaces using the deposition chamber. This was because the spores were falling under the effect of gravity in the deposition chamber rather than being blown over the grass, as occurred in the barn; given the 3-dimensional structure of turf, it was considered that there might be too large a discrepancy between these methods of deposition to extrapolate from purely vertical deposition to a somewhat perpendicular deposition at velocity. Turf samples were taken from each of the regions (Fig. 1). The recovery of Btcry— spores from the grass sward that had been cut from the turf represented 43.7% (±31.2%) of the total turf count. No attempt was made to account for the relative ease of recovering spores from grass leaves as opposed to the leaves plus the soil matrix. No comparable measurements were made for B. atrophaeus subsp. globigii spores.

Recovery of spores from filter samplers. Previous experiments had shown that spores of B. atrophaeus subsp. globigii and Btcry— were collected by and extracted from the filter material with equal efficiency (data not shown). There was no significant difference between the five spray events in the number of spores of either species recovered at any of the 11 filter sites. For all releases and all filters, Btcry— gave a significantly lower spore count (mean, 4.03 × 10^7 CFU; standard deviation [SD], 3.50 × 10^7 CFU) than B. atrophaeus subsp. globigii (mean, 1.54 × 10^8 CFU; SD, 9.21 × 10^7 CFU) at the 99% confidence level. This phenomenon held true for each filter (Fig. 2). In addition, the numbers of airborne B. atrophaeus subsp. globigii spores diminished to a much lower extent than those of Btcry— spores as they exited the barn (Fig. 2, filter samplers F10 and F11). The cumulative results over the five spray events are represented graphically in Fig. 3. This diagram represents the mean log filter counts for B. atrophaeus subsp. globigii (red bars) and Btcry— (green bars). The much higher level of airborne density for the established surrogate than for the new one is clearly evident.

The two aerosol filters nearest the spray source (F1 and F2) gave the lowest spore counts (Fig. 2 and 3). These values were significantly different from the counts from all the other filters,

---

**TABLE 1 Mean percentages of recovery of Btcry— and B. atrophaeus subsp. globigii spores from wood, concrete, and aluminum coupons**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surface type</th>
<th>Btcry— %</th>
<th>B. atrophaeus subsp. globigii %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wood</td>
<td>8.25 (0.341)</td>
<td>9.3 (0.501)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concrete</td>
<td>4.23 (0.309)</td>
<td>3.75 (0.406)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminum</td>
<td>23.7 (2.85)</td>
<td>23.9 (1.42)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The spores were recovered after aerosol deposition in a nebulization chamber. The counts refer to the percentage of recovery per unit area compared to recovery from PBST.
except for F3 and F4, at the 99% confidence limit (Fig. 1). The confidence limit for the difference between the F1 and F2 filters and the F3 and F4 filters was 95%. The proposed explanation for this is that these filters were placed at either side of the first region of coupons (region P), and the plume of the spore aerosols had not yet spread to the edges of the barn. By the time the spray releases had reached filters F6 and F7, also near the barn walls, the aerosol had spread out, and high bacterial loads were recorded. Indeed, the counts at F6 and F7 (at the edges of the barn) were significantly higher (>95% significance level) than those at the central filter, F5. The aerosol concentrations for both species peaked in the middle of the length of the barn (Fig. 3): the readings at filters F5, F6, F7, F8, and F9 (Fig. 1) were higher than those on either side (F3 and F4, and F10 and F11) at the 99% confidence level.

Recovery of spores from witness trays replaced for each spray event. As with the filters, there was no significant difference between sprays for the spore counts of either organism in the witness trays that had been placed adjacent to the filter collectors. Taken overall, there were significantly fewer *B. atrophaeus* subsp. *globigii* spores (mean, $7.33 \times 10^9$ CFU m$^{-2}$; SD, $1.9 \times 10^8$ CFU m$^{-2}$) than *Bt* cry$^-^-$ spores (mean, $1.03 \times 10^{10}$ CFU m$^{-2}$; SD, $2.04 \times 10^8$ CFU m$^{-2}$) recovered at the 90% confidence level (Fig. 4). As might be expected, higher bacterial counts were obtained for both species near the spray position (Fig. 5). Also, for both species, the deposition of spores decreased sharply at WT30 and WT33 (Fig. 5); this equates to about 30 m from the spray point. Preliminary experiments (data not shown) indicated that spores would be in the dry state within 6 m of the spray device. The data from the witness trays placed adjacent to the aerosol samplers are represented in graphically in Fig. 6.

Recovery of spores from solid surfaces. There was a significantly higher level of deposition (99% confidence level) of *Bt* cry$^-^-$ spores (mean, $5.36 \times 10^8$ CFU m$^{-2}$; SD, $5.90 \times 10^7$ CFU m$^{-2}$) than of *B. atrophaeus* subsp. *globigii* spores (mean, $2.81 \times 10^8$ CFU m$^{-2}$; SD, $4.63 \times 10^7$ CFU m$^{-2}$) when all of the surfaces in all of the regions were considered. This held true also within each region and for each surface. Considering the total array of horizontal surface coupons, there was no significant difference between deposition onto concrete or aluminum for either species. The level of deposition onto wood, though, was lower for both organisms.
FIG 5 Mean bacterial counts (expressed as log CFU per square meter) for the spores of *B. atrophaeus* subsp. *globigii* (red symbols) and *B. thuringiensis* lacking cry genes (blue symbols) from each of the witness trays (WT9 to WT50) for each of the five spray events. Each colored symbol represents the count from one of the five spray events (which have not been individually identified). Where fewer than five shapes are visible at any sampling site, it is because two or more of the data points are superimposed.

FIG 6 Graphical representation of the recovery of spores of *B. atrophaeus* subsp. *globigii* (red bars) and *Btcr*− (green bars) from the individual witness trays placed adjacent to the filter samplers. These were replaced after each spray, but the figure represents the overall mean of the releases. The height of each bar from the floor of the barn represents the count measured for that species at that location. The widths of the witness tray columns in the diagram are equivalent to 0.15 m, although the bacterial counts were calculated per square meter. The dimensions of the barn are drawn to scale. The spray source was located at the left end of the barn, as represented here.

FIG 7 Comparison of log bacterial counts (expressed as log CFU per square meter) recovered from the three different horizontal surfaces (concrete brick, aluminum metal, and plywood) throughout the barn for spores of *B. atrophaeus* subsp. *globigii* (red symbols) and *B. thuringiensis* lacking cry genes (blue symbols). Each colored symbol represents a data point, although some may be superimposed on others.

(99% significance level) than that onto either of the other two surface types (Fig. 7). This phenomenon is clearly demonstrated when the counts from both bacterial species are combined and plotted against the region (Fig. 8). The level of deposition on wood relative to that on the other two surfaces is most noticeably lower in region P, nearest the spray source. The trend does continue with distance down the building, however (Fig. 8).

**Deposition of spores on vertical compared to horizontal solid surfaces.** *Btcr*− spores were recovered at a higher level (2.3-fold) than *B. atrophaeus* subsp. *globigii* spores on average for all surfaces and at all positions on the wall (99% significance). This was true even though the mean aerosol counts in the adjacent filters (F8 and F9) showed a 3-fold-higher density of *B. atrophaeus* subsp. *globigii* spores (2.00 × 10⁹ CFU) than of *Btcr*− spores (6.11 × 10⁸ CFU) over the five sprays. To express the findings in another way, 11.0% of the total *B. atrophaeus* subsp. *globigii* count from filters F8 and F9 was recovered on the wall, in contrast to 69.2% for *Btcr*−; i.e., the deposition of *Btcr*− spores was 6-fold higher than that of *B. atrophaeus* subsp. *globigii* spores on the vertical surfaces relative to aerosol density. This was not a function of more *Btcr*− spores falling out of the aerosol phase at this position in the barn: by this stage, in region Z (Fig. 1), the mean levels of deposition on the solid surfaces were very similar (7.54 × 10⁷ CFU m⁻² for *B. atrophaeus* subsp. *globigii* and 7.68 × 10⁷ CFU m⁻² for *Btcr*−). In fact, compared to the mean percentage of deposition on all of the adjacent horizontal surfaces (expressed as CFU per square meter for all surfaces), the deposition on the wall was 2.9-fold greater for *B. atrophaeus* subsp. *globigii* and 5.5-fold greater for *Btcr*−.

**DISCUSSION**

*B. atrophaeus* subsp. *globigii* spores remained airborne to a greater extent and for a greater distance than *Btcr*− spores (Fig. 2 and 3). Furthermore, significantly lower aerosol con-
Another important finding from this trial was the much greater propensity of airborne spores of \textit{Btcry}— to adhere to the wall. The inference from these data is that spores of \textit{Btcry}— and, by extension, due to morphological and compositional similarity, those of \textit{B. anthracis}, are better able to adhere to vertical surfaces than \textit{B. atrophaeus} subsp. \textit{globigii} spores. The latter differ from those of \textit{Btcry}— and \textit{B. anthracis} in that they lack an exosporium. Several authors have demonstrated that this structure is important for attachment to surfaces (32–35). This must be inferred as an explanation, at least in part, for the significant difference in adhesion to vertical surfaces between the two surrogates. It may also have been a factor in the higher level of deposition of \textit{Btcry}— spores than of \textit{B. atrophaeus} subsp. \textit{globigii} spores on horizontal surfaces. This could not be the whole explanation, because the level of deposition in witness trays containing PBS and the surfactant Tween 20 was always significantly higher for the new surrogate than for \textit{B. atrophaeus} subsp. \textit{globigii} (Fig. 4 and 5). Although the assumption was not tested, it was assumed that the two types of spores were captured on PBST with equal efficiency. Other factors, such as electrostatic force, are also likely to have played a role in attachment (36).

In addition to the comparison of vertical deposition between species, it is worth noting for both organisms that, under the conditions used, much higher counts were recovered from the wall than on the adjacent horizontal surfaces. This finding has relevance to human exposure, sampling regimes, and the decontamination of buildings and equipment following a malicious release of \textit{B. anthracis} spores. This area merits greater investigation and should also be addressed in computer models. Very little has been published regarding the comparative adherence of spores to vertical and horizontal surfaces under wind flow. A study using a variety of fabric surfaces mounted on metal plates reported (37) that there was generally a ca. 2-fold increase in the deposition of aerosolized spores of \textit{B. atrophaeus} subsp. \textit{globigii} on vertical surfaces over that on horizontal surfaces. The horizontal velocity of aerosolized spores would be expected to be a factor in adherence to vertical surfaces. When this consideration was removed and spores were allowed to fall under gravity in a small chamber, other researchers (38) found 10-fold-higher deposition on horizontal surfaces than on vertical glass and steel surfaces. The spores of the two species used in this work have noticeably different sizes; differences in momentum upon impact may also have been a factor in adherence to horizontal and vertical surfaces.

We report here a comparison between the large-scale dispersion, deposition, and surface attachment of an established spore surrogate, \textit{B. atrophaeus} subsp. \textit{globigii}, and those of an acrystaliferous \textit{B. thuringiensis} strain. \textit{Btcry}— was also recently aerosolized within a C-130 aircraft (27), where a lower density of spores in 0.1% Tween 80 was dispersed as a wet aerosol. Relatively uniform deposition on vertical and horizontal surfaces was obtained under those conditions. It would be of interest to ascertain whether the presence of a surfactant or the wet state of the spores (rather than the dry state used in this report) resulted in the difference in attachment characteristics seen between these two trials. An outdoor release of a genetically tagged (23) crystalliferous strain of \textit{B. thuringiensis} HD-1 has been undertaken (26). The high wind at the time of release resulted in a sparse plume of spores, making them difficult to detect. The advantages of using an open-ended barn during this work were that it protected the aerosol plumes from crosswinds, so that the spores could be reliably recovered.
within the sampling area, and that no decrease in the spore count occurred as a result of rainfall or UV irradiation. Obviously, the walls and roof will have channeled the spores, and there will have been edge effects, but because of the simultaneous release, both species will have experienced the same conditions. Given the greater propensity of \textit{Btcry}—spores to adhere to vertical surfaces, it might be expected that more \textit{Btcry}—spores than \textit{B. atrophaeus} subsp. \textit{globigii} spores were lost from detection by adherence to the walls. This would not explain the much higher airborne level of spores to produce experimental data to augment the modeling methods currently available (41–43). In addition, \textit{Btcry}—could be used as a test and validation tool for a wide variety of applications in the biomedical, environmental, and food industries and as a material of choice for the testing and evaluation of protection equipment (e.g., commercial biosensors).
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