Biofilm formation by the probiotic *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* GG: impact of environmental and genetic factors
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Abstract

*Lactobacillus rhamnosus* GG (ATCC53103) is one of the clinically best studied probiotic organisms. Moreover, *L. rhamnosus* GG displays very good *in vitro* adherence to epithelial cells and mucus. Here we report that *L. rhamnosus* GG is able to form biofilms on abiotic surfaces in contrast to other strains of the *Lactobacillus casei* group tested under the same conditions. Microtiter plate biofilm assays indicated that *in vitro* biofilm formation by *L. rhamnosus* GG is strongly modulated by culture medium factors and conditions related to the gastrointestinal environment, including low pH, high osmolarity and presence of bile, mucins and non-digestible polysaccharides. Additionally, phenotypic analysis of mutants affected in exopolysaccharides (*wzb*), lipoteichoic acid (*dltD*) and central metabolism (*luxS*) showed their relative importance in biofilm formation by *L. rhamnosus* GG.
Introduction

One of the clinically best studied probiotic organisms is *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* GG (ATCC53103), which was isolated from a healthy human gut microbiota (12, 15). Various health effects have been attributed to *L. rhamnosus* GG, such as the prevention and treatment of acute diarrhea in children, the prevention of antibiotic-associated diarrhea, the prevention and treatment of allergy, as well as occasionally beneficial effects for other disorders (12). However, the mode of action of *L. rhamnosus* GG related to these health promoting effects is mainly unknown. Adherence and colonization capacity are considered as contributing factors for immune modulation, pathogen exclusion and enhanced contact with the mucosa by probiotic bacteria (47, 48). In this way, probiotics would fortify the resident microbiota that form an integral part of the mucosal barrier and colonization resistance against pathogens.

In comparative studies, *L. rhamnosus* GG performs well in *in vitro* adherence experiments to epithelial cells (48) and mucus (47). *In vivo*, *L. rhamnosus* GG is able to adhere to the human intestinal mucosa and to persist for more than one week after oral intake by healthy adults (1). Moreover, oral administration of *L. rhamnosus* GG to pregnant women has been reported to result in colonization of their infants for up to 24 months of age (39). Additionally, *L. rhamnosus* GG is also able to colonize the mouth and can be cultured from saliva for 2 weeks after ingestion (30). This colonization capacity was suggested to be related with the reported beneficial effect of *L. rhamnosus* GG on the clinical development of dental caries in children (33). Although *L. rhamnosus* GG was originally isolated from humans, it is also able to colonize the digestive tract of germ-free C3H mice (19). *L. rhamnosus* GG was found to be associated
with the mucosa of both the stomach and the intestine of these mice and this association increased from the proximal to the distal intestine (19).

Many adherent bacteria occur in natural environments as surface attached biofilms where they are contained within a self-produced extracellular matrix that protects them against hostile environmental conditions (6, 26). Biofilms also play a role in the intimate relationship between the human body and its resident microbes for example in the gut (26). In this study, we investigated the impact of a number of environmental and genetic factors on \textit{L. rhamnosus} GG biofilm formation. Furthermore, we compared the biofilm formation capacity of \textit{L. rhamnosus} GG with related \textit{Lactobacillus} strains.

\section*{Materials and Methods}

\textbf{Bacterial strains and culture conditions.} \textit{Lactobacillus} strains (Table 1) were routinely grown at 37°C in de Man-Rogosa-Sharpe (MRS) medium (Difco) (11) in static, i.e. micro-aerobic, conditions. Additionally, lactobacilli AOAC medium (Difco) (15 g/l peptonized milk, 5 g/l yeast extract, 10 g/l glucose, 5 g/l tomato juice, 2 g/l monopotassium phosphate and 1 g/l Tween 80) and Trypticase Soy Broth (TSB) medium (BD Biosciences) (17 g/l pancreatic digest of casein, 3 g/l enzymatic digest of soybean meal, 5 g/l sodium chloride, 2.5 g/l dipotassium phosphate and 2.5 g/l dextrose) were used in this study. Modified TSB (mTSB) medium consisted of 15 g/l TSB (BD Biosciences) enriched with 20 g/l Bacto Proteose Peptone N°3 (BD Biosciences). Anaerobic conditions were achieved by the use of anaerobic jars (BBL Gas-Pack Anaerobic Systems, VWR International, Haasrode, Belgium). Other compounds tested in this study were mucin from porcine stomach Type III (Sigma), lactoferrin (bovine)
(Sigma), bile (bovine, min. 50 % bile acids, mixture of free and conjugated bile acids)
(Sigma), inulin (Beneo™HP, DP=12-60, Orafti) or its derivatives oligofructose (OF)
(Beneo™P95, DP=3-7, Orafti) and Synergy1 (Beneo™Synergy 1, an OF/inulin mixture, Orafti). The pH of the media was adjusted with 1.0 M HCl or 1.0 M NaOH before autoclaving. If required, erythromycin was added to the cultures in a final concentration of 5 µg/ml.

**In vitro biofilm assay.** A method for assaying biofilm formation of *L. rhamnosus* GG was based on (10) with minor modifications. Briefly, the device used for biofilm formation is a platform carrying 96 polystyrene pegs (Nunc no. 445497) that fits as a microtiter plate lid with a peg hanging into each microtiter plate well (Nunc no. 269789). For biofilm formation, the device was placed in its original sterile tray filled with 200 µl medium. Ca. 3 x 10^7 CFU were added and incubated without shaking for 72 h at 37 °C. To quantify biofilm formation, the pegs were briefly washed in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). The remaining attached bacteria were stained for 30 min with 200 µl 0.1 % (w/v) crystal violet in an isopropanol/methanol/PBS solution (v/v 1:1:18). Excess stain was rinsed off by placing the pegs in a 96-well plate filled with 200 µl water per well. After the pegs were air dried (30 min), the dye bound to the adherent cells was extracted with 200 µl ethanol/acetone (80:20) or 30 % glacial acetic acid for thick biofilms. The optical density (OD) of 135 µl of each well was measured at 570 nm using a VERSAmax (Molecular Devices). Each strain and/or condition was tested in at least three independent experiments, each with 8 biological replicates. Data were normalized to the indicated positive control, which was taken as 100% to compare different experiments. The results are presented as means ± standard deviations (SDs). Additionally, sterile medium was
always included (negative control) to ensure that the influence on biofilm formation by mucus, inulin and bile was not attributed to a non-specific binding effect to crystal violet.

**Monitoring bacterial growth in suspension.** *Lactobacillus* cultures were grown overnight in MRS medium, washed with PBS, brought to equal cell densities (5 x 10⁸ CFU/ml) and 15000-fold diluted in 300 µl fresh medium. Three replicates of each 300-µl culture were inoculated into the wells of sterile Honeycomb plates. These plates were incubated at 37 °C with continuous shaking, and the OD₆₀₀ was measured every 30 min in a Bioscreen C microbiology workstation (Labsystems Oy, Zellik, Belgium). For each time point, average optical density was calculated from three independent measurements.

**Exopolysaccharide (EPS) isolation and quantification.** A protocol was followed to differentiate bound EPS (EPS-b) from EPS released into the culture medium (EPS-r). EPS-r was precipitated from culture supernatant with ethanol, while EPS-b was first extracted from the bacterial cells with 0.05 M EDTA prior to ethanol precipitation as previously described (45). To compare EPS production in different culture media, EPS amounts were expressed as the amount of equivalents of glucose produced per 10⁹ CFU. As a negative control, EPS content of sterile growth medium was assessed. Each experiment was repeated at least 3 times.

**DNA manipulations.** Routine molecular biology techniques were performed according to standard procedures (38). Restriction and modifying enzymes (from New England Biolabs) were used as recommended by the manufacturer. Plasmid DNA was prepared from *Escherichia coli* cells by QIAGEN miniprep kits. Chromosomal DNA and plasmid DNA were isolated from *L. rhamnosus* GG as previously described (9).
Cloning of the *L. rhamnosus* GG *wzb* gene. Primers Pro-0005 (5’-AGTTGATTAATACCGCGACAACAA-3’) and Pro-0058 (5’-GAAGGGGAACTGAATGATGATTGATG-3’) were designed to identify the *wzb* gene of *L. rhamnosus* GG based on the published *wzb* sequence of the closely related strain *L. rhamnosus* ATCC9595 (NCBI accession no. AY659976) (34). The PCR product was cloned into pCRII®-TOPO® vector (Invitrogen), resulting in plasmid pCMPG5921, and sequenced. After BLASTx analysis, a 762 bp DNA fragment was identified that putatively encodes the phosphoprotein phosphatase Wzb of *L. rhamnosus* GG. The sequence of the putative *wzb* gene was submitted to the NCBI database (accession no. EF690379).

Construction of *wzb* antisense RNA expression plasmid pCMPG5344. To achieve overexpression of antisense RNA, the *wzb* gene was cloned in a high-copy vector in reverse orientation controlled by a constitutive promoter. Therefore, the promoter region of the *ldhL* gene of *L. rhamnosus* GG was amplified from chromosomal DNA with primers Pro-127 (5’-CTGAGCTCCTTGTCACAGGATTCACAAGTCTTGC-3’) and Pro-128 (5’-GTCATATGGATATCATCCTTTCTTATGTGCATGC-3’). The 0.2-kb amplicon was cloned into a pCRII®-TOPO® vector (Invitrogen), resulting in plasmid pCMPG5901. Subsequently, a fragment containing *wzb* was cut with EcoRI from pCMPG5921 and blunt ligated in reverse direction in pCMPG5901, which was digested with NdeI (blunt), resulting in plasmid pCMPG5922. A ca. 1 kb fragment containing the *ldhL* promoter and *wzb* gene in antisense direction was subsequently cut from pCMPG5922 with EcoRI and ligated in the EcoRI site of the high-copy vector pLAB1301 (23), resulting in plasmid pCMPG5344. This vector was transferred to
L. rhamnosus GG as previously described (9). The presence of antisense RNA was confirmed by Northern blot analysis with specific probes.

Results

In vitro biofilm formation by L. rhamnosus GG. A microtiter plate format assay was used to assay biofilm formation. Three different growth media were tested: the standard medium for lactobacilli, i.e. nutrient rich MRS medium (11), Trypticase Soy Broth (TSB) with slight modifications (mTSB) (see Materials and Methods), and lactobacilli AOAC medium (Difco). No biofilm formation could be observed within 24 - 96 hours in the MRS medium (Fig. 1). Confluent biofilm growth could be observed after 72 hours growth in mTSB medium (Fig. 1). Additionally, L. rhamnosus GG was able to form biofilms in AOAC medium (Difco), as we previously reported (27). Biofilm formation is less pronounced in AOAC medium compared to mTSB medium (Fig.1). Biofilms were formed both in micro-aerobic (Fig.1) and anaerobic conditions (data not shown). Biofilm formation of L. rhamnosus GG could also be observed on negatively charged glass surfaces (data not shown).

Comparison of biofilm formation by different Lactobacillus strains. To detect possible Lactobacillus strain differences in biofilm formation, as was done previously for adhesion properties (47, 48), we chose seven closely related strains of the L. casei group for a comparative study. Strains were selected that showed comparable planktonic growth in the different media used in the biofilm assay (data not shown). The ability of biofilm formation in vitro varied extensively among L. rhamnosus and related L. casei strains.
Under all conditions tested, *L. rhamnosus* GG showed by far the best biofilm formation properties on polystyrene (Fig. 1).

**Influence of growth medium components.** Since *L. rhamnosus* GG does not form biofilms in the standard MRS medium, the influence of some key components was investigated. Therefore, compounds were systematically omitted from MRS medium and supplemented to mTSB medium. When glucose, the main carbon source for lactic acid bacteria (24), was omitted from MRS medium, biofilm formation could be observed (Fig. 2A). This biofilm-repressing effect of glucose was confirmed by addition of glucose to mTSB medium, which resulted in reduction of biofilm formation (Fig. 2A). In contrast to *L. rhamnosus* GG, no biofilm formation in glucose-depleted MRS medium could be observed for the other *L. rhamnosus* and *L. casei* strains tested (Fig. 1).

Divalent cations are known to affect bacterial adhesion by a direct effect on electrostatic interactions. MnSO$_4$ (0.05 g/l) exerted an inhibitory effect on biofilm formation, while no major effect of MgSO$_4$ (0.1 g/l) on biofilm formation could be observed (Fig. 2A). This difference is most likely due to a different growth stimulating effect. As was previously described for lactobacilli (22) and confirmed in this study for *L. rhamnosus* GG, Mn$^{2+}$ strongly stimulated growth in suspension, while no major effect was seen for Mg$^{2+}$ (Fig. 2B). The surfactant Tween 80 present in MRS medium also inhibited biofilm formation (Fig. 2A). However, the effect of Tween 80 appears to be medium-specific since its addition to mTSB medium did not reduce biofilm formation of *L. rhamnosus* GG.

**Influence of gastrointestinal environment related conditions.** The influence of some factors was tested in both mTSB and AOAC medium since the growth yield of *L.
*rhamnosus* GG is quite different in these media (i.e. 0.5 and 1.5 as final OD₆₀₀ reached, respectively).

(i) **pH and osmolarity.** Important stressful conditions that are encountered by intestinal bacteria include changing pH and osmolarity. To test their impact on biofilm formation, conditions were chosen that did not considerably influence growth in suspension (data not shown). Biofilm formation by *L. rhamnosus* GG was inhibited at initial pH of 4.0 in all media tested in contrast to neutral pH in the control media (Fig. 3). Increasing osmolarity and ionic strength to 0.3 M NaCl only slightly diminished biofilm formation by *L. rhamnosus* GG (Fig. 3).

(ii) **bile.** Bile acids are surface active molecules present in the human intestine in physiological concentrations ranging from 0.1–2.0% (20). They possess potent antimicrobial activity, but many enteric and probiotic bacteria such as *L. rhamnosus* GG have developed mechanisms to resist bile (12). Bile acids have been previously shown to increase adhesion and biofilm formation of enteric pathogens (20, 37). We also observed a 2- to 4-fold increase in biofilm formation in AOAC medium after addition of 0.05 - 0.2% bile acids to the medium. The effect was less pronounced when 1.5% bile acids was added (Fig. 3), probably because the tolerance to bile of gram-positive bacteria rapidly decreases at concentrations over 0.3% (2). Addition of 0.05% bile to mTSB medium also increased biofilm formation around 2-fold, but increasing the concentration of bile gradually decreased biofilm formation (Fig. 3). Therefore, in mTSB medium, the activity of the surface active bile acids seems to be partly masked. Soy proteins, present in TSB, have been shown to bind bile acids and aggregate them (2).
(iii) mucins. The mucus layer covering the epithelial cells is considered an important site for bacterial adhesion and colonization (40, 43). Mucus has previously been reported to have positive and negative effects on biofilm formation, depending on the bacterial species tested. Mucins stimulated biofilm formation by commensal *E. coli* (4), while they inhibited biofilm formation of the stomach colonizer *Helicobacter pylori* (8). When we added mucins to mTSB medium in a concentration of 2.5 g/l, estimated to be present in the transverse colon (31), we observed an increase of biofilm formation by *L. rhamnosus* GG with more than 20% (Fig. 3). However, the effect of mucus on *L. rhamnosus* GG seems to be medium-dependent. In AOAC medium, mucins did not stimulate biofilm formation (Fig. 3).

(iv) non-digestible carbohydrates. Undigested complex carbohydrates have especially a role in the lower gastrointestinal environment. Here, we investigated the influence of inulin-type prebiotics that cannot be fermented by *L. rhamnosus* GG (25). Addition of inulin or its derivative Synergy1 to mTSB or AOAC medium at 20 g/l, increased biofilm formation up to 1.5 fold (Fig. 3). This biofilm-enhancing effect was mainly observed for the long chain polysaccharides (Fig. 3).

(v) antimicrobial peptides. The presence of different antimicrobial peptides close to the gut epithelium probably plays an important role in the absence of commensal bacteria directly adherent to the gut epithelium in healthy subjects (44). The iron-chelating peptide lactoferrin, expressed by glandular epithelial cells and neutrophils, was recently reported to block biofilm development on mucosal surfaces by the opportunistic pathogen *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (concentration tested, 20 µg/ml) (42). However, no effect of
lactoferrin on biofilm development of the probiotic bacterium *L. rhamnosus* GG could be observed in a concentration of 20 and 100 µg/ml (Fig. 3).

**Role of exopolysacharides (EPS).** EPS play a crucial role in biofilm development (6). We chose to analyze the effect of knocking down the *wzb* homologue, since Wzb is a phosphotyrosine protein phosphatase that has been shown to regulate EPS biosynthesis and polymer size in combination with a protein-tyrosine kinase in different bacteria such as *E. coli* (50) and *Streptococcus pneumoniae* (32). In *Burkholderia cepacia*, biofilm formation is reduced when the *wzb* homologue *bceD* is inactivated (14). We first isolated and identified the *L. rhamnosus* *wzb* homologue, and investigated its role in *L. rhamnosus* GG biofilm formation by antisense RNA technology as previously described (5). Overexpression of antisense RNA of *wzb* by pCMG5344 showed medium-dependent effects on biofilm formation. Silencing *wzb* expression resulted in the largest reduction in biofilm formation in AOAC medium, while in other media only minor effects were observed (Fig. 4). To investigate a possible link between biofilm formation and EPS production, EPS fractions of *L. rhamnosus* GG grown in different media were isolated (Fig. 5). EPS production showed to be medium-dependent. AOAC medium induced the highest EPS production by *L. rhamnosus* GG (Fig. 5). This suggests, together with the results for pCMG5344 (*wzb* antisense), that biofilm formation seems to be especially EPS-dependent in AOAC medium.

**Role of lipoteichoic acids (LTA).** It has previously been suggested that LTA is involved in biofilm formation of *Lactobacillus* strains on the mouse gastric epithelium (41, 51) and in adhesion of *L. johnsonii* La1 to human enterocyte-like Caco-2 cells (16). Teichoic acids constitute up to 50% of the cell wall dry weight of Gram-positive bacteria and D-
alanyl ester substitutions of LTA are directly related with surface charge. We have recently shown that insertional inactivation of the gene encoding one of the enzymes important for LTA synthesis, i.e. dltD, resulted in complete absence of D-alanyl esters in the LTA and in modification of different cell surface properties of *L. rhamnosus* GG (35). Here, the biofilm formation capacity of the *dltD* mutant CMPG5540 was investigated under different culture conditions (Fig. 4). Surprisingly, in contrast to similar mutations in *Staphylococcus aureus* (18) and *Enterococcus faecalis* (13), the *L. rhamnosus* GG *dltD* mutant showed more biofilm formation to polystyrene, especially in mTSB medium and MRS medium without glucose.

**Role of LuxS and central metabolism.** The activity of LuxS is widely investigated in pathogenic bacteria in relation to biofilm formation due to its role in the biosynthesis of the interspecies signaling molecule AI-2 (49). In the recycling of S-adenosylmethionine (SAM), the LuxS enzyme catalyzes the conversion of S-ribosylhomocysteine, yielding AI-2 and homocysteine. However, since the LuxS enzyme forms an integral part of the activated methyl cycle and amino acid metabolism of methionine and cysteine, the analysis of phenotypes of *luxS* mutants is multifaceted (52). Previously, we have shown that the *L. rhamnosus* GG *luxS* mutant CMPG5412 is affected in biofilm formation in AOAC medium. However, this defect could not be complemented by adding the signaling molecule AI-2, whereas it could be nutritionally complemented with cysteine (27). In this study, we compared the biofilm formation capacity of CMPG5412 in the different media used in this study (Fig. 4). The biofilm defect of the *luxS* mutant was medium-dependent. In a nitrogen-rich environment such as mTSB medium, CMPG5412 was not impaired in biofilm formation in contrast to the situation in AOAC medium (Fig.
4). This is in agreement with our previously published results where we showed that the biofilm defect of CMPG5412 has an important metabolic nature and is not merely due to disrupted AI-2 mediated communication (27).

Discussion

Colonization capacity of probiotic strains is generally studied in vitro by using mucus or intestinal epithelial cell lines like Caco-2 and HT-29 cells (47, 48). These models are representative for the initial attachment of ingested probiotics to the intestinal wall, but not suitable for studying further more dynamic steps in colonization, i.e. microcolony formation and structural development to biofilm-like communities. Evaluation of biofilm formation in different media is a complementary approach to better understand the mechanisms by which bacteria adapt to environmental stresses and colonize different niches. Here we report that the widely investigated probiotic strain *L. rhamnosus* GG is able to form biofilms in vitro in contrast to related lactobacilli tested. In addition, we studied several factors influencing biofilm formation. To our knowledge, the present study constitutes the most extensive analysis of in vitro biofilm formation by lactobacilli published thus far.

We can conclude from our comparative analysis that the intrinsic biofilm formation capacity of *L. rhamnosus* GG is strongly dependent on environmental factors and the culture medium used. In general, there appeared to be an inverse relation between extent of biofilm formation and growth in suspension (Fig. 2). Nutrient limitation and especially low availability of fermentable carbon sources, such as in mTSB medium with its low C/N ratio, stimulated biofilm growth of *L. rhamnosus* GG. However, growth limitation
does not seem to be sufficient to induce biofilm formation in \textit{Lactobacillus} strains, as shown by a comparative study with other \textit{Lactobacillus} strains (Fig. 1).

The underlying genetic factors determining the good biofilm formation capacity of \textit{L. rhamnosus} GG still need to be characterized, since it is probably mediated by various genetic pathways. In this study, we investigated the role of exopolysaccharides (\textit{wzb}), lipoteichoic acid (\textit{dltD}) and central metabolism (\textit{luxS}). In most bacteria, EPS production is important for biofilm formation (6). \textit{L. rhamnosus} GG is not known as a high EPS producer in MRS medium in comparison with other lactobacilli (36), although we have demonstrated in this study that EPS production of \textit{L. rhamnosus} GG is highly medium-dependent (Fig. 5). The importance of EPS in biofilm formation by \textit{L. rhamnosus} GG seems to be dependent on the culture conditions. Indeed, AOAC medium induced the highest EPS production (Fig. 5), while best biofilm formation was observed in mTSB medium (Fig. 1). Also, a \textit{wzb} knock-down mutant of \textit{L. rhamnosus} GG showed to be particularly impaired in biofilm formation in AOAC medium. Therefore, EPS production is clearly not the only factor determining biofilm formation of \textit{L. rhamnosus} GG. For instance, besides EPS production quantity, the polymer size and specific chemical structure and composition of the EPS molecules could also affect biofilm formation. Moreover, in addition to EPS, other surface components are most likely involved in biofilm formation as exemplified by the \textit{dltD} mutant. Although \textit{dltD} inactivation resulted in increased negative surface charge (35), we observed more biofilm formation on polystyrene depending on the culture medium. This is probably due to indirect effects of altered lipoteichoic acids on biofilm development. For instance, absence of D-alanyl esters in teichoic acids has been shown to alter folding of exoproteins in \textit{Bacillus subtilis}
(21) and to cause loss of large surface protein adhesins in *S. gordonii* (7). Altered surface proteins might lead to altered physicochemical properties of the cell surface. Additionally, differences in central metabolism could also partly account for the variation in biofilm formation capacity between lactobacilli strains, as exemplified by contrasting biofilm phenotypes in *L. reuteri* (46) and *L. rhamnosus* GG (27) luxS mutants. Biofilms of lactobacilli can be found in many natural environments. Since the gastrointestinal tract is an important target for probiotics, some factors related to this niche were investigated in this study. Our data show that conditions such as low pH, high osmolarity and presence of bile and mucins highly modulate biofilm formation of *L. rhamnosus* GG, but the effect of each factor depends on the micro-environment, i.e. the culture medium. Some factors such as mucins probably facilitate binding of *L. rhamnosus* GG to substrates. *L. rhamnosus* GG was even previously shown to induce mucin expression (29) which could be a way for surface conditioning of the microhabitat to increase its colonization capacity. Additionally, *L. rhamnosus* GG has excellent *in vitro* mucus-adhering properties (47), which are mediated in many lactobacilli by specific mucus-binding proteins (3). The fact that the biofilm-promoting effect of mucus is less pronounced in the EPS-promoting AOAC medium might be due to shielding of these mucus-binding proteins by EPS. Additionally, undigested food particles may affect the structural arrangement of the microbiota in the intestine (43). It seems plausible that the observed increased biofilm formation of *L. rhamnosus* GG by inulin is due to stimulation of aggregation. These complex polysaccharides might be incorporated in the extracellular matrix, thereby enhancing biofilm formation. Other factors such as pH and bile acids may greatly affect the cell surface of *L. rhamnosus* GG itself and thereby influence biofilm
development. Bile stimulated biofilm formation of *L. rhamnosus* GG in concentrations not affecting growth. As shown for *Vibrio cholerae* (20), bile might therefore be a signal for *L. rhamnosus* GG to form biofilms in the small intestine. It will be of interest to investigate in future studies differential gene expression of *L. rhamnosus* GG in the presence of bile. In some bacteria, bile induced the expression of specific adhesins such as exopolysaccharides (20) and fimbria-like appendages (37). Additionally, biofilm formation of *L. rhamnosus* GG showed to be more sensitive to low pH than growth in suspension as was also reported for *Streptococcus gordonii* (28). However, biofilm formation is a complex process. The crystal violet method used in this study assesses the final outcome of initial bacterial attachment and biofilm maturation. It might well be that low pH stimulates initial adhesion, as was shown for adhesion to epithelial cells (17), but that the development to mature biofilms is inhibited by low pH. Interestingly, some lactobacilli are able to colonize the acid stomach of rodents in biofilm-like communities (46). This clearly demonstrates that further studies, including *in vivo* imaging such as fluorescence *in situ* hybridization, are needed to investigate the biofilm formation capacity of exogenously applied and endogenous lactobacilli in different compartments (e.g. stomach, small intestine, colon) and niches (e.g. mucous layer, mucosa, food residues) and even at other sites outside the gastrointestinal tract.
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Figure captions

**Fig. 1. Comparison of biofilm formation by different lactobacilli.** Biofilm formation by 8 *Lactobacillus* strains was compared in different culture conditions, i.e. mTSB medium, MRS medium (with and without glucose) and AOAC medium. The results are expressed compared to biofilm formation of *L. rhamnosus* GG in mTSB medium (positive control), which was taken as 100 % (dotted line). The error bars represent standard deviations of 8 biological repeats. The data shown are representative for at least 3 independent experiments.

**Fig. 2. Influence of MRS medium factors on biofilm formation by *L. rhamnosus* GG.**

(A) Different components were omitted (indicated by -) from MRS medium to investigate their effect on biofilm formation. Additionally, the influence of these components was investigated after addition (+) to mTSB medium in the same concentration as present in MRS medium. The results are expressed compared to biofilm formation of *L. rhamnosus* GG in mTSB medium (positive control), which was taken as 100 % (dotted line). (B) The effect of the same components on 72 h growth in suspension (OD$_{600}$) was investigated after omission from MRS medium (-) and addition to mTSB medium (+).

**Fig. 3. Influence of gastrointestinal tract mimicking conditions on biofilm formation by *L. rhamnosus* GG.** The effect of addition of mucus (2.5 g/l), inulin-type prebiotics (20 g/l), bile (0.05 to 2.0 %) and lactoferrin (100 µg/ml) to mTSB (black) and AOAC medium (gray) was investigated. Additionally, the influence of changing the pH and osmolarity to 0.3 M NaCl of the biofilm medium was assessed. Biofilm formation was compared to that of *L. rhamnosus* GG (positive control) in mTSB or AOAC medium, which were taken as 100 % (dotted line), respectively.
Fig. 4. Influence of genetic factors on biofilm formation by *L. rhamnosus* GG. (A) The influence of exopolysaccharides was investigated after overexpression of antisense *wzb* RNA in strain CMPG5344 of *L. rhamnosus* GG in different growth media (mTSB, AOAC and MRS medium without glucose (MRS – glc)). Biofilm formation was then compared to that of *L. rhamnosus* GG transformed with the empty cloning vector pLAB1301 (positive control). Erythromycin was added for stable maintenance of the plasmids. (B) The influence of D-alanylation of LTA on biofilm formation was investigated by analysis of the phenotype of a *dltD* mutant CMPG5540 under the same conditions. Biofilm formation was then compared to that of *L. rhamnosus* GG wildtype grown under the same conditions (positive control). (C) The influence of central metabolism was investigated by analysis of the phenotype of the *luxS* mutant CMPG5412 under different conditions. Biofilm formation of the mutants was compared to that of wildtype *L. rhamnosus* GG (positive control) under the same conditions, which was taken as 100% (dotted line).

Fig. 5. Comparison of EPS production by *L. rhamnosus* GG in different media. Two EPS fractions were differentiated: bound EPS from bacterial pellets (EPS-b) and EPS released into the culture medium (EPS-r). EPS fractions were isolated from stationary phase cultures of *L. rhamnosus* GG grown in MRS medium (OD$_{600}$ ~ 2.0), AOAC medium (OD$_{600}$ ~ 1.5) and mTSB medium (OD$_{600}$ ~ 0.5). Since the final optical density differs considerably in the different media, results are expressed as µg of glucose equivalents produced per $10^9$ CFU.
### Table 1. Bacterial strains used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain/Plasmid</th>
<th>Relevant characteristics</th>
<th>Reference/ Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>E. coli strains</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DH5α</td>
<td>F- Φ80dlacZAM15Δ(lacZYA-argF) U169 deoR recA1endA1hsdR17(rl6m1k) supE44 λ- thi-1 girA96 relA1</td>
<td>Gibco-BRL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>L. rhamnosus GG strains</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATCC53103</td>
<td>Wildtype, human isolate</td>
<td>ATCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMPG5412</td>
<td>luxS knock-out mutant of L. rhamnosus GG, TetR</td>
<td>(27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMPG5540</td>
<td>dltD knock-out mutant of L. rhamnosus GG, TetR</td>
<td>(35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMPG5344</td>
<td>wzb knock-down mutant of L. rhamnosus GG by expression of antisense RNA after introduction of plasmid pCMPG5344, EryR</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other Lactobacillus strains</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. rhamnosus ATCC9595</td>
<td>Wildtype strain</td>
<td>ATCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. rhamnosus ATCC7469</td>
<td>Wildtype strain, type strain</td>
<td>ATCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. rhamnosus ATCC10863</td>
<td>Wildtype strain</td>
<td>ATCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. casei ATCC334</td>
<td>Wildtype strain, neotype strain</td>
<td>ATCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. casei ATCC393</td>
<td>Wildtype strain, also classified as L. zeae</td>
<td>ATCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. casei Immunitas</td>
<td>Isolated from Actimel®</td>
<td>Danone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L. casei Shirota</td>
<td>Isolated from Yakult®</td>
<td>Yakult</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Plasmids</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pCMPG5334</td>
<td>pLAB1301 derivative for expression of antisense wzb RNA of L. rhamnosus GG driven by ldhL promoter, ApR, EryR</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>