

Effects of Inoculum Size on Solid-Phase Fermentation of Fodder Beets for Fuel Ethanol Production

WILLIAM R. GIBBONS* AND CARL A. WESTBY

*Fuel Alcohol Research Laboratory, Microbiology Department, South Dakota State University,
Brookings, South Dakota 57007*

Received 27 January 1986/Accepted 8 July 1986

This fuel ethanol study examined the effects of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* inoculum size on solid-phase fermentation of fodder beet pulp. A 5% inoculum (wt/wt) resulted in rapid yeast and ethanol (9.1% [vol/vol]) production. Higher inocula showed no advantages. Lower inocula resulted in lowered final yeast populations and increased fermentation times.

During conventional submerged fermentation of corn and other grains, fermentation is generally initiated by the addition of a quantity of yeast inoculum broth equal to 1 to 3% (wt/wt) of the saccharified mash (5, 12, 14, 15). This results in initial yeast concentrations ranging from 2×10^5 to 5×10^6 cells per g (3-5, 11, 15). During the typical 48 to 96 h of fermentation which follow, the yeast population increases in most cases to 5×10^7 to 5×10^8 cells per g, and ethanol concentrations rise to 8 to 12% (vol/vol) (2-5, 11, 15).

Solid-phase fermentation of pulpy feedstocks such as sweet sorghum and fodder beets may require a somewhat higher inoculum to ensure thorough yeast cell distribution on the pulp (7, 8). Kirby and Mardon evaluated concentrated yeast inocula of 2×10^7 to 9×10^7 cells per g of sugar beet pulp and noted that fermentation times decreased from 15 to 9 h over that range (K. D. Kirby and C. J. Mardon, Proc. 4th Int. Symp. Alcohol Fuels Technol. 1980, vol. 1, p. 13-19). Ethanol yields, however, were unaffected by the inoculum size and averaged 90% of theoretical. Kargi and co-workers also used concentrated yeast inocula, in this case ranging from 10^6 to 7×10^8 cells per g of sweet sorghum pulp (9). They found that the optimum initial yeast concentration for sweet sorghum pulp was 7×10^8 cells per g, based upon a compromise between ethanol yield (9% [vol/vol]) and fermentation time (17 to 20 h).

The relatively high inoculum levels used in the previously mentioned studies, however, may not be economically feasible for fuel ethanol production. Initial yeast levels above 5×10^7 cells per g of pulp would likely require centrifugation of the inoculum broth to concentrate the yeast. This would add to both capital and operating costs. For that reason, we used various amounts of uncentrifuged inoculum broth (0.01 to 20.0% [wt/wt] of the beet pulp) to test inocula ranging from 1.35×10^4 to 1.2×10^7 cells per g of pulp.

All inocula of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* NRRL Y-2034, the yeast used in this study, were prepared on 4% glucose-0.4% neopeptone (Difco Laboratories) (6). Fodder beets (*Beta vulgaris*, cv. Monorosa) were obtained from a Pennsylvania grower. After being hammer milled with an optimal 1.27-cm screen (6), the beet pulp was adjusted to pH 3.0 to inhibit bacterial contamination (W. R. Gibbons and C. A. Westby, Biotechnol. Lett., in press). This low pH did not inhibit the growth of the yeast.

Following pH adjustment, 1-kg amounts of beet pulp were thoroughly inoculated, by hand mixing, with 0.1, 0.5, 1.0,

5.0, 10.0, 50.0, 100.0, or 200.0 g of yeast inoculum broth. The yeast inocula contained 0.5×10^8 to 1.0×10^8 cells per g. Duplicate runs at each inoculum level were performed, and the results were averaged. Inoculated beet pulp was incubated at 30°C for 96 h with periodic agitation.

At 6-h intervals, fermenting fodder beet pulp was mixed, and samples were withdrawn for analysis. The reducing sugar concentration was determined by the dinitrosalicylic acid method (10) following incubation of 20 g of beet pulp in 80 ml of water with an excess of invertase (48 h at 50°C) (7). The yeast cell population was determined by a plate count method with potato dextrose agar (PDA) and tartaric acid (15). Bacterial contaminants were determined with PDA without tartaric acid. Ethanol was determined by specific gravity measurements of distilled samples by procedures recommended by the Association of Analytical Chemists (1).

When the inoculum was 0.5 to 20% (wt/wt) of the beet pulp, the maximum population of yeast cells that developed during fermentation remained relatively constant and averaged 1.85×10^8 cells per g (Fig. 1). Below an inoculum of 0.5%, the maximum yeast population gradually decreased to 9.4×10^7 cells per g at the 0.01% inoculum level. The average variability of yeast populations across replications for all trials was $\pm 1.8 \times 10^7$ cells per g.

A high yeast population in the fermenting pulp is advantageous because it allows for shorter fermentation times and higher protein concentrations in the feed by-product (7, 8). The yeast population level immediately after inoculation was dependent upon the inoculum used and ranged from 1.35×10^4 cells per g at the 0.01% inoculum level to 1.2×10^7 cells per g at the 20% inoculum level.

Bacterial contaminants were not detected in any of the trials, even though the pulp was not pasteurized. This was presumably due to the low pH (3.0) of the pulp prior to inoculation (Gibbons and Westby, in press). In all trials, the pulp pH increased in a curvilinear fashion during fermentation but never exceeded 3.60.

The time to reach the maximum yeast population during fermentation showed a definite, and expected, dependency on inoculum size (Fig. 1). The shortest time to reach the maximum yeast population was 20 to 22 h at a 0.5% inoculum and above. The average variability between replications was ± 1.69 h. Below a 0.5% inoculum, the time to reach the maximum yeast population increased rapidly to 46 to 48 h.

The time to reach the maximum ethanol concentration also responded to inoculum size. In this case the shortest

* Corresponding author.




FIG. 1. Effect of *S. cerevisiae* NRRL Y-2034 inoculum size on ethanol and yeast cell production in the solid-phase fermentation of fodder beet pulp. Symbols: ■, time required for maximum ethanol concentration; ★, time required for maximum yeast population; ●, fermentation efficiency as a percentage; ▲, ethanol yield as a percentage of theoretical; ○, maximum yeast population.

fermentation time, 30 h, occurred at a 5% (wt/wt) inoculum and above. Higher inoculum levels did not reduce fermentation time further. At a 5% inoculum, the initial yeast cell population was 3.8×10^6 to 4.2×10^6 cells per g. The average variability between replications was ± 1.75 h. Below a 5% inoculum, fermentation time gradually increased to 53 h at a 0.05% inoculum.

The ethanol yield was independent of inoculum size and averaged 86% of theoretical (Fig. 1), with an average variability of $\pm 0.75\%$. Ethanol yields were calculated by assuming a conversion rate of 53.8 g of ethanol per 100 g of reducing sugar (13; W. L. Bryan, G. E. Monroe, R. L. Nichols, and G. J. Gascho. Winter Meet. Am. Soc. Agric. Eng. 1981, abstr. no. 81-3571). The maximum ethanol concentration that developed during solid-phase fermentation of fodder beet pulp inoculated with at least a 5% inoculum was 9.13% (vol/vol), and the average for all trials was 8.89% (vol/vol).

Fermentation efficiency was calculated by dividing the reducing sugar consumed during fermentation by the initial reducing sugar and multiplying the result by 100. Fermentation efficiency decreased slightly from 99% at a 5.0% inoculum and above to 96% at a 0.1% inoculum and below (Fig. 1). The average variability for all trials was $\pm 0.57\%$. The apparent explanation for this effect was that fewer yeast cells grew at lower inoculum levels (Fig. 1) and thus less sugar was converted to cell biomass, even though ethanol yields remained constant.

Overall, our results suggest that a 5% (wt/wt) inoculum (4×10^6 yeast cells per g [wet weight] of pulp) is the minimum amount necessary to ensure both maximum ethanol and yeast levels in a reasonably short fermentation time (30 h). Higher inocula (10 to 20%) provide no greater benefits and

would require higher investments in capital and operating costs to produce the greater quantity of inoculum.

This research was made possible through funds provided by the South Dakota State University Agricultural Experiment Station.

We thank LaRue Sutliff for providing fodder beets and Vickie Molengraaf for assistance in typing. We also thank Robert Todd for reading the manuscript and making helpful changes.

LITERATURE CITED

1. Association of Analytical Chemists. 1980. Official methods of analysis, 13th ed. Association of Analytical Chemists, Washington, D.C.
2. Chen, S. L., and F. Gutmanis. 1982. Optimisation of batch fermentation of whole ground corn mash. *Process Biochem.* 17:2-5.
3. Egg, R. P., C. G. Coble, R. D. Mitchel, T. C. Chiang, and H. P. O'Neal. 1985. Chemically treated seed grain as an ethanol feedstock. *Energy Agric.* 4:109-114.
4. Garcia, A., III, J. R. Fischer, and E. L. Ianotti. 1984. Low temperature hydrolysis for ethanol production. *Energy Agric.* 3:137-144.
5. Gibbons, W. R., and C. A. Westby. 1983. Fuel ethanol and high protein feed from corn and corn-whey mixtures in a farm-scale plant. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 25:2127-2148.
6. Gibbons, W. R., and C. A. Westby. 1986. Solid phase fermentation of fodder beets for ethanol production: effect of grind size. *J. Ferment. Technol.* 64:175-179.
7. Gibbons, W. R., C. A. Westby, and T. L. Dobbs. 1984. A continuous, farm-scale, solid-phase fermentation process for fuel ethanol and protein feed production from fodder beets. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 26:1098-1107.
8. Gibbons, W. R., C. A. Westby, and T. L. Dobbs. 1986. Intermediate-scale, semicontinuous solid-phase fermentation process for production of fuel ethanol from sweet sorghum. *Appl.*

- Environ. Microbiol. **51**:115–122.
9. **Kargi, F., J. A. Curme, and J. J. Sheehan.** 1985. Solid-state fermentation of sweet sorghum to ethanol. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* **27**:34–40.
 10. **Miller, G. L.** 1959. Use of dinitrosalicylic acid reagent for determination of reducing sugar. *Anal. Chem.* **31**:426–428.
 11. **Mullins, J. T.** 1985. Enzymatic hydrolysis and fermentation of corn for fuel alcohol. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* **27**:321–326.
 12. **Mullins, J. T., and C. NeSmith.** 1984. Integrated ethanol production and utilization system for small farms. *Biomass* **6**:155–166.
 13. **Reidenbach, V. G., and C. G. Coble.** 1985. Sugarcane or sweet sorghum processing techniques for ethanol production. *Trans. A.S.A.E.* **28**:571–575.
 14. **Stark, W. H.** 1954. Alcoholic fermentation of grain, p. 17–72. *In* L. A. Underkofler and R. J. Hickey (ed.), *Industrial fermentations*. Chemical Publishing Co., New York.
 15. **Westby, C. A., and W. R. Gibbons.** 1982. Farm-scale production of fuel ethanol and wet grain from corn in a batch process. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* **24**:1681–1699.