

Occurrence of Genes Associated with Enterotoxigenic and Enterohemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* in Agricultural Waste Lagoons

Eunice C. Chern,¹ Yu-Li Tsai,² and Betty H. Olson^{1*}

Department of Environmental Analysis and Design, University of California, Irvine, Irvine, California 92697,¹
and Orange County Sanitation District, Fountain Valley, California 92708²

Received 4 June 2003/Accepted 7 October 2003

The prevalence among all *Escherichia coli* bacteria of the LTIIa toxin gene and STII toxin gene, both associated with enterotoxigenic *E. coli*, and of three genes (*stxI*, *stxII*, and *eaeA*) associated with enterohemorrhagic *E. coli* was determined in farm waste disposal systems seasonally for 1 year. Single- and nested-PCR results for the number of *E. coli* isolates carrying each toxin gene trait were compared with a five-replicate most-probable-number (MPN) method. The STII and LTIIa toxin genes were present continuously at all farms and downstream waters that were tested. Nested-MPN-PCR manifested sensitivity increased over that of single-MPN-PCR by a factor of 32 for LTIIa, 10 for STII, and 2 for the *stxI*, *stxII*, and *eaeA* genes. The geometric mean prevalence of each toxin gene within the *E. coli* community in waste disposal site waters after nested MPN-PCR was 1:8.5 *E. coli* isolates (1:8.5 *E. coli*) for the LTIIa toxin gene and 1:4 *E. coli* for the STII toxin gene. The geometric mean prevalence for the simultaneous occurrence of toxin genes *stxI*, *stxII*, and *eaeA*, was 1:182 *E. coli*. These findings based on total population analysis suggest that prevalence rates for these genes are higher than previously reported in studies based on surveys of single isolates. With a population-based approach, the frequency of each toxin gene at the corresponding disposal sites and the endemic nature of diseases on farms can be easily assessed, allowing farmers and public health officials to evaluate the risk of infection to animals or humans.

Enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* (ETEC) has been known to cause diarrheal diseases in both animals and humans. The LTIIa and STII toxin genes associated with ETEC are responsible for diarrheal diseases affecting calves and piglets, respectively (14, 30, 41, 51). Outbreaks, such as mastitis in cows or edema in piglets, caused by these agents have led to economic losses (14, 51). The bacteria harboring these toxin genes have the ability to colonize the small intestine of humans and animals, demonstrating host specificity through colonization factors. Two colonization factors, K88 and K99, have been found to be important in ETEC colonization of the intestinal epithelia of pigs and cows, respectively (14, 21). Detection and treatment or vaccination have been two directions used to reduce disease caused by these organisms in agriculture (52). However, most studies focus on individual animals, screening isolates from fecal swabs as opposed to screening populations of *E. coli* from a herd of animals (14, 29, 49). This could limit detection because relatively few clones can be screened, and perhaps biases result in a manner that underestimates the carrier rates within herds.

Contamination of drinking or recreational waters with enterohemorrhagic *E. coli* (EHEC) has resulted in an increase in the number of outbreaks and deaths from hemolytic-uremic syndrome in humans (11, 28). Found in the gastrointestinal tract of cows, EHEC, which can carry *stxI*, *stxII*, and *eaeA* virulence genes, is transmitted by food or water supplies pol-

luted with cow fecal waste. An outbreak in Wyoming during the summer of 1998 resulted in 157 people becoming ill from the consumption of spring water contaminated with *E. coli* O157:H7, a strain carrying the *stxI*, *stxII*, and *eaeA* genes (28). Continuing outbreaks, due primarily to contamination of beef with O157:H7, have led to 4,528 cases of reported illness in the United States in 2002 (6), illustrating the endemic nature of this pathogen in cow-raising and dairy facilities (24). A variety of public health benefits could be derived from assessing the status of pathogen frequency in herds prior to shipment to slaughterhouses or the use of waste for manure spreading (4, 8, 17), which can place ground or surface waters at risk for introduction of this pathogen. Most studies to assess the occurrence of EHEC on farms screen individual animals using fecal swabs (12, 34).

A review of the agricultural and veterinary literature indicated that earlier work examined individual waste samples of pigs, comparing the prevalence of STII with three other enterotoxins within ETEC, and found that 74% of diarrheic pigs carried STII (26). Sampling of individual healthy animals has shown these traits to be less common, as indicated in studies by Celemin et al. (5), where STII occurred in 3% of *E. coli* isolates from healthy piglets, and by Osek (30), where *E. coli* carrying STII was not detected in healthy piglets. Both diseases appear to decrease with age, but little is known about the frequency of toxin gene occurrence in the waste disposal sites for these animals. A multiplex PCR study (12) of 17,050 single isolates from cow fecal samples found that 0.08% were *E. coli* O157:H7 and carried *stxI*, *stxII*, and *eaeA*. Also, using single isolates, those researchers reported no detection of O157:H7 genotypes in 312 cow waste lagoons tested. Other work that examined the

* Corresponding author. Mailing address: Department of Environmental Analysis and Design, University of California, Irvine, Irvine, CA 92697. Phone: (949) 824-5281. Fax: (949) 824-2056. E-mail: bholson@uci.edu.

TABLE 1. PCR primers and probes

Gene	Targeted region ^a (bp)	Name	Sequence (5' to 3')	Annealing temp (°C)	Product size (bp)
LTIIa	697–714	LTIIa-F	GGTGTGCATTTTCAGCGAC	61	358
	1035–1054	LTIIa-R	TGGTATATTCCGGGTGGACG		
	815–834	IC-F	GCATGGAGAAAGAGATGAGC		
STII	999–1019	IC-R	CTTACCACATAGATCCCACG	57	173
	470–494	STII-F	GCATCTATGTTTCGTTTTTCTATTG		
	625–642	STII-R	GCAACCATTATTTGGGCG		
LTIIa	502–521	STII-F	TGCCTATGCATCTACACAAT	47	113
	595–614	STII-R	TAGAGATGGTACTGCTGGAG		
	862–899	prLTIIa	CTGATGACTGGCATTCTGTCTGGTCAGGTATATGCTGG		
STII	533–566	prSTII	GATCTGTGTGAACATTATAGACAAATAGCCAAGG	58	34

^a Referenced from GenBank accession numbers M17894 (LTIIa) and M35586 (STII). F, forward primer; R, reverse primer.

occurrence of *stxI*, *stxII*, and *eaeA* genes in isolates of *E. coli* from cow rectal fecal swabs showed the presence of these genes and that prevalence was highest during the summer season (23, 31).

This investigation was initiated to determine the frequency of enterotoxigenic and enterohemorrhagic toxin-associated genotypes among *E. coli* from cow and pig waste disposal facilities. A major aim of this research was to determine the prevalence and whether nested PCR increased detection of these toxin genes within the *E. coli* populations of each waste treatment system. This research used a five-membrane filter most-probable-number (MPN) method coupled with single or nested PCR to determine the prevalence within *E. coli* populations from waste disposal sites of the two enterotoxin genes, LTIIa and STII, and the three genes (*stxI* and *stxII* [Shiga toxins] and *eaeA*) associated with EHEC, thus designating these toxin genes as biomarkers of disease potential.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sample collection. Twenty (250-ml) samples from five cow waste lagoons, six (250-ml) samples from five pig waste lagoons, and two (6-liter) samples from a stream located downstream from a pig farm were collected. From each lagoon at five different cow farms throughout California, one sample was collected for each season over a 1-year period. The *stxI* and *-II* and *eaeA* genes were not screened seasonally. Herd size ranged from 300 to 3,000 on these farms. Pig waste lagoon water samples were from farms in North Carolina, Colorado, and Iowa, and herd size for these farms ranged from 80 to 8,200. Seasonal collection for swine farms was not possible due to inconsistencies of farmer participation, resulting in six fall samples and two summer samples screened for STII. Upon collection, all samples were stored on ice, shipped overnight, and processed within 8 h of arrival.

Bacterial strains. ETEC strains containing the pTC201 plasmid or the pRAS-1 plasmid (19, 20) were used as positive controls for the LTIIa and STII toxin genes, respectively. EHEC strain 4718 donated by V. K. Sharma was used as the positive control for the *stxI*, *stxII*, and *eaeA* genes. *E. coli* control strains were grown with agitation (150 rpm; model 420; Orbital Shaker, Marietta, Ohio) overnight in Luria-Bertani media; only ETEC strains were supplemented with 20 µg of ampicillin/ml. Each strain was stored at –70°C in 30% sterile glycerol. The negative control used for hybridization was *Aeromonas hydrophila* (ATCC 7966), which was grown on ampicillin dextrin agar overnight at 35°C. The DNA extract was stored at –70°C until confirmation by hybridization.

Membrane filtration. Dilutions of 10⁰ to 10⁻³ ml, except in the case of stream water where volumes of 0.1 to 1,000 ml were used, were filtered through a 0.45-µm-pore-size (47 mm in diameter) nylon membrane filter (Osmonics). Each filter was placed on mTEC agar (Difco), and contents were incubated at 35°C for 1.5 h, followed by 44.5°C for 20 ± 2 h (9). Yellow colonies were enumerated, followed by flooding with 1 ml of phosphate-buffered saline (137 mM NaCl, 2.7 mM KCl, 4.3 mM Na₂HPO₄ · 7H₂O, and 1.4 mM KH₂PO₄ [pH 7.3]). All colonies on each filter were suspended in the buffer with a sterile rubber policeman and

were pipetted into 1.5-ml tubes. Afterward, tubes were centrifuged for 10 min at 12,000 × g, the supernatant was discarded, and pelleted cells were kept for DNA extraction.

DNA extraction. Two DNA extraction methods were used. The first extraction procedure was a modified version of the glass bead method (42). The modifications were the addition of 53 µl of 10% cetyltrimethylammonium bromide in 0.7 M NaCl and 8 µl of 0.5 M NaCl to the lysis buffer after 1 ml of guanidine thiocyanate (5.3 M guanidine thiocyanate, 10 mM dithiothreitol, 1% Tween 20, 0.3 M sodium acetate, and 50 mM sodium citrate [pH 7.0]) had been added.

The phenol-chloroform extraction method (45) used omitted the addition of Tris-HCl-saturated phenol to the DNA after the freeze-thaw cycles. An additional wash step was added after the isopropanol precipitation of the DNA. The DNA was washed in 200 µl of 75% ethanol (reagent grade), kept at –20°C for 1 h, and processed as described in the method. The extracted DNA without further purification was stored at –50°C until PCR analysis.

PCR amplification. Outer primers for the LTIIa toxin gene (19) and inner primers for the STII (20) toxin gene, shown in Table 1, were obtained from previous research. The specificity of primers was determined in these earlier studies. A second set of primers for each toxin trait was developed for nested PCR (Table 1). These primers were tested for cross-reactivity by screening all sequences contained in The Institute for Genomic Research (<http://www.tigr.org/tdb/>) and GenBank (<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/>) by using BLAST (1). Amplification was performed by using 1 to 10 µl of DNA sample extract for each 50-µl reaction as recommended by the manufacturer (Perkin-Elmer or Promega). Thirty PCR cycles (95°C for 30 s, 61°C [LTIIa] for 30 s or 57°C [STII] for 30 s, and 72°C for 30 s) were carried out in a Perkin-Elmer (model 9600, version 1.05; Boston, Mass.) DNA thermal cycler with an initial start at 95°C for 1 min and a final extension at 72°C for 6 min. Conditions for the second reaction were the same, except the LTIIa and STII annealing temperatures were 56 and 47°C, respectively. PCR primers for *stxI*, *stxII*, and *eaeA* and their amplification were as described by Sharma et al. (40).

Product visualization. PCR products were visualized on a 2% agarose gel containing 5 µg of ethidium bromide/ml by using a UV transilluminator (UVP, Inc., model TM-20, Upland, Calif.) and were documented by using ImageStore 5000 (version 2.03, Upland, Calif.). A 25-bp ladder (Gibco Life Technologies, Carlsbad, Calif.) was used to determine fragment size.

Controls. Positive and negative controls were run with each PCR. Negative PCR results were further tested for interference by the addition of target DNA to the DNA extract from each negative sample, followed by PCR and visualization as described above.

Confirmation. Results were confirmed by Southern hybridization with dot blot or gel transfer (3) to positively charged 0.45-mm-pore-size nylon filters (MSI). Filters were exposed to X-Omat film (Eastman Kodak, Rochester, N.Y.) at –80°C for 6 to 18 h depending on the activity of the probe. The probes were 5' end labeled with [³²P]ATP by using T4 kinase as suggested by the manufacturer (Gibco Life Technologies, Carlsbad, Calif.).

Quantification of toxin gene occurrence. Four dilutions with five replicates per dilution were made for each sample, but only three dilutions were used in computing the MPN (13). PCR analysis indicated a positive or negative result for each filter, and MPN values were calculated from these numbers. One toxin gene per *E. coli* was assumed for MPN calculations based on the pTC201 plasmid or the pRAS-1 plasmid and our limit of detection (19, 20). Each prevalence value was calculated by dividing the MPN value for toxin occurrence by the MPN value




FIG. 1. Improvement in detection of LTIIa, STII and *stxI*, *stxII*, and *eaeA* toxin genes gained from using single- and nested-PCR MPN values. Symbols: LTIIa (▲), STII (■), and *stxI*, *stxII*, and *eaeA* (●).

for total number of *E. coli* isolates per 100 ml with 95% confidence intervals calculated by Excel.

Statistical analysis. The Friedman test was used to analyze the prevalence among seasons and farms. The percent change between single and nested PCRs was analyzed with the Wilcoxon signed-ranks test. All statistical analysis was performed with the SPSS statistical software system (version 9.0; SPSS, Inc., Chicago, Ill.).

RESULTS

The occurrence of LTIIa and STII per 100 ml of waste lagoon water with single and nested PCRs is shown in Fig. 1. Interference with PCR from impurities extracted along with the DNA was not detected with our interference control test in single- or nested-PCR negative results.

Overall, when single PCR was used, only 5% of all cow waste lagoon samples were negative for LTIIa, while no pig waste lagoon and 50% of stream water samples were negative for STII. Of the two single-PCR negative samples, one from a cow waste lagoon and one from a sampling point located downstream from a pig farm, both were positive after nested PCR. This resulted in a small improvement in detection of four and two times, respectively. These two data points are near the intercept of the x and y axes in Fig. 1.

Single- and nested-PCR results for LTIIa samples (Fig. 1) indicate that 50% of the samples increased by less than 1 log and 10% increased by 2 logs. The data from pig farms (Fig. 1) indicate that 67% of samples had a <1-log increase, while 33% showed an improvement of ≥ 1 log but ≤ 2 logs. The overall increase found with nested PCR in the detection frequencies across farms and seasons was 0.6 and 1 log, for the STII and LTIIa, respectively. A statistically significant improvement was shown in the detection of the LTIIa trait ($P < 0.01$) with the Wilcoxon test to compare single- and nested-PCR results, but no improvement was found for the STII trait ($P \geq 0.05$).

In Fig. 2A the prevalence of LTIIa by farm is shown. Farm 3 had the lowest prevalence values (1:>1,000 *E. coli* isolates [1,000 *E. coli*]), while farm 5 had the highest (1:1 *E. coli*). The 95% confidence intervals shown suggest that there is variability among samples. The prevalence for pig farm waste lagoons was never less than 1:33 *E. coli*, while the prevalence in the two stream samples below pig farms showed the greatest range from 1:2 to 1:600 *E. coli*.

In summary, the prevalence found by using single PCR




FIG. 2. Prevalence of toxin gene occurrence among all *E. coli* in waste lagoon waters with 95% confidence interval. Prevalence is displayed from lowest to highest frequency of occurrence. (A) LTIIa toxin gene. Symbols: ◆, farm 1; ▲, farm 2; ■, farm 3; □, farm 4; and ○, farm 5. (B) STII toxin gene. Symbols: ◆, farms 1 to 5; and ■, stream water samples. (C) *stxI*, *stxII*, and *eaeA* genotype. Each sample represents a different site.

ranged from 1 LTIIa:2 *E. coli* to 1 LTIIa:8,889 *E. coli* in cow waste lagoons. After nested PCR, the number of positives increased, changing the range of the prevalence to 1 LTIIa:1 *E. coli* to 1 LTIIa:2,051 *E. coli*. For pig waste lagoon waters, the range was 1 STII:1 *E. coli* to 1 STII:327 *E. coli* after single PCR and 1 STII:1 *E. coli* to 1 STII:33 *E. coli* after nested PCR. For the two stream water samples below the pig farm, the prevalence of the STII trait was 1 STII:>24 *E. coli* and 1 STII:13 *E. coli* with single PCR. After nested PCR, the prevalence increased to 1 STII:600 *E. coli* and 1 STII:2 *E. coli*.

Positive PCR results were assessed with band visualization and/or Southern hybridization. Only one sample required Southern hybridization to detect the positive nested-PCR re-




FIG. 3. Electrophoretic analysis of nested-PCR products (LTIIa toxin gene), from cow waste lagoon sample farm 3 winter season, on an ethidium bromide-stained 2% agarose gel (top panel) and dot blot of corresponding samples (bottom panel). Lanes 1 and 8, 50-bp marker; lane 2, positive control; lane 3, negative control; lane 4, dilution 10⁻¹ replicate A; lane 5, dilution 10⁰ replicate D; lane 6, dilution 10⁰ replicate C; and lane 7, dilution 10⁰ replicate E.

sults (Fig. 3). The MPN positives changed from 200 to 400 for the winter season at cow farm 3, which increased the prevalence of the trait by 2.9-fold (from 1 LTIIa:356 *E. coli* to 1 LTIIa:123 *E. coli*). Southern hybridization did not change results for STII.

The simultaneous occurrence of the three genes associated with the O157 genotype was analyzed by farm across seasons using single or nested PCR (Table 2; Fig. 1). The prevalence of the three genes, *stxI*, *stxII*, and *eaeA*, occurring simultaneously varied from 1:10 *E. coli* to 1:>889 *E. coli* with nested PCR (Table 2 and Fig. 2C), while individual toxin gene prevalence ranged from 1:1.4 to 1:800 *E. coli* for *stxI*, 1:6 to 1:889 *E. coli* for *stxII*, and 1:2 to 1:235 *E. coli* for *eaeA*. There was no statistically significant change in prevalence of these traits from single to nested PCR ($P > 0.05$) due to the small number of farms ($n = 10$).

Variation in the prevalence of the LTIIa and STII traits among dairy and swine wastewaters can also be seen in Fig. 2A

and B. When the prevalence of the LTIIa toxin gene was analyzed among farms and among seasons with the Friedman ranked-means test, no statistically significant differences were found ($P > 0.05$). The STII toxin gene was not analyzed for prevalence among farms or seasons due to lack of complete seasonal data.

The *stxI*, *stxII*, and *eaeA* genes were screened in the fall, spring, and summer and were found to be present in all farms except at one farm at which *stxII* was absent in the spring sampling. Loss of participating farms over the course of the study prevented actual seasonal comparisons. Statistical analysis of the prevalence of the *stxI*, *stxII*, and *eaeA* genes among farms with the Friedman test showed no significant differences ($P > 0.05$).

Since no statistical differences were found in occurrence across farms and seasons for each trait separately, an overall geometric mean was calculated for the prevalence of each toxin gene within the *E. coli* communities in the waters of waste disposal sites. After nested PCR, these were 1:8.5 *E. coli* for the LTIIa and 1:4 *E. coli* for the STII toxin genes. The geometric mean prevalence of all three *stxI*, *stxII* and *eaeA* genes occurring together was 1:182 *E. coli* with nested PCR. The geometric mean prevalence of *stxI*, *stxII* and *eaeA* genes occurring individually after nested PCR was 1:67 *E. coli*, 1:101 *E. coli*, and 1:43 *E. coli*, respectively. Thus, the relative frequency of each gene within the O157 genotype is *eaeA* > *stxI* > *stxII*. This finding also suggests that in this study the frequency of these three genes associated with the O157 genotype is significantly lower than that of the LTIIa or STII toxin genes associated with ETEC.

At the 95% confidence level (Fig. 2), MPN values used to calculate prevalence lead to broad confidence intervals. We estimated the prevalence of traits per filter to gain a perspective on our prevalence values derived from geometric MPN mean values. We screened all the colonies that grew on a filter for toxin gene occurrence in one PCR. For example, the ratio of PCR-positive results for LTIIa to the total number of *E. coli* isolates screened per filter for all samples ranged from 1:1 to $\geq 1:10,000$. As only one positive is obtained per filter, it is impossible to ascertain whether only one colony was positive or a number of colonies were positive for LTIIa if the total num-

TABLE 2. MPN values and prevalence of all three genes (*stxI*, *stxII*, and *eaeA*) in cow waste lagoon waters using nested PCR

Season	Farm	<i>E. coli</i> MPN/100 ml	MPN/100 ml for:			Data for simultaneous occurrence of <i>stxI</i> , <i>stxII</i> , and <i>eaeA</i>	
			<i>stxI</i>	<i>stxII</i>	<i>eaeA</i>	MPN/100 ml	Prevalence of <i>stxI</i> , <i>stxII</i> , and <i>eaeA</i> per no. of <i>E. coli</i> isolates
Fall	2	1.6 × 10 ⁵	1.7 × 10 ³	1.8 × 10 ²	1.4 × 10 ³	<1.8 × 10 ²	1:>889
	3	1.6 × 10 ⁴	8.3 × 10 ¹	8.3 × 10 ¹	1.4 × 10 ²	8.3 × 10 ¹	1:193
	4	1.6 × 10 ⁵	2.8 × 10 ⁴	7.0 × 10 ³	4.6 × 10 ³	4.6 × 10 ³	1:35
	5	1.6 × 10 ⁵	7.8 × 10 ²	7.8 × 10 ²	7.8 × 10 ²	7.8 × 10 ²	1:205
Spring	1	1.6 × 10 ⁴	1.7 × 10 ²	1.3 × 10 ²	2.1 × 10 ²	1.3 × 10 ²	1:123
	3	1.6 × 10 ⁵	4.9 × 10 ²	3.3 × 10 ²	5.4 × 10 ³	2.3 × 10 ²	1:696
	4	1.6 × 10 ⁶	2.0 × 10 ³	9.3 × 10 ³	2.7 × 10 ⁴	2.0 × 10 ³	1:800
	5	2.4 × 10 ⁵	1.8 × 10 ³	<1.8 × 10 ³	6.8 × 10 ³	<1.8 × 10 ³	1:>133
Summer	3	1.6 × 10 ⁴	9.3 × 10 ¹	9.3 × 10 ¹	6.8 × 10 ¹	6.8 × 10 ¹	1:235
	4	2.4 × 10 ⁵	1.7 × 10 ⁵	4.3 × 10 ⁴	1.4 × 10 ⁵	2.3 × 10 ⁴	1:10

ber of *E. coli* isolates exceeds one. Hence, ≥ 1 is used for ratios based on filters. Filter analysis also showed that prevalence was highly variable among our samples, so direct enumeration, even if possible, may not have yielded narrower confidence intervals in this instance. If Southern hybridization probes had been used on our 47-mm filter results at countable dilutions, six samples would have been negative. The MPN method, in which dilutions yield *E. coli* numbers that are too numerous to count, allowed us to detect these traits at very low frequencies. The prevalence data for the enterotoxin and hemorrhagic genes shown in Fig. 2 indicate that broad 95% confidence intervals demonstrate that, while some of our data produced one-for-one occurrence of a trait among the *E. coli* population, it may be that occurrence is actually 1:10 to 30 *E. coli*.

Since there are reports in the literature of STII associated with *E. coli* isolated from diarrheic-cow fecal swabs (41, 48), we also screened for the presence of STII in cow waste lagoon waters. Single-PCR results were negative for all cow farms tested. However, when nested PCR was used, all farms screened were positive for STII. One of the farms screened (farm 2) raised swine and thus was eliminated from further analysis. Of the four remaining farms, the geometric mean occurrence of STII after nested PCR was 1 STII per 968 *E. coli*, compared to the geometric mean occurrence of 1 LTIIa:8 *E. coli* in these four farms. The LTIIa trait is 120 times more frequent in the *E. coli* population than is STII in the cow waste lagoon waters screened. These results suggest that the STII toxin gene trait is carried by cows but at very low frequencies. The design of the study precluded the determination of whether the trait was associated with healthy or diarrheic cows.

DISCUSSION

Nested PCR increased detection of the LTIIa and STII traits 1 to 2 orders of magnitude over the levels previously reported by Khatib et al. (19, 20), who used growth and single PCR. Khatib et al. (19, 20) examined approximately 33 dairy farms from four states with herd sizes of 145 to 5,000 for LTIIa and 33 pig farms from seven states with herd sizes from 900 to 18,000 for STII. These authors also found no difference in occurrence among farms but did find a statistically significant relationship between the number of *E. coli* isolates screened and PCR positives for STII or LTIIa. In their study, LTIIa was detected in 87% of the cow waste lagoon samples when $\leq 2.0 \times 10^4$ *E. coli* isolates were screened and in 100% of the samples when $> 10^5$ *E. coli* isolates were screened. Khatib et al. (19, 20) hypothesized that increased sensitivity was necessary to detect toxin genes at farms at which these traits occurred at low frequencies. In this study, where nested replaced single PCR, all cow farms were positive for LTIIa at each sampling time.

The present study detected STII with nested PCR in all the pig waste lagoon samples, and the prevalence was an order of magnitude greater than found by Khatib et al. (20), who reported an estimated prevalence of one trait per 10^2 *E. coli* when using growth and single PCR. The use of growth does not always increase gene detection, because it also increases extraneous DNA, which in turn may decrease the efficiency of single PCR (43). Overall, nested PCR improved sensitivity in 76% of the samples examined in this study, regardless of the trait being determined.

Differences in the number of traits detected at different sampling times are not unexpected, as the incidence of animals positive for these traits is dependent on factors such as the age distribution of the herd and herd immunity (14). Since the copy number of pTC201 is estimated to be between 10 and 30 per *E. coli* isolate carrying this plasmid (19, 20), it may be that wild-type strains also carry this trait in multiple copies per cell. This could produce the 1:1 prevalence values seen in Fig. 2. Our prevalence data for LTIIa provide evidence additional to that of Tsai et al. (46) who, having used magnetic bead hybridization with LTIIa as the probe, also suggested that this gene may have a copy number greater than 1 in some wild-type *E. coli* isolates. It could also be that *E. coli* carrying these traits does not die off at the same rate as *E. coli* with other toxin genes. Although this has not been reported for any of the diarrheic *E. coli* strains, such resistance has been shown for *E. coli* strains and other bacterial genera carrying antibiotic resistance (2, 25).

Our nested-PCR results on the occurrence of *stxI*, *stxII* and *eaeA* in cow waste lagoons were comparable to those of Ibeke et al. (18), who used real-time PCR, suggesting that nested PCR provides a similar level of detection. The drawback of nested PCR is the potential for contamination through carryover (32). We found no evidence of carryover in this study.

In clinical microbiology, single isolates are often used to study pathogenicity (37, 50), as well as to understand the genetic diversity within a species. However, a population approach may be more useful, when the frequency of the target trait is low ($< 1:50$ *E. coli*). A population approach is applicable to screen herds for pathogens or to determine the effectiveness of a pathogen eradication program. One reason that direct extraction procedures gained widespread acceptance in microbial ecology is the inefficiency of screening single isolates, the inability to culture many species, and the bias introduced from studying small sample sizes (7). For example, Moon et al. (26) found 1 STII trait per 20 *E. coli* isolates from fecal samples of healthy pigs. After the usage of a population approach, our data from waste lagoon ponds showed a higher frequency of occurrence for STII among *E. coli* (1 STII:4 *E. coli*), which seems reasonable since Fairbrother (10) reported that 75% of *E. coli* isolates from diarrheic piglets harbored STII.

Although STII was detected in cow waste lagoon waters screened in this study, its occurrence is low (1 STII:968 *E. coli* or 0.1% of *E. coli*) and below those reported for STII toxin genes associated with *E. coli* from diarrheic animals other than pigs (15). While the published literature is limited, the frequency of STII in diarrheic hosts was 0.75% (27), 1.1% (40), and 4.4% (15) of humans, cows, and dogs, respectively. An explanation for the occurrence of STII in cattle waste was reported by Shin et al. (41), who isolated *E. coli* with the colonization factor, K99, from diarrheic piglets. Furthermore, shared homology among the subunits of adhesion factors may result in nontargeted hosts being colonized by ETEC (41).

Outside Thailand the LTIIa toxin gene has not been reported in hosts other than cattle (33). Individual isolate studies on the occurrence of the *stxI*, *stxII* and *eaeA* genes in *E. coli* reported this genotype in diarrheic hosts other than cattle. For example, 1.1% of horse fecal samples (16), 3.1% of dog fecal samples (16), and 1.7% of sheep rectal fecal swabs (31) have tested positive for this genotype.

In this study the simultaneous prevalence of the three traits associated with the O157 genotype is 1:182 *E. coli* (0.55% of *E. coli*), similar to reports in the literature (38, 47). Our study examined occurrence among total *E. coli* while most examined occurrence in fecal samples. The rate of infection in cows is estimated to be 1 to 2 percent (38) and is hence difficult to detect on a farm through single-isolate screening of fecal samples. Our data suggest that screening large numbers of isolates provides an easy approach for detecting this genotype in farm waste ponds.

Quantitation using either the three-tube (22, 36) or five-tube MPN method should be acceptable when a Poisson distribution (far more negatives than positives) is expected (13) as in this study, for which we used five-tube MPN in combination with single and nested PCR to determine the frequency of occurrence of toxin genes. All MPN methods (13) have large confidence intervals around the predicted MPN value per volume or weight. Thus, the prevalence rates presented in this paper should be considered in the context of the broad confidence intervals displayed in Fig. 2. The data do suggest that population studies provide unique insights into the level of trait occurrence in herds, even considering these confidence intervals. The agreement between the values for the frequency of *stxI*, *stxII*, and *eaeA* traits obtained by Ibekwe et al. (18) by using real-time PCR and our nested-PCR MPN values indicates the validity of an MPN approach.

Single-PCR data (19, 20) and the literature on LTIIa and STII occurrence (26, 30) suggest that the frequency of the traits after dilution in waste lagoon waters would be below detection if a direct assessment technique such as colony blots of *E. coli* grown on membranes was used (35, 39). For example, STII has been reported in 5.4% of individual isolates from healthy pigs (26). *E. coli* carrying the trait will be diluted by other *E. coli*, so the frequency of STII occurrence would be far below the 1:20 expected prevalence. Given the high frequencies of STII in this study, membrane filtration coupled with Southern hybridization, as reported by Todd et al. (44), could be the preferable method for computing prevalence rates. Narrower confidence limits should result if both total population and specific gene traits are enumerated at countable dilutions. However, in this study, prevalence rates based on LTIIa trait occurrence showed the number of *E. coli* colonies screened per filter yielding a positive ranged from 1 to 10,000, due to farm 3 (Fig. 2A). If colony blots had been used in this study, some positive samples would not have been detected and confidence intervals would still have been large based on sample-to-sample variability. Also, when frequency is highly variable as we found, the MPN test provides a relatively rapid and reliable method to ascertain trait frequency, because too-numerous-to-count samples are screened.

The *stxI*, *stxII*, and *eaeA* occurrence data compiled by Ibekwe et al. (18) from dairy waste lagoons and our data agreed for the same region in California. Importantly, his work showed that, after treatment in a facultative pond and a wetland, the effluent from these showed little if any reduction in the frequency of *stxI*, *stxII*, and *eaeA*. This suggests that *E. coli* is surviving and perhaps growing, introducing the potential for these pathogens to enter environmental waters. For example, LTIIa was used as a biomarker to track fecal contamination from a dairy farm in New York State. The farm's manure pit tested positive for the

presence of LTIIa, as did the well water being used for human consumption below a dairy. It was hypothesized that *E. coli* was being transported by an underground stream to the user's well (unpublished data from 2002). These findings emphasize the importance of federal regulations requiring disinfection of well waters and treatment for groundwater under the influence of surface waters (<http://www.epa.gov/safewater/therule.html#Surface>).

Detection of toxin occurrence at the herd level could easily be accomplished through screening *E. coli* populations from composite fecal or waste lagoon samples. In turn this would increase our understanding of the occurrence of these traits and their endemicity within animal herds. This information could lead to better management practices on farms, preventing further disease spread with corresponding economic benefits, and could decrease the risk of disease to the public.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by U.S. Department of Agriculture National Research Initiative Competitive Grant 99-25102-8596.

We gratefully acknowledge dairy and swine farmers, N. Hall at the University of Iowa, T. Shultz of UC Cooperative Extension, and R. Sizemore and his graduate students at the University of North Carolina for their support with sample collection. We also thank V. K. Sharma for donating EHEC strain 4718, J. Wang for her help with DNA extractions, and Y. L. Tsai and L. Khatib for their assistance with the techniques used in this research.

REFERENCES

- Altschul, S. F., T. L. Madden, A. A. Schaffer, J. Zhang, Z. Zheng, W. Miller, and D. J. Lipman. 1997. Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **25**:3389-3402.
- Armstrong, J. L., J. J. Calomiris, and R. J. Seidler. 1982. Selection of antibiotic-resistant standard plate count bacteria during water treatment. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **44**:308-316.
- Ausubel, F., R. Brent, R. E. Kingston, D. Moore, J. G. Seidman, J. A. Smith, and K. Struhl (ed.). 1997. Short protocols in molecular biology, 3rd ed. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, N.Y.
- Avery, S. M., A. Small, C. A. Reid, and S. Buncic. 2002. Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis characterization of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O157 from hides of cattle at slaughter. *J. Food Prot.* **65**:1172-1176.
- Celemin, C., P. Rubio, P. Echeverria, and S. Suarez. 1995. Gene toxin patterns of *Escherichia coli* isolated from diseased and healthy piglets. *Vet. Microbiol.* **45**:121-127.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2002. Summary of notifiable diseases—United States, 2000. *Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep.* **49**:1-102.
- Cho, J. C., and S. J. Kim. 2000. Increase in bacterial community diversity in subsurface aquifers receiving livestock wastewater input. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **66**:956-965.
- Clark, A., S. Morton, P. Wright, J. Corkish, F. J. Bolton, and J. Russell. 1997. A community outbreak of Vero cytotoxin producing *Escherichia coli* O157 infection linked to a small farm dairy. *Commun. Dis. Rep. CDR Rev.* **7**:R206-R211.
- Dufour, A. P., E. R. Strickland, and V. J. Cabelli. 1981. Membrane filter method for enumerating *Escherichia coli*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **41**:1152-1158.
- Fairbrother, J. M. 1992. Enteric colibacillosis, p. 489-497. *In* A. Lemana (ed.), *Diseases of swine*, 7th ed. Iowa State University Press, Ames.
- Feldman, K. A., J. C. Mohle-Boetani, J. Ward, K. Furst, S. L. Abbott, D. V. Ferrero, A. Olsen, and S. B. Werner. 2002. A cluster of *Escherichia coli* O157: nonmotile infections associated with recreational exposure to lake water. *Public Health Rep.* **117**:380-385.
- Galland, J. C., D. R. Hyatt, S. S. Crupper, and D. W. Acheson. 2001. Prevalence, antibiotic susceptibility, and diversity of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 isolates from a longitudinal study of beef cattle feedlots. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **67**:1619-1627.
- Greenberg, A. E., L. S. Clesceri, and A. D. Eaton (ed.). 1992. Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater, 18th ed. American Public Health Association, Washington, D.C.
- Gyles, C. L. (ed.). 1994. *Escherichia coli* in domestic animals and humans. CAB International, Wallingford, United Kingdom.
- Hammermueller, J., S. Kruth, J. Prescott, and C. Gyles. 1995. Detection of toxin genes in *Escherichia coli* isolated from normal dogs and dogs with diarrhea. *Can. J. Vet. Res.* **59**:265-270.
- Hancock, D. D., T. E. Besser, D. Rice, E. D. Ebel, D. E. Herriott, and L. V.

- Carpenter.** 1998. Multiple sources of *Escherichia coli* O157 in feedlots and dairy farms in the northwestern USA. *Prev. Vet. Med.* **35**:11–19.
17. **Heuvelink, A. E., F. L. van den Biggelaar, E. de Boer, R. G. Herbes, W. J. Melchers, J. H. Huis in't Veld, and L. A. Monnens.** 1998. Isolation and characterization of verocytotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O157 strains from Dutch cattle and sheep. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **36**:878–882.
 18. **Ibekwe, A. M., P. M. Watt, C. M. Grieve, V. K. Sharma, and S. R. Lyons.** 2002. Multiplex fluorogenic real-time PCR for detection and quantification of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in dairy wastewater wetlands. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **68**:4853–4862.
 19. **Khatib, L. A., Y. L. Tsai, and B. H. Olson.** 2002. A biomarker for the identification of cattle fecal pollution in water using the LTIIa toxin gene from enterotoxigenic *E. coli*. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **59**:97–104.
 20. **Khatib, L. A., Y. L. Tsai, and B. H. Olson.** A biomarker for the identification of swine fecal pollution in water using the STII toxin gene from enterotoxigenic *E. coli*. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, in press.
 21. **Klemm, P.** 1994. Fimbriae: adhesion, genetics, biogenesis and vaccines. CRC Press, Boca Raton, Fla.
 22. **Kowalchuk, G., A. Stienstra, G. Heilig, J. Stephen, and J. Woldendorp.** 2000. Molecular analysis of ammonia-oxidising bacteria in soil of successional grasslands of the Drentsche A (The Netherlands). *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* **31**:207–215.
 23. **Lahti, E., M. Keskimäki, L. Rantala, P. Hyvonen, A. Siitonen, and T. Honkanen-Buzalski.** 2001. Occurrence of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 in Finnish cattle. *Vet. Microbiol.* **79**:239–251.
 24. **LeJeune, J. T., T. E. Besser, and D. D. Hancock.** 2001. Cattle water troughs as reservoirs of *Escherichia coli* O157. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **67**:3053–3057.
 25. **Leong, L. Y. C., D. Osaka, H. F. Ridgway, and B. H. Olson.** 1982. Chlorine-resistance of coliform-tested bacteria isolated from raw and treated sewage effluent. *Wat. Sci. Technol.* **14**:127–132.
 26. **Moon, H. W., R. A. Schneider, and S. L. Moseley.** 1986. Comparative prevalence of four enterotoxin genes among *Escherichia coli* isolated from swine. *Am. J. Vet. Res.* **47**:210–212.
 27. **Okamoto, K., Y. Fujii, N. Akashi, S. Hitotsubashi, H. Kurazono, T. Karasawa, and Y. Takeda.** 1993. Identification and characterization of heat-stable enterotoxin II-producing *Escherichia coli* from patients with diarrhea. *Microbiol. Immunol.* **37**:411–414.
 28. **Olsen, S. J., G. Miller, T. Breuer, M. Kennedy, C. Higgins, J. Walford, G. McKee, K. Fox, W. Bibb, and P. Mead.** 2002. A waterborne outbreak of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 infections and hemolytic uremic syndrome: implications for rural water systems. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **8**:370–375.
 29. **Osek, J.** 1998. Application of polymerase chain reaction for determination of toxins in *Escherichia coli* strains isolated from pigs with diarrhea. *Acta Microbiol. Pol.* **47**:409–413.
 30. **Osek, J.** 1999. Prevalence of virulence factors of *Escherichia coli* strains isolated from diarrheic and healthy piglets after weaning. *Vet. Microbiol.* **68**:209–217.
 31. **Paiba, G. A., J. C. Gibbens, S. J. Pascoe, J. W. Wilesmith, S. A. Kidd, C. Byrne, J. B. Ryan, R. P. Smith, M. McLaren, R. J. Futter, A. C. Kay, Y. E. Jones, S. A. Chappell, G. A. Willshaw, and T. Cheasty.** 2002. Faecal carriage of verocytotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* O157 in cattle and sheep at slaughter in Great Britain. *Vet. Rec.* **150**:593–598.
 32. **Picken, M. M., R. N. Picken, D. Han, Y. Cheng, and F. Strle.** 1996. Single-tube nested polymerase chain reaction assay based on flagellin gene sequences for detection of *Borrelia burgdorferi sensu lato*. *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* **15**:489–498.
 33. **Pickett, C. L., D. L. Weinstein, and R. K. Holmes.** 1987. Genetics of type IIa heat-labile enterotoxin of *Escherichia coli*: operon fusions, nucleotide sequence, and hybridization studies. *J. Bacteriol.* **168**:5180–5187.
 34. **Pradel, N., V. Livrelli, C. De Champs, J.-B. Palcoux, A. Reynaud, F. Schertz, J. Sirot, B. Joly, and C. Forestier.** 2000. Prevalence and characterization of Shiga toxin-producing *Escherichia coli* isolated from cattle, food, and children during a one-year prospective study in France. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **38**:1023–1031.
 35. **Ramotar, K., B. Waldhart, D. Church, R. Szumski, and T. J. Louie.** 1995. Direct detection of verotoxin-producing *Escherichia coli* in stool samples by PCR. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **33**:519–524.
 36. **Rosado, A., L. Seldin, A. C. Wolters, and J. D. van Elsas.** 1996. Quantitative 16S rDNA-targeted polymerase chain reaction and oligonucleotide hybridization for the detection of *Paenibacillus azotofixans* in soil and the wheat rhizosphere. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* **19**:153–164.
 37. **Sanchez-Carlo, V., J. S. McDonald, and R. A. Packer.** 1984. Virulence factors of *Escherichia coli* isolated from cows with acute mastitis. *Am. J. Vet. Res.* **45**:1775–1777.
 38. **Sargeant, J. M., J. R. Gillespie, R. D. Oberst, R. K. Phebus, D. R. Hyatt, L. K. Bohra, and J. C. Galland.** 2000. Results of a longitudinal study of the prevalence of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 on cow-calf farms. *Am. J. Vet. Res.* **61**:1375–1379.
 39. **Schultz, C., G. J. Pool, R. van Ketel, B. de Wever, P. Speelman, and J. Dankert.** 1994. Detection of enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* in stool samples by using nonradioactively labeled oligonucleotide DNA probes and PCR. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **32**:2393–2397.
 40. **Sharma, V. K., E. A. Dean-Nystrom, and T. A. Casey.** 1999. Semi-automated fluorogenic PCR assays (TaqMan) for rapid detection of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and other Shiga toxinigenic *E. coli*. *Mol. Cell. Probes* **13**:291–302.
 41. **Shin, S. J., Y. F. Chang, M. Timour, T. L. Lauderdale, and D. H. Lein.** 1994. Hybridization of clinical *Escherichia coli* isolates from calves and piglets in New York State with gene probes for enterotoxins (STaP, STb, LT), Shiga-like toxins (SLT-I, SLT-II) and adhesion factors (K88, K99, F41, 987P). *Vet. Microbiol.* **38**:217–225.
 42. **Stacy-Phipps, S., J. J. Mecca, and J. B. Weiss.** 1995. Multiplex PCR assay and simple preparation method for stool specimens detect enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* DNA during course of infection. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **33**:1054–1059.
 43. **Theron, J., D. Morar, M. Preez, V. S. Brozel, and S. N. Venter.** 2001. A sensitive seminested PCR method for the detection of *Shigella* in spiked environmental water samples. *Water Res.* **35**:869–874.
 44. **Todd, E. C., R. A. Szabo, J. M. MacKenzie, A. Martin, K. Rahn, C. Gyles, A. Gao, D. Alves, and A. J. Yee.** 1999. Application of a DNA hybridization-hydrophobic-grid membrane filter method for detection and isolation of verotoxigenic *Escherichia coli*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **65**:4775–4780.
 45. **Tsai, Y. L., and B. H. Olson.** 1991. Rapid method for direct extraction of DNA from soil and sediments. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **57**:1070–1074.
 46. **Tsai, Y. L., J. Y. Le, and B. H. Olson.** 2003. Magnetic bead hybridization to detect enterotoxigenic *Escherichia coli* strains associated with cattle in environmental water sources. *Can. J. Microbiol.* **49**:391–398.
 47. **Tutenel, A. V., D. Pierard, J. Uradzinski, E. Jozwik, M. Pastuszczyk, J. Van Hende, M. Uyttendaele, J. Debevere, T. Cheasty, J. Van Hoof, and L. De Zutter.** 2002. Isolation and characterization of enterohaemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 from cattle in Belgium and Poland. *Epidemiol. Infect.* **129**:41–47.
 48. **Woodward, M. J., R. Kearsley, C. Wray, and P. L. Roeder.** 1990. DNA probes for the detection of toxin genes in *Escherichia coli* isolated from diarrhoeal disease in cattle and pigs. *Vet. Microbiol.* **22**:277–290.
 49. **Woodward, M. J., and C. Wray.** 1990. Nine DNA probes for detection of toxin and adhesin genes in *Escherichia coli* isolated from diarrhoeal disease in animals. *Vet. Microbiol.* **25**:55–65.
 50. **Wooley, R. E., K. R. Spears, J. Brown, L. K. Nolan, and O. J. Fletcher.** 1992. Relationship of complement resistance and selected virulence factors in pathogenic avian *Escherichia coli*. *Avian Dis.* **36**:679–684.
 51. **Wray, C., and M. J. Woodward.** 1997. *Escherichia coli* infections in farm animals, p. 49–84. In M. Sussman (ed.), *Escherichia coli*: mechanisms of virulence. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England.
 52. **Yamasaki, S.** 2002. Development of vaccine for enterohaemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* infection. *Nippon Rinsho* **60**:1083–1088. (In Japanese.)