Characterization of the Archaeal Community in a Minerotrophic Fen and Terminal Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism-Directed Isolation of a Novel Hydrogenotrophic Methanogen
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Minerotrophic fen peatlands are widely distributed in northern latitudes and, because of their rapid turnover of organic matter, are potentially larger sources of atmospheric methane than bog peatlands per unit area. However, studies of the archaeal community composition in fens are scarce particularly in minerotrophic sites. Several 16S rRNA-based primer sets were used to obtain a broad characterization of the archaeal community in a minerotrophic fen in central New York State. A wide archaeal diversity was observed in the site: 11 euryarchaeal and 2 crenarchaeal groups, most of which were uncultured. The E1 group, a novel cluster in the order Methanomicrobiales, and Methanosaetaceae were the codominant groups in all libraries and results of terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism (T-RFLP) analysis. Given its abundance and potential hydrogenotrophic methane contribution, the E1 group was targeted for culture attempts with a low-ionic-strength medium (PM1). Initial attempts yielded Methanospirillum-dominated cultures. However, by incorporating a T-RFLP analysis as a quick selection tool for treatments and replicates, we were able to select an enrichment dominated by E1. Further dilutions to 10−9 and tracking with T-RFLP yielded a strain named E1-9c. E1-9c is a novel coccoid hydrogenotrophic, mesophilic, slightly acidophilic methanogen and is highly sensitive to Na2S concentrations (requires <0.12 mM for growth). We propose E1-9c as the first representative of a novel genus in the Methanomicrobiales order.

Peatlands are wetlands where the rate of accumulation of organic matter exceeds its rate of decomposition (26), producing organic peat soil. On a global basis, these ecosystems are estimated to store more than 30% of all terrestrial soil carbon (28), and their anoxic and reducing conditions are suitable for CH4 production by methanogenic Archaea (67). It is important to gain a better understanding of the processes and microorganisms involved in CH4 production in peatlands because these ecosystems represent the largest natural sources of CH4 for the atmosphere, levels of which have more than doubled in the past 200 years (17). Moreover, peatlands are not homogeneous ecosystems but include a wide range of sites that can substantially differ in vegetation, hydrology, and chemistry (9, 62). The distinctions between bogs and fens include many of these differences.

Bogs (ombrotrophic or rain-fed sites) are dominated by Sphagnum mosses (27); receive only atmospheric inputs of water, cations, and nutrients; and are nutrient-poor, low-pH (<4) ecosystems (26). In contrast, fens have a greater plant diversity, are commonly dominated by sedges and woody plants, receive the inputs of groundwater and runoff from surrounding uplands, and exhibit higher alkalinity and pH levels (5.5 to 7 in moderate minerotrophic fens; >7 in calcareous fens) (26, 64).

Bogs are abundant in boreal regions and have been the focus of many studies of methanogenic processes, environmental controls, and methanogenic Archaea (2, 3, 9, 11, 36). However, fens have received far less attention, despite their abundance and significant potential for CH4 emissions.

Minerotrophic fens are widely distributed in the subarctic regions (55), the western boreal forests of North America (65), and other northern temperate zones (6, 68). Additionally, minerotrophic fens have been found to have higher rates of CH4 production (7, 70), higher overall CH4 cycling (13, 39, 59), and relatively rapid hydrology and short residence time compared to bogs (59), and their highly abundant vascular plants such as sedges and cattails facilitate the flow of CH4 from deeper layers (50, 71). Thus, fens could have a greater global impact on CH4 emissions than bogs in terms of CH4 equivalents per unit area, in the near future.

Only a few studies have characterized the archaeal community composition in fens from northern peatlands. Studies have been done in oligotrophic or poor fens (21, 22, 47), as well as in mesotrophic ones (20, 36), but minerotrophic fens have remained largely ignored. Nevertheless, the studies of poor fens and bogs (5, 22, 36, 42) showed that Archaea are primarily associated with novel or uncultured groups in addition to some close relatives to known methanogens. Thus, we could expect that minerotrophic fens contain some of these or new uncultured groups.

Cultured representatives of these novel archaeal groups are desirable for understanding their ecology and role in peatlands. Although the cultivation of methanogens from peatlands has proven to be difficult (69), some recent attempts have succeeded, enriching (12, 58) or isolating novel methanogens.
from bogs, such as "Candidatus Methanoregula boonei" (11) in the order Methanomicrobiales, as well as known methanogens from the order Methanobacteriales (41).

The goal of this study was to characterize the archaeal community of a minerotrophic fen, with particular emphasis on methanogenic Archaea and related groups. The community characterization showed that members of an uncultured group in the order Methanomicrobiales, previously designated E1 (15), were likely to be important in methanogenesis from H2-CO2. Members of E1 were targeted, and only after culturing efforts were coupled to molecular profiling using terminal restriction fragment length polymorphism (T-RFLP) analysis were we able to isolate a methanogen from the group. Phylogenotypes related to the strain E1-9c have been found in minerotrophic fens, landfills, and anaerobic bioreactors, and the strain is proposed as a representative of a new genus in the order Methanomicrobiales.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study site and sampling. Michigan Hollow (MH; local name) is a 15-ha, minerotrophic fen near the village of Danby in central New York State (42°21′ N, 76°28′ W) and was initially described by Bernard and MacDonald (8). The peatland is located in the lower part of a small forested watershed and receives surface and subsurface water flow from the surrounding forested uplands (59). The vegetation at the site is currently dominated by Carex laciniosa (lake sedge), Zyphea foliosa (common caltrop), and Lythrum salicaria (purple loosestrife). Triplicate peat samples were collected anaerobically at a 20-cm depth in April 2005, using procedures described previously (10). Samples were processed inside of an anaerobic glove box (Coy) no later than 2 h after sampling.

16S rRNA gene amplification, cloning, and phylogenetic analysis. DNAs from peat samples and enrichment cultures were extracted in duplicate with a Power Soil DNA or Ultratlean Microbial DNA extraction kit (MoBio), respectively, using the manufacturer’s protocol. PCR amplifications were performed as previously described (15). Amplifications were done with three archaeal primer combinations and a eubacterial combination with their corresponding annealing temperatures: primer 1Af -1100r (30) at 50°C, primer 1Af-1492r at 50°C, primer 27f-1492r at 50°C. PCR products were examined by electrophoresis on 1% agarose gels.

Two clone libraries for each of the three archaeal primer combinations were constructed as described previously (5, 15). Sequences were compared against those in the GenBank database to ensure that newly reported relatives were those in the GenBank database to ensure that newly reported relatives were not already known. Phylogenotypes related to the strain E1-9c have been found in minerotrophic fens, landfills, and anaerobic bioreactors, and the strain is proposed as a representative of a new genus in the order Methanomicrobiales.

Archaeal diversity assessed by 16S rRNA gene analysis. The 16S rRNA gene clone libraries revealed a diverse archaeological community on the shallow layer of MH fen (Fig. 1 and 2). Some euryarchaeal phyotypes were associated with known methanogen clades such as Methanosetaeaceae, Methanosarcinaceae, Methanobacteriaceae, and Methanosporilaceae. Other phyotypes were related to uncultured groups such as “group E1,” “subaqueous cluster” (SC), “group E1,” marine benthic...

[Partial text]

RESULTS

Archaeal diversity assessed by 16S rRNA gene analysis. The 16S rRNA gene clone libraries revealed a diverse archaeological community on the shallow layer of MH fen (Fig. 1 and 2). Some euryarchaeal phyotypes were associated with known methanogen clades such as Methanosetaeaceae, Methanosarcinaceae, Methanobacteriaceae, and Methanosporilaceae. Other phyotypes were related to uncultured groups such as “group E1,” “subaqueous cluster” (SC), “group E1,” marine benthic...
group D (MBD), and rice cluster II (RC-II) or to recently cultured groups such as “E2/
Methanoregula” (E2) (11) and rice cluster I (RC-I) (56). Crenarchaeal phylotypes that belonged to the uncultured groups rice cluster IV (RC-IV) and rice cluster VI (RC-VI) were also recovered (Fig. 2; see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material).

The clones associated with the E1 and Methanosaetaceae groups were numerically dominant in all libraries, regardless of the primer combination, with average abundances of 35% and 39%, respectively. The other archaeal groups always represented smaller fractions in the libraries (Fig. 2). The dominant E1 and Methanosaetaceae groups were similarly well covered by the different primer combinations. However, differences in coverage were observed in the detection of minority groups. The 1AF-ArchLSU47 primer combination did not recover sequences from the RC-I, RC-II, MBD, Methanospirillaceae, and Methanobacteriaceae groups, although its libraries contained fewer clones than the other two primer sets (Fig. 2). The 1AF-1492r primer set did not recover the RC-II, Methanobacteriaceae, MBD, and E1/H11032 groups. However, this set recovered several crenarchaeal sequences (RC-IV and VI) that made up 16% of these libraries (Fig. 2). The rarefaction analysis of OTUs at 97.5% sequence identity (see Fig. S2 in the supplemental material) indicated that 1Af-ArchLSU47 has a narrow scope and that the 1AF-1492r and 1Af-1100r primers had higher efficiencies. The collective analysis of the libraries showed that the total archaeal diversity is significantly higher than for each primer and far from saturation (see Fig. S2 in the supplemental material).

Additionally, the sequences from groups E1 and Methanosaetaceae showed phylogenetically robust clusters (Fig. 1, underlined bootstrap values) containing three clusters each when using a 97.5% similarity cutoff value. Some phylotypes in cluster E1-c (Fig. 1) did not satisfy the similarity criterion, but because they were represented only by single sequences, they were provisionally classified as part of this single cluster. Similar patterns of clusters were observed when the ITS region, amplified by the 1AF-ArchLSU47 combination, was included in the sequence analysis (not shown). None of the E1 clusters contained a sequence from a cultured organism,
whereas only cluster a in *Methanosetaeaceae* contained sequences from cultured *Methanoseta* strains (Fig. 1).

**CH₄ production by peat soil incubated with substrates and T-RFLP analysis.** CH₄ production (Fig. 3) values were similar among all treatments over the initial 10 days of incubation, but after 14 days, the acetate and the H₂-CO₂/rifampin-amended samples produced more CH₄. The euryarchaeal community structure of peat samples was analyzed with T-RFLP using the 1Af-1100r primers (Fig. 4). Peak identity was predicted by in silico digestion of the clone libraries, as previously described (15). *Methanospirillum* was the only member from the E2/H11032 group (15) whose sequences were recovered from MH fen, and thus, *Methanospirillaceae* was used, instead of E2', as the identity of the peak. T-RFLP profiles of peat samples before incubation (Fig. 4A and B, panel 1) showed E1 and *Methanosarcinaceae* as the dominant peaks, along with smaller peaks predicted to represent groups such as *Methanosarcinaceae*, *Methanobacteriaceae*, E2, *Methanospirillaceae*, RC-I/SC, and RC-II, in agreement with the clone libraries.

Endpoint analysis of peat samples incubated with shaking and amended with rifampin but without H₂-CO₂ (Fig. 4B, panel 2), showed an increase in the proportion of the peak corresponding to *Methanosarcinaceae*, with a corresponding reduction in the E1 and *Methanobacteriaceae* peaks. A smaller increase in the *Methanosarcinaceae* peak was found in cultures incubated statically without rifampin (data not shown). Meanwhile, in the H₂-CO₂/rifampin-amended peat, E1 remained as the dominant peak (Fig. 4B, panel 3), while *Methanobacteriaceae* and *Methanosarcinaceae* also increased their proportions, and *Methanosetaeaceae* was significantly decreased.

**T-RFLP-assisted isolation of a novel methanogen.** Several attempts were made to isolate a member of the E1 group, using the low-ionic-strength medium PM1 (11, 12) adjusted to higher pH (ca. 5.5 to 6) to reflect fen conditions. The initial attempts using fresh or incubated peat and dilution-to-extinction transfers, in PM1 plus H₂-CO₂, yielded *Methanospirillaceae*-dominated or *Methanospirillaceae*-only cultures (data not shown). In order to target the isolation of a member of the E1 group, a euryarchaeal-specific T-RFLP profiling was used to assess culturing conditions or replicated samples where E1 increased its proportion. The H₂-CO₂/rifampin-incubated peat (Fig. 4B, panel 3) was used to inoculate replicated sets of PM1 plus H₂-CO₂ with different buffers (HOMOPIPES or MES), different pH values (4.5 or 5.6), and different incubation temperatures (28 or 34°C). A set of tubes in the treatment with MES, pH 5.6, and 28°C produced CH₄ in dilutions as high as 10⁻⁵. T-RFLP profiling showed that in these 10⁻⁵ tubes, E1 increased its fraction to around 70%, while *Methanosarcinaceae*
and Methanosetaeae were less than 9%. Methanospirillaceae was also significantly present, making up around 21% of the total profile (Fig. 4C, panel 1). The 10^-5 enrichment was transferred to a new round of dilutions, and CH_4 production was observed with 10^-6 dilutions. T-RFLP analysis of a sample from this dilution indicated that E1 was the only euryarchaeal group detectable by our 1Af-1100r primers (Fig. 4C, panel 2). We performed four more dilution rounds, obtaining growth (turbidity) and CH_4 production at 10^-9 dilutions. T-RFLP analysis indicated that E1 was the only group present in the culture (Fig. 4C, panel 3).

An additional set of PM1 tubes with the same conditions that successfully enriched for E1 were inoculated with fresh peat soil and produced CH_4 up to the 10^-3 dilution. However, the T-RFLP profiling showed that Methanospirillaceae was the group primarily enriched (Fig. 4C, panel 4). Microscopy observations of this enrichment confirmed the dominance of Methanospirillaceae-like cells (not shown). Other treatments, such as that with HOMOPIPES, pH 4.5, and 34°C incubation, produced CH_4 at dilutions only as high as 10^-7, and T-RFLP analysis of this dilution indicated a strong enrichment of the Methanobacteriaceae group (Fig. 4C, panel 5). These two treatments were not pursued further, since E1 was not preferentially enriched.

Purity and initial characterization of isolate E1-9c. The culture, produced by six sequential dilutions to extinction, was designated strain E1-9c and contained cells with a single morphology (Fig. 5A), and results from several tests indicated its purity. Organic substrates such as yeast extract (0.2 g liter^-1), glucose, pyruvate, and lactate (20 mM) were added to the culture in the absence of rifampin to test for heterotrophic contaminants, and no growth or methanogenesis was observed. Attempts at PCR amplification with the universal bacterial 16S rRNA gene primers 27F-1492r were also unsuccessful. FISH with the universal archaeal probe ARCH915 showed hybridization with all the cells in the culture (Fig. 5C) and no hybridization with the bacterial probe EUB 318 (not shown). Additionally, we constructed a clone library with the primers 1AF-ArchLSU47. All 70 clones had the same restriction pattern, and the three sequenced clones had differences of only 3 bases out of 1,784 bases, which was well within the margin of error for PCR amplifications (66). From these observations, the purity of the E1-9c culture was established. The phylogenetic analysis of the full 16S rRNA gene sequence positioned E1-9c in the order Methanomicrobiales, forming a unique cluster closely related to environmental sequences of the group E1-c (Fig. 1). The E1-9c strain's closest described cultured
relatives are “Candidatus Methanoregula boonei” and Methanospirillum hungatei (with 93% and 92% identity, respectively).

Strain E1-9c cells had a coccoid shape with diameters ranging from 0.5 to 0.8 μm and were often in pairs (Fig. 5). Cells were nonmotile and showed strong blue fluorescence when illuminated with light near 420 nm, indicative of abundant coenzyme factor 420 (F420) in the cells (Fig. 5B). E1-9c used H2-CO2 as a methanogenic substrate, with a doubling time of ca. 2 days at pH 5.6 and 28°C (standard growth conditions). In addition to mineral nutrients, E1-9c also required a vitamin solution (4), coenzyme M (0.5 mM), and acetate (0.4 mM) as a carbon source in the growth medium.

CH4 production by E1-9c was observed from 7°C to 37°C, with an optimum near 30°C (Fig. 6A). CH4 production above half of its maximum rate occurred between 24°C and 35°C, indicating the mesophilic nature of the isolate. E1-9c grew at a pH range of pH 4.8 to 6.5, with an optimum range of 5.3 to 5.5 (Fig. 6B). Growth at pH values lower than 4.8 could not be obtained using MES buffer. We attempted to use HOMOPIPES and citric acid as low-end pH buffers, but no growth was observed even at similar pH values where growth was observed using MES, which suggests some detrimental effects of these buffers on the growth of strain E1-9c.

The effects of H2S or Na2S · 9H2O, a common reducing agent and sulfur source in anaerobic growth medium, on the growth of strain E1-9c was examined (Fig. 6C). Very low concentrations of Na2S (0.01 to 0.08 mM) improved the growth of E1-9c compared to that of our standard H2S gas addition (~0.001 mmol). The optimum Na2S addition was around 0.04 mM, and additions above 0.12 mM completely inhibited the growth of strain E1-9c.

DISCUSSION

MH is a minerotrophic fen where multiyear observations of CH4 flux have shown significant CH4 emissions into the atmosphere, particularly in rainy years (59). Minerotrophic fens such as MH are abundant in the boreal forest biome, particularly in North America (6, 62); nevertheless, the methanogenic communities inhabiting these fens have scarcely been assessed. To better characterize the euryarchaeal community from the MH fen site, multiple clone libraries were constructed using different primer combinations targeting the 16S rRNA gene (Fig. 1 and 2). Individually, each primer combination achieved near sampling saturation but with only a 58 to 26% coverage of all OTUs at 97.5% sequence identity (see Fig. S2 in the supplemental material), suggesting that a multiple primer approach is required for thorough community characterization.
The libraries showed a diverse community where the majority of phyotypes are associated with uncultured groups (Fig. 1 and 2). The recovered phyotypes were associated with a total of 11 euryarchaeal and 2 crenarchaeal groups, as follows: Methanosaetaceae, SC, RC-I, RC-II, group E2, group E1, Methanospirilaceae, group E1’, Methanobacteriaceae, MBD, RC-IV, and RC-VI (Fig. 1 and 2). Although this study had a more extensive number of screened clones (352 total in Fig. 2) and used different primers from other studies, the euryarchaeal composition in the fen had a diversity that was similar or greater (see Fig. S3 in the supplemental material) than that in some other bogs, oligotrophic fens, or mesotrophic fens (5, 21, 42, 54).

In terms of primers, the 1Af-1100r combination (30) amplified all euryarchaeal groups detected by the different mixes, making this primer set optimal for the euryarchaeal coverage in MH fen (Fig. 2). The 1Af-1492r and 1Af-ArchLSU47 primers missed sequences of ca. five minor groups each, and the 1Af-1492r mixture was the only one that amplified crenarchaeal sequences in addition to euryarchaeal ones (Fig. 2 and see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material). The 1Af-ArchLSU47 primer mixture allowed the recovery of full 16S and ITS rRNA gene sequences from many euryarchaeal groups in MH fen, although RC-I sequences were not recovered. rRNA gene sequences from the RC-I genome (19) had perfect matches with the 1Af-ArchLSU47 primers, but the size of the ITS regions, 582 bases in two and 344 bases in one (19), would lead to fragments larger than 2 kb, which are less efficient to amplify or clone with standard techniques. Fragment size could represent a limiting factor in the coverage of the 1Af-ArchLSU47 primers. Nevertheless, the retrieval of a large fragment of the rRNA operon (~1.75 kb, average size) allowed the first examination of the variability (size and sequence) of the ITS regions of uncultured Euryarchaeum, including the presence or absence of tRNA (see Table S1 in the supplemental material), in addition to providing full 16S rRNA sequences for phylogenetic analysis and primer or probe design to study novel groups such as the SC, E1, or E1’ group. A previous study has examined the ITS variability of uncultured Archaea, and it examined marine Crenarchaeum (24).

Multiple phyotypes associated with several uncultured euryarchaeal groups were also recovered from MH fen. Groups such as RC-I, RC-II, RC-IV, RC-VI (30), and MBD (63) have also been found in bogs (5, 15, 42, 58), poor fens (22, 36), and lake sediments (25, 37). The abundance of these groups varies also been found in bogs (5, 15, 42, 58), poor fens (22, 36), and acidic peatlands in New York State (5, 15). At MH fen, E1’ made up only 5 to 8% of the clones, suggesting a small population size, which could make its detection difficult with low coverage libraries. In contrast, phyotypes related to the SC group have been found in lake sediments, contaminated soils, and nitrate-rich canal sediments (38, 51, 61). Interestingly, a sequence affiliating with SC (Fig. 1, Arch-D) was shown to be the unique archaeal partner in an enrichment from nitrate-rich sediments, performing anaerobic oxidation of methane coupled to denitrification (51). Whether members of the SC group play a role in anaerobic oxidation of methane in freshwater sites like the minerotrophic MH fen is unknown.

Groups E1’ and SC have recently been identified in peatlands (15), and some associated phyotypes have been observed for other ecosystems. Group E1’, which belongs to the order Methanomicrobiales, has until now been found only in forested and acidic peatlands in New York State (5, 15). At MH fen, E1’ made up only 5 to 8% of the clones, suggesting a small population size, which could make its detection difficult with low coverage libraries. In contrast, phyotypes related to the SC group have been found in lake sediments, contaminated soils, and nitrate-rich canal sediments (38, 51, 61). Interestingly, a sequence affiliating with SC (Fig. 1, Arch-D) was shown to be the unique archaeal partner in an enrichment from nitrate-rich sediments, performing anaerobic oxidation of methane coupled to denitrification (51). Whether members of the SC group play a role in anaerobic oxidation of methane in freshwater sites like the minerotrophic MH fen is unknown.

CH₄ production among H₂-CO₂- or acetate-amended and nonamended samples (stimulated and endogenous methanogenesis, respectively) was similar in the initial days of incubation (Fig. 3), suggesting that both aceticlastic and hydrogenotrophic methanogens were active and not substrate limited in unamended peat slurries, whether grown shaken or static. Although incubation of peat slurries provides homogenous conditions and reduces environmental variables, the processing of the samples by diluting the peat soil and shaking the incubations (18) can perturb them (sometimes called the “vial effect”), often releasing substrates or disrupting syntrophic interactions. The shift in nonamended samples toward members of Methanosarcinaceae, metabolically versatile and relatively fast-growing methanogens (72), is consistent with greater substrate availability and suggests that the endogenous rates of methanogenesis in these samples are overestimates of those in situ.

Several studies have reported that hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis is dominant in bogs, while aceticlastic methanogenesis is rated from important to dominant in minerotrophic fens (2, 3, 15, 16, 20, 31, 39, 50). In minerotrophic fens, the relative contribution of hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis has been found to range from 30 to 55% (20, 39). Thus, both aceticlastic and hydrogenotrophic methanogenesis can be significantly important in minerotrophic fens such as MH. The importance of both methanogenic pathways in MH fen is supported by the abundance of the two dominant members of the euryarchaeal community, Methanosetaeaceae, presumably aceticlastic methanogens, and E1, presumably hydrogenotrophic methanogens (Fig. 1 and 4).

All of the Methanoseta isolates described are known to use only acetate for CH₄ production (23) and have a lower minimum threshold for acetate (5 to 70 μM) than the other known aceticlastic methanogenic genus Methanosarcina (0.2 to 1.2 mM) (34). Methanoseta can outcompete Methanosarcina in sites with low acetate concentrations such as some minerotrophic fens (20), which is in agreement with the clone library and T-RFLP results observed for MH fen. MT was significantly more abundant than Methanosarcinae in the amplified portion of the archaeal community (Fig. 2 and 4), and this has also been observed for a Finnish fen (20). The Methanoseta clusters observed in this study (with a 97.5% similarity threshold) were similarly abundant in the
different libraries, but only one cluster (Methanosaetaeae a in Fig. 1 and 2) had associated isolates.

The E1 group was recently identified by phylogenetic analysis and a common terminal restriction site in our T-RFLP analyses (15) and represented a minor fraction of the methanogenic community in nearby bogs. The results of this study suggest that this group can be numerically significant and diverse in the MH fen, as indicated by its abundance in clone libraries, T-RFLP profiles, and the existence of several sub-clusters when applying a 97.5% similarity cutoff to clone libraries (Fig. 1, 2, and 4). In addition, sequences phylogenetically associated with E1 have also been detected in bogs, tundra wetland soil, anaerobic bioreactors, and landfill sites (see Fig. S1 in the supplemental material), suggesting a broad ecological and geographical distribution of its members.

Group E1 belongs to the order Methanomicrobiales and does not have a reported isolated representative, and its closest cultured relatives are the hydrogenotrophic methanogens Methanospirillum hungatei and “Candidatus Methanoregula boonei” (Fig. 1). E1 was likely to be made up of hydrogenotrophic methanogens, as indicated by the increase in the E1 peak in T-RFLP traces from H2-CO2-amended peat slurries (Fig. 4B, panel 3). However, Methanospirillaceae spp., a group of relatively fast-growing H2-CO2-utilizing methanogens that were poorly represented in the MH fen clone libraries, commonly outgrew E1 in MH fen enrichments. Fortunately, the use of T-RFLP analysis made it possible to overcome this interference by identifying a sample, among several treatments, where a member of the E1 group was preferentially enriched (Fig. 4C, panel 1). Several dilutions to extinction were subsequently performed, and the selective enrichment of E1 was verified by T-RFLP (Fig. 4C). Strain E1-9c was obtained by this process, and the purity of the isolate was tested and confirmed by several analyses (Fig. 5).

E1-9c is a novel isolate in the Methanomicrobiales order. It is associated with the E1 group and is closest to members of the E1-c subgroup, with 95% similarity (Fig. 1). Group E1-c made up a small fraction in our libraries (Fig. 2), but given that some sequences within this group showed a similarity lower than 97.5%, this group could contain other clusters which could be resolved as more sequences become available in the future. In addition, very recently the isolation of strain NOBI-1 was reported (56). The NOBI-1 16S rRNA gene sequence has 94% addition, very recently the isolation of strain NOBI-1 was resolved as more sequences become available in the future. In terms of uncultured phylotypes, it is unclear because of poor resolution in the part of the tree identity with that of E1-9c, and the predicted terminal restriction site in our T-RFLP traces from H2-CO2-amended peat slurries (Fig. 1 and 2) had associated isolates.

Strain E1-9c is a mesophilic and mildly acidophilic methanogen (optimum growth at 30°C and pH 5.5). Interestingly, this strain required the presence of H2S or Na2S but at concentrations below 0.1 mM (Fig. 6C), which was far lower than the 1 to 2 mM commonly added as a reducing agent in growth media for the culture of many other methanogens (40, 45, 60). H2S and Na2S have shown toxicity in anaerobic cultures (14, 46), with a wide variability among methano-
ing acidic peatlands in central New York State, USA. Environ. Microbiol. 
8:1428–1440.


