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Abstract

*Rhizomucor miehei* lipase (RML), as a kind of eukaryotic protein catalyst, plays an important role in food, organic chemical, and biofuel industries. However, RML retains its catalytic activity below 50°C, which limits its industrial applications at higher temperatures. Soluble expression of this eukaryotic protein in *Escherichia coli* not only helps to screen for thermostable mutants quickly, but also provides the opportunity to develop rapid and effective ways to enhance the thermal stability of eukaryotic proteins. Therefore, in this study, RML was engineered using multiple computational design methods followed by filtration via conservation analysis and functional region assessment. We successfully obtained a limited screening library (only 36 candidates) to validate thermostable single point mutants, among which, 24 of the candidates showed higher thermostability and 13 point mutations resulted in at least 1°C higher in apparent melting temperature ($T_{m}^{pp}$). Furthermore, both of the two disulfide bonds predicted from four rational design algorithms were further introduced and found to stabilize RML. The most stable mutant, T18K/T22I/E230I/S56C-N63C/V189C-D238C, exhibited a 14.3°C higher $T_{m}^{pp}$ and a 12.5-fold increase in half-life at 70°C. The catalytic efficiency of the engineered lipase was 39% higher than that of the wild-type. The results demonstrate that rationally designed point mutations and disulfide bonds can effectively reduce the number of
screened clones to enhance the thermostability of RML.

**Importance:** *R. miehei* lipase, whose structure being well established, can be widely applied in diverse chemical processes. Soluble expression of *R. miehei* lipase in *E. coli* provides an opportunity to explore efficient methods for enhancing eukaryotic protein thermostability. This study highlighted a strategy that combined computational algorithms to predict single point mutations and disulfide bonds in RML without losing catalytic activity. Through this strategy, a RML variant with greatly enhanced thermostability was obtained. This study provides a competitive alternative for wild-type RML in practical applications and further a rapid and effective strategy for thermostability engineering.

**Keywords:** *Rhizomucor miehei* lipase, rational design, thermostability, disulfide bond
Lipases (EC 3.1.1.3), which catalyze the incorporation of new fatty acids into the triglyceride component of a fat or rearrange the existing fatty acids in a triglyceride, have unparalleled advantages in academic and industrial applications, such as mild reaction conditions, extensive adaptability to raw materials, environmental friendliness, and easy down-stream processes (1, 2). In order to broaden their applications at higher temperature ranges, many studies have focused on improving the thermal stability of lipases. Most of dramatic increases in thermostability (>15°C) have been found in bacterial lipases, such as *Bacillus subtilis* lipase A, which can be expressed in *Escherichia coli* and subjected to high-throughput screening of the modified mutants (3, 4). However, *E. coli* can not express very large proteins. Moreover, for SS-bond rich proteins and proteins that require post-translational modifications, *E. coli* is not a suitable system for choice (5). For hydrophobic proteins, such as lipases from filamentous fungi or yeast, the formation of cytoplasmic or periplasmic inclusion bodies is common for expression in *E. coli*. Hence, eukaryotic host cells such as *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Pichia pastoris* are usually used to obtain high-level production of soluble proteins with high catalytic activity (6). As known, enhancing the thermostability of proteins expressed in eukaryotic systems is a particularly exciting but challenging field, since eukaryotic cells have a relatively long culture time and are not suitable for high-throughput
screening of the thermostable mutants (7). Therefore, methods that can effectively reduce the size of mutant libraries to obtain thermostable mutants are urgently needed for stabilizing proteins expressed in eukaryotic systems.

*Rhizomucor miehei* lipase (RML) is highly active under diverse conditions, making it an important industrial biocatalyst in food chemistry, biodiesel production, and organic chemistry (8). It is commercially available in both soluble and immobilized forms (i.e. Lipozyme RM IM). Although RML possesses most of good merits as an industrial catalyst, poor thermostability to withstand elevated temperatures confines its wider applications, because thermal denaturation will cause a precipitous drop in the reaction velocity at higher temperatures (9). Noel et al. (10) investigated the effect of thermal deactivation on the stability of *R. miehei* lipase in pure water. The results showed that the half-life of the enzyme ($\tau_{1/2}$) at 55°C and 60°C was only respectively 35 min and 5 min, indicating that RML is unstable at higher temperatures. On the other hand, RML is usually expressed in eukaryotic systems such as *P. pastoris* to obtain correctly folded, precisely modified, and highly expressed soluble proteins (11). Thus, soluble expression of RML in *E. coli* allows for high-throughput screening of thermostable mutants, which makes RML a model system to test methods of improving thermostability of proteins expressed in eukaryotic systems (12).
A variety of methods have been used to improve the thermodynamic and kinetic stability of lipases, such as immobilization (1, 13), medium engineering (14), and protein engineering (15). In protein engineering, irrational design (i.e. directed evolution), semi-rational design, and rational design are three general methods employed to obtain thermostable mutants of a target enzyme (14). First of all, numerous studies have shown that directed evolution can enhance the performance of diverse enzymes in elevated temperatures based on error-prone PCR and DNA shuffling (3, 16-20). Nimpiboon et al. improved thermostability of amylomaltase from a mesophilic *Corynebacterium glutamicum* (CgAM) by error-prone PCR and site-directed mutagenesis (21). Mutants A406V and A406L both showed an increase in activity and thermostability. However, screening large numbers of colonies (usually >10^4) is time-consuming and is infeasible for enzymes expressed in slow-growing hosts. As another popular method, the B-factor iterative test (B-FIT), which rigidifies the most flexible residues in a protein, has been employed as a semi-rational strategy to improve the thermostability of many enzymes (4, 22-25). Roth et al. engineered uronate dehydrogenase from *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* by semi-rational design (26). The triple variant A41P/H101Y/H236K showed higher thermodynamic stability with a $T_{50}^{15}$ value of 62.2°C (3.2°C improvement) compared to wild-type. Nevertheless, thousands of clones
have to be constructed for screening, and a large number of stabilized mutations are missed if residues with high B-factors are chosen for mutagenesis, as they are not located in the most flexible regions (7). What is worth mentioning is that as the mechanisms of thermal stability for many enzymes have been clarified, computational design has become feasible as a rational design method to improve thermostability (27). Compared with irrational and semi-rational strategies, rational design provides reasonable predictive accuracy and reduces labor-intensive experimental screening. By using computational predictions of protein, four mutants of *Serratia marcescens* lipase were constructed by Mohammadi et al. (28). G2P and G59P were more thermostable than the wild-type enzyme. In view of this, many computational approaches have been developed to predict the stabilizing effects of mutations such as I-mutant (29), FoldX (30), and Rosetta (31). Kim et al. (32) selected appropriate sites of *Candida antarctica* lipase B (CALB) with higher B-factor values, then mutated them with more rigid amino acids as determined by Rosetta Design algorithms. The melting temperature of the mutant R249L obtained from this rational approach was increased by 2.3°C compared to that of wild-type CALB.

Disulfide bond engineering, the directed design of novel disulfide bonds into target proteins, is another means to enhance protein thermostability. Results show that each native SS-bond increases the
stability of a protein by 2.3–5.2 kcal/mol (33). Growing interest in disulfide bond research has also led to the development of a variety of computational methods to assist in screening for potential sites. SSBOND (34), MODIP (35), Disulfide by Design 2 (DbD2) (36), and BridgeD (37) are extensively used algorithms to predict candidate disulfide regions. The stability of a variety of proteins has been successfully enhanced with the aid of these computational methods (38, 39). Farnoosh et al. (40) used Disulfide by Design software, homology modelling and molecular dynamics simulations to select appropriate amino acid pairs for the introduction of disulfide bridge to improve the thermostability of organophosphorus hydrolase. Data analysis showed that half-life of A204C/T234C and T128C/E153C mutants were increased up to 4 and 24 min, respectively.

Recently, several methods were applied to enhance the thermostability of RML, but did not result in a significant increase. The double mutant N120K/K131F, introduced by B-factor screening, retained 63.1% of its residual activity at 70°C compared to 51.0% of the wild-type after a 5-h incubation (25). Disulfide bond engineering was also applied to RML. Newly-formed C96-C106, predicted by the Disulfide by Design server, added 3°C to the optimal temperature (38).

Therefore, the aim of this study was to obtain thermostable mutants of RML with a limited screening library via rational design. Since the
three-dimensional structure of RML has been determined, potential stabilizing point mutations and disulfide bonds were first predicted via multiple computational methods (point mutations with Rosetta ddg_monomer, FoldX, and I-Mutant; disulfide bonds with SSBOND, MODIP, Disulfide by Design 2 (DbD2), and BridgeD). Identification of sites with critical functions or in conservative regions further decreased the number of mutants to be screened. The mutants were expressed, purified, and characterized. The enzymatic properties of the most thermostable mutant were compared with those of wild-type RML.

Results

Rational design of stable mutants by computational algorithms. Rosetta ddg_monomer, FoldX, and I-Mutant were used to predict the stable mutants of RML. Rosetta ddg_monomer was applied to calculate all possible mutation sites, and identified 317 stable mutations. Subsequently, 537 mutations were obtained by FoldX. Positive results of both predictors were then entered into the I-Mutant 3.0 website manually. After combining the results, 60 mutations were recognized as stable by all three predictors and were further processed to eliminate sites with vital functions.

Analysis of functional sites. The Consurf Server (41) was used to estimate the evolutionary conservation of amino acids in RML among homologous sequences. Interestingly, none of the 60 stable mutations
belonged to conserved amino acid sites. Thermostable mutations may be located in critical functional domains that potentially affect catalytic properties. All residues around 5 Å of the catalytic site were selected as immutable because they probably directly contact the substrate (42). In addition, according to Derewenda et al. (43), mutations disrupting salt-bridges, disulfide bonds, and several important functional regions (such as the substrate binding pocket and lid region) were not selected as potential mutable positions. As a result, 36 mutations were retained for further experimental validation. The predicted value of these mutants by each predictor is listed in Supplementary Table S1.

Characterization of single mutants and combined mutants. Primers used for construction of mutants are listed in Table 1 and Supplementary Table S2. Mutated sequences were inserted between EcoRI and HindIII restriction sites of the expression vector pET-28a (+). Each single point mutant was expressed and purified to measure its apparent melting temperature ($T_{\text{app}}^m$) to determine any change in thermostability. Sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) showed that there was a single band at 37 kDa, which coincided with the molecular weight of RML (Supplementary Fig. S1).

The $T_{\text{app}}^m$ value of the mutants was examined by differential scanning...
fluorimetry (44). The results showed that there were 7 unstable, 5 unchanged, and 24 stable candidates (Supplementary Table S1). Due to the average deviation of the experimental data being lower than 1°C, candidates whose $T_{\text{m}}^{\text{app}}$ was at least 1°C higher than that of the wild-type were selected for further experiments. Thr18Lys (T18K, $\Delta T_{\text{m}}^{\text{app}} +4.5^\circ\text{C}$), Thr22Ile (T22I, $\Delta T_{\text{m}}^{\text{app}} +2.4^\circ\text{C}$), and the best mutant of 11 mutants of Glu230, Glu230Ile (E230I, $\Delta T_{\text{m}}^{\text{app}} +5.7^\circ\text{C}$), were selected (Table 2). Double and triple mutants were also designed to observe the synergic effect between the mutants. The $T_{\text{m}}^{\text{app}}$ of T18K/T22I, T18K/E230I, T22I/E230I, and T18K/T22I/E230I separately expressed were 5.2, 8.0, 6.9, and 9.4°C higher than that of the original RML, respectively, indicating that these double or triple mutations synergistically increased the thermostability of RML (Table 2). Figure 1A showed the position of these three mutations in RML.

Comparison of enzyme properties between wild-type RML and the T18K/T22I/E230I mutant. The catalytic properties of the wild-type enzyme and the triple-mutant were further characterized. The WT and the mutant had identical substrate and pH profiles. Their optimal substrate was $p$-nitrophenyl octanoate ($p$-NP C8) (Fig. 2A), and the optimal pH for hydrolysis was 8.0 (Fig. 2B). It was worth noting that the optimum
temperature for hydrolyzing the optimal substrate was increased from 45°C to 55°C (Fig. 2C), nearly the same as the elevation in $T_{\text{app}}$m. The tolerance of enzymes against high temperatures is closely related to protein stability. The triple-mutant retained as much as 95% of its initial activity after a 120-min incubation at 65°C, while the wild-type lipase only retained 13% (Fig. 2D). Under optimum conditions, kinetic parameters of the two enzymes were assayed using $p$-NP C8 as the substrate (Table 3). The comparison of the $k_{\text{cat}}/K_m$ showed that the catalytic efficiency of the two enzymes was almost the same.

Potential residue pairs predicted to form disulfide bonds. All residue pairs that may form disulfide bonds were obtained through four web-accessible methods: DbD2, SSBOND, MODIP, and BridgeD. There were 33 residue pairs meeting the default criteria of DbD2, while SSBOND predicted 92 residue pairs where disulfide bonds can be introduced. 86 potential residue pairs were graded from A to D through the MODIP algorithm. By restricting the distance and dihedral angles of newly formed disulfide bonds, 112 residue pairs were suggested by BridgeD as candidates. Based on these results, 155 residue pairs were predicted by at least one method.

Analysis of functional sites. Residues whose conservation score was
above eight, and residues within 5 Å of the catalytic triad and other important regions (e.g. lid region and oxyanion hole) were treated as immutable. After filtering, 56 potential residue pairs were prepared for stability assessment (Supplementary Table S3).

**Stability assessment and visual inspection of designed disulfide bonds.** FoldX was used to predict the stabilizing effects of designed disulfide bonds. As shown in Supplementary Table S3, 47 residue pairs for possible disulfide bonds showed a positive value, indicating that they destabilized the protein. Models of eight residue pairs predicted to stabilize the protein were constructed and energy-optimized for visual inspection. In the optimized model, six residue pairs were only free cysteines and could not form disulfide bonds. Finally, S56C/N63C and V189C/D238C potentially formed new disulfide bridges and were chosen as promising candidates for site-directed mutagenesis to improve the thermal stability of RML. Figure 1B shows the position of the disulfide bridges and functionally important regions in the enzyme. The S56C/N63C bond is located between two beta-strands (50-56 and 63-69), while V189C/D238C connects an alpha-helix from Pro182 to Ser190 and a free loop from Ser237 to Cys244. Both cysteine pairs were more than 5 Å away from the active site and should not interfere with enzyme activity.

**Expression, purification, and determination of disulfide bond mutants.** S56C/N63C and V189C/D238C were constructed in the same
manner as the T18K/T22I/E230I mutant of wild-type RML. The two disulfide bond mutants were successfully expressed and purified in *E. coli*. Supplementary Figure S1 showed a single band near 37 kDa by SDS-PAGE analysis, which coincided with the theoretical molecular weight of RML. After purification by Nickel-affinity chromatography, the solubility of mutant S56C/N63C (50-60 mg per 1L culture media) was comparable to that of wild-type RML and the triple mutant, while the solubility of V189C/D238C was decreased (20-30 mg per 1L culture media). The formation of disulfide bonds in the two mutants was verified by Ellman’s method. Under non-reducing conditions, one mole of free thiol was detected in all three protein samples because there is a free cysteine at position 153. After treatment with DTT, 6 mol of free thiol groups were released from T18K/T22I/E230I, while 8 mol of sulfhydryl groups were released in the two mutants that contained designed disulfide bonds (Supplementary Table S4). According to the study of RML’s crystal structure by Derewenda et al., there were three native disulfide bridges, Cys40-Cys43, Cys29-Cys268 and Cys235-Cys244, which stabilized the folding of the polypeptide chain in RML (43). This indicated that T18K/T22I/E230I had three native disulfide bridges, and S56C/N63C and V189C/D238C each had four disulfide bonds, indicating successful formation of S56C/N63C and V189C/D238C in the structure of RML.
The effect of introduced disulfide bonds on thermostability.

Enzyme thermodynamic stability is defined as the difference between the Gibbs free energies of the folded and unfolded conformations of a protein. To evaluate the change in thermodynamic stability via mutation, the $T_{\text{m}}^{\text{app}}$ of the purified proteins was assessed. The $T_{\text{m}}^{\text{app}}$ value for T18K/T22I/E230I was 68.1°C. S56C/N63C and V189C/D238C had $T_{\text{m}}^{\text{app}}$ values of 69.3°C and 72.3°C, respectively, which were 1.2°C and 4.2°C higher than that of the T18K/T22I/E230I mutant. These results validated that S56C/N63C and V189C/D238C had a stabilizing effect on RML (Supplementary Fig. S2).

Expression of the combined mutants containing stabilizing disulfide bridges. S56C/N63C and V189C/D238C were combined and introduced into the T18K/T22I/E230I mutant of RML. Due to the low solubility of the disulfide V189C/D238C, 20-30 mg of soluble mutant T18K/T22I/E230I/S56C-N63C/V189C-D238C was collected per 1L culture media. Ellman’s test showed that there were five disulfide bridges in the S56C-N63C/V189C-D238C mutant, which meant that these two disulfide bonds were successfully introduced (Supplementary Fig. S1).

We then investigated the enzymatic properties of the combined mutant, T18K/T22I/E230I/S56C-N63C/V189C-D238C, designated as M7.

Enzymatic properties of the combined mutants. When tested by differential scanning fluorimetry, mutant M7 exhibited a $T_{\text{m}}^{\text{app}}$ of 73.0°C,
which was 4.9°C higher than that of the T18K/T22I/E230I mutant (Fig. 3A). Thus, the effect of beneficial disulfide bridge mutations is synergistic or additive on the thermostability of the target enzyme.

According to the optimum substrate assays (Fig. 4A), we found that for both enzymes, the substrate profile showed no difference. Both enzymes showed the highest catalytic activity towards $p$-NP octanoate (C8). This demonstrated that the introduced disulfide bridges had minimal impact on the enzyme’s optimum substrate. By utilizing $p$-NP C8 as the substrate for hydrolysis, the pH preference was determined. The optimum pH of M7 shifted from 8.0 to 8.5 via the introduction of the two disulfide bonds (Fig. 4B). Under alkaline conditions (pH 7.5–10.0), M7 maintained more than 70% of its maximal activity and was more stable than T18K/T22I/E230I. The increase of optimum temperature agreed with the obtained $T_{\text{m}}^{\text{opt}}$. The T18K/T22I/E230I mutant had an optimum temperature of 55°C, whereas the mutant M7 was most active at 60°C (Fig. 4C).

The kinetic stability of wild-type RML was also compared with T18K/T22I/E230I, and the mutant M7 by their half-lives ($\tau_{1/2}$) at 70°C.
The half-life of wild-type RML at 70°C was only 2.2 min, indicating that
the catalytic activity was lost very quickly. The half-life of M7 at 70°C
was 27.5 min, which was 93% and 12.5-fold longer than that of
T18K/T22I/E230I and the wild-type, respectively (Fig. 3B).

The kinetic parameters of both enzymes were determined with $p$-NP
octanoate as the substrate at their own optimum temperatures and pH
(Table 3). The introduced disulfide bridges impacted the kinetics of the
enzyme. As shown in Table 3, the $K_m$ of mutant M7 decreased by 24%,
indicating that the substrate affinity of M7 was higher than that of
T18K/T22I/E230I. The catalytic constant ($k_{cat}$) of mutant M7 increased
by 3%. Thus, the catalytic efficiency ($k_{cat} / K_m$) of M7 increased by 36%.
The enzyme activities of T18K/T22I/E230I and M7 were measured at
their optimum temperatures with $p$-NP octanoate as the substrate (Table
3). The enzyme activity of M7 was 92.02±4.64 U·mg protein$^{-1}$, a 27%
increase compared to that of T18K/T22I/E230I. This demonstrated that
the introduced disulfide bonds improved the thermostability and enzyme
activity of RML.

**Discussion**

*R. miehei* lipase is a versatile biocatalyst used to produce biodiesel via
transesterification or alcoholsysis, to synthesize esters from carboxylic
acids, to resolve racemic mixtures, to modify polymers, and to catalyze
other reactions (45). RML properly retains its catalytic activity only
below 50°C, which limits its industrial applications at higher temperatures (10). Soluble expression in *E. coli* and *P. pastoris* allows RML to be used as a model system for exploring methods that can enhance thermal stability of proteins expressed in eukaryotic systems. In this study, we aimed to enhance the thermostability of RML by multiple rational design methods.

Soluble expression of wild-type RML in *E. coli* makes it rapid and effective to study ways to improve the thermal stability of eukaryotic proteins. However, in our work to engineer RML, different codon usage bias and formation of multiple disulfide bonds made a great challenge to express eukaryotic proteins in *E. coli*. RML is expressed in the microenvironment of *E. coli*, which may differ from that of the original source. All of these factors may lead to protein instability and aggregation (46). Therefore, selecting the appropriate expression system to obtain sufficient soluble protein is also very important for RML thermostability engineering. In this study, the pET-28a expression vector was selected due to the presence of a strong T7 promoter, and an effective purification system (47). Rosetta-gami 2 (DE3) strain (Novagen) was used aiming at enhancing the expression of heterologous proteins by providing codons rarely used in *E. coli* and improving the formation of disulfide bonds in its cytoplasm (48, 49). Culture conditions may also increase gene expression and protein solubility. The solubility of recombinant protein is
increased by prolonged induction at low temperatures with decreased amounts of IPTG (50, 51). The optimization of culture conditions in our study resulted in cultivation at 16°C for 16h after 0.1mM IPTG induction.

For protein thermostability enhancement, random mutagenesis and B-factor screening are often conducted at the cost of screening thousands of clones. Computer-assisted design for stability-enhanced mutations is a reliable alternative to screening-based approaches (52), but to improve predictive accuracy, it is necessary to devise reliable methods (53). Rosetta and FoldX have been used in combined strategies such as FRESCO and Fireprot to predict mutants with improved stability when supplemented by molecular modeling, evolutionary conservation analysis, and other screening methods (7, 53). These results showed that it is feasible to combine the prediction results of various algorithms. However, filtering over a hundred RML clones was still needed when using the above strategies before conducting wet lab experiments. Considering this, we assumed that the introduction of new algorithms combined with Rosetta and FoldX would further reduce the screening library. I-Mutant has been successfully used to predict stable point mutations (54) and was one of the most reliable predictors in a systematic analysis of 11 online stability predictors using 1,784 single mutations found in 80 proteins (55).

We thus combined the results of Rosetta, FoldX, and I-Mutant to predict stable mutants of RML, followed by an analysis of conserved and
functionally important regions, and obtained 36 mutants with potential stabilizing effects.

Since many mutants are predicted by computational algorithms to enhance thermostability, creating mutants with the best predictive values and only characterizing combined mutants is a common strategy (52, 53, 56). However, this approach inevitably introduces false-positives and weakly stabilizing mutations (7). Here, we examined the melting temperature of all single mutants to find the truly stabilizing mutants. Techniques such as differential scanning calorimetry (DSC), differential scanning fluorimetry (DSF), and circular dichroism (CD) spectroscopy are used to determine changes in melting temperature caused by mutations (15). DSF is a rapid, sensitive, and versatile method for measuring the thermal stability of proteins. DSF experiments require only a small amount (10–25 μL) of protein with minimum protein concentration (0.100–0.300 mg/mL). A 96-well plate inserted into a real-time PCR machine allows the entire measurement process to be completed in less than 2 hours. These features make the DSF method more suitable for rapid measurement of protein thermal stability.

Thermostability assays of single mutants of RML using DSF method revealed that T18K, T22I, and 11 mutants of E230 exhibited a >1°C increase in $T_{\text{m}}^{\text{app}}$, which indicated that these mutants had a strong stabilizing effect, and that E230 is a “hot spot” for thermostability.
engineering (Table 2). The most stabilizing mutation of E230, E230I, was rationally combined with the other two mutants. The results showed that T18K, T22I, and E230I synergistically improved the thermostability of RML (Table 2). The more the mutation sites were superposed, the higher the thermostability. The most stable mutant, T18K/T22I/E230I, which combined the most favorable mutants at each position, showed a 9.4°C higher $T_m^{\text{app}}$ compared to the wild-type (Fig. 3). Enzymatic assays showed that the thermostability profiles of T18K/T22I/E230I were increased compared to that of wild-type RML, while the catalytic properties of the mutated enzyme at higher temperature were almost unchanged.

Moreover, in order to explain the possible reasons of high thermal stability of the T18K/T22I/E230I mutant, the interactions between mutation sites and adjacent amino acids were analyzed. The three-dimensional structure of T18K/T22I/E230I was homology modeled with SWISS-MODEL (57) using RML (PDB ID: 3TGL) as the template. The modeled structure was optimized by the GalaxyRefine Server (58) to eliminate steric clashes and disallowed conformations, and then assessed by PROCHECK (59) and Molprobity (60). The structure that had the lowest Molprobity score with 95.3% of residues in the favored region of the Ramachandran plot was selected for further analysis. We simulated the stabilizing mechanisms of the three mutants (Fig. 5A&B). The change of interactions via mutation was predicted by iMutants (61), and the
overall results are presented in Supplementary Table S5. Mutant T18K might eliminate two side chain-main chain hydrogen bonds and form new cation–pi interaction between Lys18 and Phe261. The number of hydrogen bonds between the main-chain and side-chain is similar in thermophilic and mesophilic proteins (62), thus they are not key determinants in stabilizing proteins. The role of cation–pi interactions in protein stability has been analyzed previously, and Lys significantly contributes to the increased stability of thermophiles (63). Mutants T22I and E230I both introduced hydrophobic interactions and formed additional interactions to stabilize the protein. The strength of hydrophobic interactions, such as those between nonpolar amino acid side chains, increases with elevated temperature until it reaches a maximum value (64). In addition, it has been shown that interactions involving hydrophobic residues, such as Ile, are predominant in thermophilic proteins rather than mesophilic ones, thereby contributing to thermal stabilization (62, 65-67).

Disulfide bond in protein is a covalent bond formed between two sulfur atoms of sulphydryl groups in two cysteine residues. Upon oxidation of two thiols, the disulfide bond links two cysteines and their respective peptide chains. The disulfide bridges may increase the stability
of enzymes since it is not energetically conducive to break these covalent physical forces (68). The motion restriction of the random coil or free loop by disulfide bond crosslink also reduces the conformational entropy of the unfolded state, thus contributing the increase in protein stability (69). However, the introduction of disulfide bonds in proteins does not always enhance stability, and it is still difficult to predict appropriate loci and stabilizing effect of disulfide bonds. This is because existing favorable interactions may be lost while unfavorable contacts are formed in the surrounding residues around disulfide bonds (70). MODIP and DbD have been used successfully to increase the thermal stability of certain proteins, demonstrating their usefulness in predicting potential disulfide bonds (39). It has been suggested that the geometrical models used in most current disulfide bond prediction algorithms may over-limit the dynamic movement of the protein chains and their ability to tolerate some modifications without strain (71), meaning that the use of single algorithms such as MODIP and DbD will not predict nearly half of the formable disulfide bonds (35, 72). These unpredictable disulfide bonds are mainly due to violations of distance and angle limits. BridgeD addresses this problem by using several structural models of the target protein to mimic the flexibility of the backbone. The algorithm includes optimizing the residual side chains nearby to adjust the inserted disulfide bonds. Therefore, we summarized all potential pairs that may form
disulfide bonds from MODIP, DbD2, SSBOND, and BridgeD to obtain disulfide bonds as many as possible to make the protein more thermostable. However, these tools are only concerned with possible disulfide bond formation, and there is no tool to assess the effect of newly introduced disulfide bonds on protein stability (39). YASARA’s FoldX plugin may solve this problem. FoldX minimizes the PDB structure, mutates potential residue pairs to cysteines, and predicts the effects of mutations on protein stability. Whether a disulfide bond is formed or not in the 3D structure can be viewed by YASARA’s 3D graphics and intuitive interface. S56C/N63C and V189C/D238C were predicted to stabilize RML and preferentially form in the 3D structure after visual inspection, and were thus characterized to determine their thermostability profiles. The presence of the disulfide bridge S56C/N63C and V189C/D238C were confirmed by quickly measuring protein sulphydryls. Similar to the T18K/T22I/E230I mutant, S56C/N63C and V189C/D238C further contributed a 1.2°C and 4.2°C higher $T_{\text{m, app}}$, respectively. The newly-formed disulfide bonds S56C/N63C and V189C/D238C that increased the thermostability of RML were both located at the protein surface and far from enzyme catalytic center (Fig. 1B). The disulfide bridge S56C/N63C was situated at the β-sheet of the lipase tertiary structure, while the disulfide bridge V189C/D238C was located between an α-helix and the random coil of the protein. Mutation of amino acid 25
residues located in flexible surface region to cysteines is more likely to obtain beneficial mutants due to avoiding strain formation, minimizing unfavorable contacts with inner residues, and maximizing entropy effects (70).

M7, the mutant that combined the three single point mutations with two introduced disulfide bonds, had a 14.3°C higher $T_{m}^{m}$, a 12.5-fold longer half-life at 70°C, a slight shift in optimal pH, and 47% higher catalytic activity compared to wild-type RML (Figs. 3&4 and Table 3). Moreover, according to kinetic experiments, catalytic efficiency of M7 was 1.39-fold higher than that of wild-type enzyme mainly due to the increase of apparent $k_{cat}$ value. A generally accepted opinion is that there is a trade-off between thermal stability and catalytic activity in the natural evolution process of enzymes to accommodate different temperature in the environment (73). Enzymes with high catalytic efficiency at low temperatures often lose their catalytic activity at high temperatures due to the loss of natural conformation. However, the thermostability of the protein is not always at the expense of the enzyme's affinity and activity. In some extent, it is not contradictory to increase rigidity while increasing affinity and improving catalytic efficiency (74). Several reports have shown that enzymes with both high thermal stability and high catalytic activity were obtained by means of mutagenesis (38, 75).

Last but not the least, recent studies on improvement of lipase
thermostability by protein engineering were compared with this study in Table 4. We found that by means of efficient bacterial expression systems, most bacterial lipases, such as *Bacillus subtilis* lipases, can undergo large-scale screening of mutants, thereby greatly improving their thermostability. However, for most fungal lipases, over 10°C increase in operating temperature is based on the screening of more than 1000 mutants, which represents a huge workload and long experimental period for eukaryotic expression systems such as yeast. Previous rational design methods significantly reduced the experimental screening process of fungal lipases, but rarely got a substantial increase in the thermal stability of mutants. Different from previous studies, reduced screening library and a highly stable mutant were simultaneously obtained by using the method in this study.

---------- Table 4----------

It is important to develop rapid and efficient methods for enhancing the thermostability of proteins expressed in eukaryotic systems. In this study, *R. miehei* lipase, as a model system, was engineered by multiple rational design methods. Our integrated strategy appears to be a general and efficient way to enhance enzyme thermostability without substantial experimental effort. There were only 36 candidates in the screening library of single point mutations, in which 24 candidates increased
stability and 13 mutants increased apparent melting temperature by at least 1°C. Both of the disulfide bonds predicted by our strategy further enhanced the thermostability of RML. Finally, the apparent melting temperature and the catalytic efficiency of the engineered RML were about 15°C and 40% higher, respectively, than those of the wild-type enzyme. These results demonstrate that rational design of point mutations and disulfide bonds can effectively reduce the number of the screened clones, and is thus a good potential strategy for enhancing the thermostability of proteins expressed in eukaryotic systems.

Materials and Methods

Bacterial strains and growth conditions. The RML gene was derived from the wild pro-RML gene (GenBank ID: KP164599.1), and a D3N mutation was introduced to keep the amino acid sequence translated from the gene identical with that from the solved crystal structure (PDB ID: 3TGL). *E. coli* DH5α and *E. coli* Rosetta-gami2 (DE3) (Novagen, Darmstadt, Germany) were cultured in Luria-Bertani medium at 37°C for gene cloning and protein expression, respectively. All strains were stored as glycerol stock at −80°C until use.

Protein X-ray structure treatment. The X-ray crystal structure of lipase from RML (PDB ID: 3TGL) was downloaded from the RCSB PDB database (76). The PDB file was then processed by the clean_pdb script...
of Rosetta to skip missing residues and remove irrelevant molecules.

Point mutations prediction. Prediction by Rosetta. The low resolution mode of the Rosetta ddg_monomer application (Kellogg EH, Leaver-Fay A. https://www.rosettacommons.org Accessed September 19, 2017) was utilized to predict the energy change upon mutation, since the high resolution mode had almost the same accuracy and was time-consuming. The options of the protocol were based on the algorithm published by Kellogg et al. (31) (-ddg::weight_file soft_rep_design -fa_max_dis 9.0 -ddg::iterations 50 -ignore_unrecognized_res -ddg::local_opt_only true -ddg::mean true -ddg::min false -ddg::sc_min_only false).

Prediction by FoldX. Before modeling with FoldX, the <RepairPDB> module (FoldX suite. http://foldxsuite.crg.eu/command/RepairPDB Accessed September 19, 2017) was used to identify those residues that had bad torsion angles or Van der Waals clashes, and to repair them. Subsequently, the output files were submitted to the <BuildModel> module (FoldX suite. http://foldxsuite.crg.eu/command/BuildModel. Accessed September 19, 2017) to estimate the stability effect. The default settings were used and the number of runs was set to 5 to get a better average result.

Prediction by I-Mutant 3.0. The “Protein Structure” mode (University of Bologna, Bologna, Italy. http://gpcr2.biocomp.unibo.it/cgi/predictors/I-Mutant3.0/I-Mutant3.0.cgi
Accessed September 19, 2017) was selected to predict the protein stability change from the crystal structure. The PDB code, chain label, mutated position, new residue code, temperature in degrees Celsius, and pH value were input to the online server. Binary classification was chosen as the prediction method.

**Rational prediction of potential disulfide bonds.** Disulfide by Design 2 (DbD2) (http://cptweb.cpt.wayne.edu/DbD2/index.php Accessed September 19, 2017) is an upgraded version of original DbD application (77) for designing disulfide bonds in proteins. Each possible pair of residues in RML was assessed for potential disulfide formation, assuming the residues were mutated to cysteines. The $\chi_3$ torsion angle and $C_{\alpha}$-$C_{\beta}$-$S_{\gamma}$ angle of all residue pairs were calculated. The potential residue pairs that meet conformational criteria were collected. SSBOND (http://hazeslab.med.ualberta.ca/forms/ssbond.html Accessed September 19, 2017) predicted the presence of disulfide bonds in RML with its PDB structure. The program returned a series of residue pairs that were suitable for the formation of disulfide bonds when mutated into cysteine. The program also gave the dihedral angles of the estimated disulfide bonds and the energy constraints describing their formation. The smaller the energy constraint, the easier it is to form the ideal configuration. MODIP (http://caps.ncbs.res.in/iws/modip.html Accessed September 30, 2017)
19, 2017) evaluated the sites in RML by a hierarchical system using typical conformational parameters of natural occurring disulfide bonds. Disulfide bonds with ideal dihedral angles were classified as grade A. Sites that were spatially suitable for the formation of disulfide bonds but slightly distorted were classified as B, and residue pairs that were only spatially close and may form disulfide bonds were classified as C.

BridgeD (http://biodev.cea.fr/bridged/ Accessed September 19, 2017) used a variety of protein structures to increase the flexibility of the protein backbone to find potential disulfide-forming sites. These protein structures were derived from independent crystal structures of the same protein, multiple structures obtained by nuclear magnetic resonance, or crystal structures of homologous proteins. According to the energy ranking, if the predicted λ equals 1 and ΔE is negative, then it is a good target for protein engineering.

**Prediction of stability effect and visual inspection of designed disulfide bonds.** The change of protein stability upon formation of each disulfide bond was predicted by the FoldX plugin (78) in the YASARA program (79). By using the “Mutate multiple residues” command, potential residue pairs were mutated into cysteines. Then, the FoldX Stability command optimized the conformations and calculated the difference in stability between mutant and wild-type structures. A negative value indicates that the newly formed SS-bond increased the
Bioinformatic analysis. Identification of residues with critical function. No residues around 5 Å of the catalytic triad selected by PyMOL (80) were mutated (-select triad, resi 144+203+257 -select triad5a, byres triad around 5). Residues in functionally important regions (such as the active site, substrate binding pocket, and lid region) have been identified as immutable according to Derewenda et al. (43).

Evolutionary conservation and correlated mutation analyses. Evolutionary conservation profiles for proteins of known PDB structure are available from ConSurf-DB (81). Sequences similar to the target sequence were gathered from the SWISS-PROT database (82) using CSI-BLAST, and then a multiple sequence alignment (MSA) was generated using the MAFFT method. The Rate4Site algorithm (83) calculated the evolutionary conservation at each individual position and then discriminated by different grades using an empirical Bayesian inference. Residues with conservative scale ≥8 were regarded as highly conserved and were not mutated. The MSA was used as an input for the 3DM Comulator tool (84) to analyze correlated mutations. Positions with scores ≥0.8 were treated as evolutionarily correlated and immutable.

Gene cloning, expression, and purification of RML. Mutants of RML were created by PrimeSTAR™ HS DNA Polymerase (TaKaRa,
Otsu, Japan). The mutated gene was inserted between EcoRI and HindIII restriction sites of vector pET-28a (+) that contains an N-terminal hexa-histidine tag. Mutations were validated by DNA sequencing. All mutants were transformed into E. coli Rosetta-gami2 (DE3) cells (Novagen, Darmstadt, Germany) for expression. The cells were grown in 800 mL of Luria-Bertani culture medium containing 50μg/mL kanamycin and 34μg/mL chloramphenicol at 37°C until OD$_{600}$=0.6–0.8, induced by 0.1 mM IPTG (final concentration) at 16°C for 16 h, and then harvested by centrifugation at 4,000 × g for 15 min. Cell lysates were subject to gradient elution by NTA buffer (0.05 M pH 8.0 Tris-HCl, 0.5 M NaCl) with different concentrations of imidazole (0.03 M, 0.06 M, and 0.5 M) in nickel-affinity columns. If needed, additional purification was performed by gel filtration. Proteins were dialyzed against 50 mM pH 8.0 sodium phosphate buffer for experimental assessment. Samples were centrifuged at 14,000 × g for 2 min to eliminate any aggregated protein.

**Apparent melting temperature ($T_{m}^{\text{app}}$) measurements.** Differential scanning fluorimetry (44) was used to determine the $T_{m}^{\text{app}}$ of mutants. $T_{m}^{\text{app}}$ was defined as the maximal value of the relative fluorescence change with respect to the temperature (dRFU/dT). For each analyzed sample, 5 μL of 100× SYPRO® Orange solution (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and 20 μL purified protein were mixed and then centrifuged for 5 min at 4°C. $T_{m}^{\text{app}}$ was determined by heating the samples from 25 to 85°C
The optimal enzyme substrate was determined using p-nitrophenyl fatty acyl esters (p-NP esters) with different chain lengths. In a standard assay, the total 1-mL reaction system contained 940 μL of Tris-HCl buffer (50 mM, pH 8.0), 10 μL of p-NP ester (100 mM), 40 μL of ethanol, and 10 μL of diluted enzyme solution (85). The blank control substituted the enzyme solution with the same volume of Tris-HCl buffer. All experiments were conducted in triplicate. One unit of lipase activity (U) was defined as the amount of enzyme that released 1 μmol of p-NP per min under the assay conditions. The optimal pH was determined by examining the enzyme activity at 40°C in Tris-HCl buffer at different pH values. The optimal temperature was determined by varying the temperatures with an interval of 5°C in Tris-HCl buffer (pH 8.0). The thermostability was assessed by testing the residual activity against the optimal substrate after incubating the enzyme solution for different time periods. The kinetic parameters ($k_{cat}$, $K_m$, and $k_{cat}/K_m$) of the wild-type RML and mutants were determined using different substrate concentrations at their optimum temperature. The kinetic parameters were determined from Lineweaver-Burk plots using Microsoft Excel.
Homology modeling. Structures of mutated RML were modeled with SWISS-MODEL using the wild-type enzyme (PDB ID: 3TGL) as a template. Modeled structures were optimized by the GalaxyRefine server to eliminate steric clashes and disallowed conformations, and then assessed by PROCHECK and Molprobity. Structures that had the lowest Molprobity scores and were over 95% in the allowed region of a Ramachandran plot were chosen for analysis.

Analysis of the basis of thermostability change via protein mutations. Possible interaction changes caused by the mutations were analyzed by the online tool iMutants (61). Ionic interactions and cation-pi interactions within 6 Å of the mutations, hydrophobic interactions within 5 Å of the mutations, aromatic-aromatic interactions with centroid distances 4.5 Å to 7.0 Å, and aromatic-S interactions with a distance cutoff of 5.3 Å were all assessed. Hydrogen bonds were calculated using HBPLUS Software (86).
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Figure Legends

Figure 1 The location of different mutants of RML. (A) Distribution of three stabilizing residues (sticks) and functionally important regions of RML (residues within 5 Å from the catalytic triad are shown as cyan spheres). (B) Location of two stabilizing disulfide bonds (sticks) and functionally important regions of RML (residues within 5 Å from the catalytic triad are shown as cyan spheres).

Figure 2 Enzymatic properties of wild-type RML and triple-mutant (T18K/T22I/E230I). (A) Optimal hydrolytic substrate determined at 40°C. (B) Optimal pH for hydrolytic reaction measured at 40°C. (C) Optimal reaction temperature measured using p-NP C8 as the substrate at pH 8.0. (D) Thermal inactivation by incubation at 65°C.

Figure 3 Thermostability profiles of wild-type RML, T18K/T22I/E230I, and M7. (A) Apparent melting temperatures of wild-type RML, T18K/T22I/E230I, and M7 determined by DSF. (B) Half-lives of wild-type RML and its mutants at 70°C.

Figure 4 Enzymatic properties of T18K/T22I/E230I and M7. (A) Optimal hydrolytic substrate determined at 40°C. (B) Optimal pH for hydrolytic reaction measured at 40°C. (C) Optimal reaction temperature measured using p-NP C8 as the substrate (at respective optimal pH).

Figure 5 Interactions around the mutated positions of WT and T18K/T22I/E230I. The interactions around the mutated residues in WT
(A) and T18K/T22I/E230I (B) are shown. The three mutated residues have colored atoms while other residues are gray.
Table 1 Primers used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Sequence (5'-3')^a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T22I-F</td>
<td>TCAATGAAATTGACTTTATTACACTA<strong>TT</strong>CTATCTGCCAACCTCGTACTGCGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T22I-R</td>
<td>CGGCAGTACGAGTTGCCGAGATAGAAATAGTGAATAAAGTCAAATTCATTGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T18K-F</td>
<td>CCTCGCAAGAAAATCAATGAAATTGAAATATTACACTACACTATCTGCCAAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T18K-R</td>
<td>GTTGCGAGATGGTATGTAATA<strong>TT</strong>CAATTCATTGATTTCTTGCGAGG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E230I-F</td>
<td>TAGCCCAA<strong>AT</strong>ACTGTTCAAGGCTCTGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E230I-R</td>
<td>AGTAA<strong>T</strong>TTGGCTATTGTCAGTAATC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S56C-F</td>
<td>ATCTCAAGATTATCAAGACTTTGGT<strong>G</strong>TACGCTCATCTATGATAACAAATGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S56C-R</td>
<td>GCATTGTATCATAGTGAGCGTA<strong>C</strong>ACCAAGTCTTGAATATCTTGAGAT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N63C-F</td>
<td>TGGAGCAGCCTCATCTATGATACATG<strong>T</strong>GCAATGTTGCAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N63C-R</td>
<td>GTGCAACCATTGCA<strong>C</strong>ATGTATCATAGAGCGTGCTCCAA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V189C-F</td>
<td>ACCCTGCCTTTGCAAATCAGT<strong>G</strong>TAAGCACCAGGCATT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V189C-R</td>
<td>AATGCCGGTGCTACAAACGTATTTGCGAAGGCAGGGT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D238C-F</td>
<td>GTTCAGGTCTGCAACAGC<strong>T</strong>CTGGAAACCCTCTGATTGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D238C-R</td>
<td>GCCATCAGAGTTTCCAGA<strong>C</strong>ACGTTTGCAGACCTGAAC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^a Mutant sites are shown in boldface and italics.
### Table 2: Apparent melting temperatures of single point mutants and disulfide bonds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enzyme Variant</th>
<th>$T_m^o$ (°C)</th>
<th>$\Delta T_m^o$ (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>58.7±0.7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E230R</td>
<td>59.9±0.4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E230M</td>
<td>60.0±0.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E230F</td>
<td>60.3±0.2</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E230Y</td>
<td>60.8±0.6</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T22I</td>
<td>61.1±0.9</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E230P</td>
<td>61.2±0.4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E230W</td>
<td>61.4±0.2</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E230C</td>
<td>62.0±0.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E230T</td>
<td>62.5±0.5</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T18K</td>
<td>63.2±0.0</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E230L</td>
<td>64.1±0.2</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E230V</td>
<td>64.1±0.3</td>
<td>5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E230I</td>
<td>64.4±0.2</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T18K/T22I</td>
<td>64.0±0.4</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T22I/E230I</td>
<td>65.6±0.4</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T18K/E230I</td>
<td>66.7±0.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T18K/T22I/E230I</td>
<td>68.1±0.4</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T18K/T22I/E230I/S56C/N63C</td>
<td>69.3±0.3</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T18K/T22I/E230I/V189C/D238C</td>
<td>72.3±0.4</td>
<td>13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T18K/T22I/E230I/S56C/N63C/V189C/D238C (M7)</td>
<td>73.0±0.3</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3 Comparison of kinetic parameters between wild-type RML, T18K/T22I/E230I, and M7 mutant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Enzyme</th>
<th>$T_{\text{opt}}$ (°C)</th>
<th>$K_m$ (mM)</th>
<th>$k_{\text{cat}}$ (s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$k_{\text{cat}}/K_m$ (s$^{-1}$·mM$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Enzyme activity (U·mg protein$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1.17±0.16</td>
<td>24.05±1.96</td>
<td>20.56</td>
<td>62.35±9.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T18K/T22I/E230I</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2.01±0.13</td>
<td>42.34±1.51</td>
<td>21.06</td>
<td>72.35±1.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M7</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.53±0.07</td>
<td>43.71±1.28</td>
<td>28.57</td>
<td>92.02±4.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Kinetic parameters of all enzymes were determined at their optimal temperatures.

* Kinetic parameters of all enzymes were determined at their optimal temperatures.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lipase Category</th>
<th>Lipase</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Improvement</th>
<th>Screening library</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bacterial lipases</strong></td>
<td><em>Bacillus subtilis</em> lipase A</td>
<td>Irrational</td>
<td>DNA shuffling</td>
<td>22.0°C</td>
<td>18000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Bacillus subtilis</em> lipase LipJ</td>
<td>Irrational</td>
<td>Error-prone PCR</td>
<td>13.0°C</td>
<td>4200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</em> lipase</td>
<td>Irrational</td>
<td>Error-prone PCR</td>
<td>2.5°C</td>
<td>2600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Bacillus subtilis</em> lipase A</td>
<td>Semi-rational</td>
<td>B-factor analysis</td>
<td>45.0°C</td>
<td>8000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Geobacillus zalihae</em> T1 lipase</td>
<td>Rational</td>
<td>I-mutant</td>
<td>2.0°C</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fungal lipases</strong></td>
<td><em>Candida antarctica</em> lipase B</td>
<td>Irrational</td>
<td>DNA shuffling</td>
<td>6.4°C</td>
<td>2500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Rhizopus arrhizus</em> lipase</td>
<td>Irrational</td>
<td>Error-prone PCR, DNA shuffling</td>
<td>10.0°C</td>
<td>5500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Yarrowia lipolytica</em> lipase Lip2</td>
<td>Semi-rational</td>
<td>B-factor analysis</td>
<td>3.0°C</td>
<td>4200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Candida antarctica</em> lipase B</td>
<td>Semi-rational</td>
<td>B-factor analysis</td>
<td>12.0°C</td>
<td>2200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Candida rugosa</em> lipase 1</td>
<td>Semi-rational</td>
<td>B-factor analysis</td>
<td>12.7°C</td>
<td>20000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Candida antarctica</em> lipase B</td>
<td>Rational</td>
<td>MODIP and D6D v1.20</td>
<td>8.5°C</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Rhizomucor miehei</em> lipase</td>
<td>Rational</td>
<td>B-factor, RosettaDesign</td>
<td>2.3°C</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Rhizomucor miehei</em> lipase</td>
<td>Rational</td>
<td>D6D</td>
<td>3.0°C</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Rhizomucor miehei</em> lipase</td>
<td>Rational</td>
<td>This study</td>
<td>14.3°C</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>